

Private Bills

with the Platte pipe line system in Wyoming and through this system and its many connections it would gain access to refining centres—

And so on. I would point out that on looking at this map I find that the Platte pipe line system has a direct connection and that one of its chief functions is that of transporting these commodities or these materials to the Chicago market which is the same market sought by Pembina. Incidentally, I might say at this point that I understand that a plan or arrangement between Pembina and the Standard Oil Company of Indiana, who own another pipe line in the United States, has recently been consummated and accordingly these parties, or Pembina particularly, will be appearing before the Alberta conservation board on February 7 for a hearing in this regard.

From the intent of this company we find that what they are after is the Chicago market, the same market as that which is being sought and, if today's news is any indication, has been established or the same market that Pembina is also in the process of working towards. When we compare the 11,000 to 15,000 barrels of Aurora with the 70,000 to 75,000 barrels of Pembina, we wonder just what is going on and whether this is not another attempt on the part of our friends in the oil industry, those whom the Prime Minister (Mr. Diefenbaker) before he became prime minister labelled as buccaneers, to destroy our Canadian participation by Canadian companies through milking the cream of the crop, as it were, and moving into the self-same market, namely the Chicago market in a quicker way, with less difficulty and not taking all the products.

The hon. member for Winnipeg South indicated, as did the hon. member for Calgary South (Mr. Smith) and the hon. member for Vancouver East, that there are a number of by-products. They mentioned propane, butane, and sulphur which is intermingled with them in the condensate. The Pembina system, through their relationships with Standard Oil and their market, is geared to a commingled system, or one that will fully use and transport all of these by-products, namely the condensate, propane, butane and everything else and will use them all whereas Aurora's approach, as is evidenced by the information which I just read from *Hansard* given by the hon. member for Winnipeg South and others who have spoken in this debate, and sole concern at the moment is to deal only with the condensate and not with the others; to leave them out in the cold, as it were and to concentrate its activities in seeking for the cream and, as I said, because of their hook-up with the other system, transporting these to the

[Mr. Howard.]

Chicago market in the hope that by skimming off the cream they will end up with the lion's share of the market while the other company, operating decently and taking them all, will be left behind.

There is another bit of information which I understand—

Mr. McIlraith: Will the hon. member permit a question just before he leaves that point?

Mr. Howard: Yes.

Mr. McIlraith: Where does the hon. member get this figure of 70,000 barrels per day? I ask that question because it is at variance with the information I get as to the evidence before the Alberta board.

Mr. Howard: If my hon. friend will allow me to look for a moment in *Hansard* in order to pick it out from the information given I shall be glad to do so. It was placed on the record by the hon. member for Vancouver East. It was taken from a study by Stevenson and Kellogg, a management consultant firm. I am referring only to *Hansard*, not to the Stevenson and Kellogg report and I hope my hon. friend will realize that fact. I am reading from the remarks made by the hon. member for Vancouver East as reported on page 1129 of *Hansard*, where he quotes the Stevenson and Kellogg report at one point as follows:

—in western Canada there was a potential utilization of between 20,000 and 40,000 barrels of these liquid gas by-products per day, but that there would still be a surplus of some 70,000 barrels per day.

Mr. McIlraith: Perhaps I could clarify that matter a bit by another question. I think the hon. member will agree that the Stevenson and Kellogg report is one item of evidence before the Alberta board on an extremely controversial point where there is a wide variation in the evidence as to the amount available for export. There are two or three others.

Mr. Howard: Yes. As the hon. member for Ottawa West (Mr. McIlraith) has pointed out, it may well be a controversial point before the Alberta board. I am quite sure that you, Mr. Speaker, having a discerning eye, will be able to see the hon. member for Ottawa West if he rises and puts forward this information in a definite way rather than by way of query. I think perhaps that would be the better way in which to do it.

If I may say so, this discussion points up the difficult situation in which we find ourselves because of the failure of the Senate committee to keep proper records of what it was doing in this regard. Perhaps if it did so we would have this information from the mouths of company officials themselves and