

KNIGHTS TEMPLAR.

The following are the officers elected and appointed at the annual Grand Conclave of the United Orders of the Temple and Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem, for Canada, under the Supreme jurisdiction of England and Wales, for the year A. D. 1870:

V. E. Frates Lt. Col. W. J. B. McLeod Moore, Grand Prior for the Dominion; Thomas Douglas Harington, Deputy Grand Prior; Samuel B. Harman, Grand Sub. Prior and Prov. Grand Commander for Ontario and Quebec. E. Frates Robert Marshall, Past Prov. Grand Master; Howard E. Swales, John Kerr, and Alexander Kirkpatrick, to be Past Deputy Grand Commanders. Frater Rev. James A. Preston, Grand Prelate. E. Frates Edwin Goodman, Grand First Captain, C. C.; Henry Robertson, Grand Second Captain, C. C. V. E. Frater Thos. B. Harris, Grand Chancellor. Frates Thomas White, Jr., Grand Vice Chancellor; Walter J. Lindsay, Grand Registrar; John H. Graham, Grand Treasurer; David R. Munro, Grand Chamberlain; Robert Ramsay, Grand Hospitaller; J. Kirkpatrick Kerr, Grand Expert; Augustus T. Houel, Grand 1st Standard Bearer; H. A. Baxter, Grand 2nd Standard Bearer; — Penton, Grand Almoner; David Gillies, Grand 1st Aide-de-Camp; R. Barrow, Grand 2nd Aide-de-Camp; Charles Storer, Grand Director of Ceremonies; W. H. Spencer, Grand Captain of Lines; Thomas F. McMullen, Grand 1st Herald; William Bathgate, Grand 2nd Herald; John McDonough, Grand Sword Bearer; William Wilkinson, Grand Equerry.

The attendance at the last annual assembly was an improvement upon former years, and a strong desire was exhibited for the advancement and progress of this chivalric Order.

Much credit is due to the Frates of the Hugh de Payen Encampment for their generous hospitalities extended to the visiting Sir Knights during their attendance at Grand Conclave.

The next assembly will be held at the City of Quebec, on the second Wednesday in August, 1870.

CORRESPONDENCE.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE CRAFTSMAN.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

BRETHREN.—In your issue of October, 1869, page 30, you solicit an expression of the views of our learned brethren, on a certain question, and though I do not claim to range myself under that distinguished title or appellation, I will endeavour to respond to the call, since I really cannot see a possibility for any doubt on that subject.

The question given to you is: A brother has been suspended by his Lodge; and, upon appeal to Grand Lodge, the suspension has been removed. Does that removal restore him to all the privileges of his own Lodge?

Your answer is: The general opinion of the best masonic authorities is—that it does. But there is some difference of opinion on the subject, and we would be glad to have the views of any of our learned brethren upon the point. That the removal of the suspension restores him to