

PATENTS PROCURED
In Canada, Great Britain, United States, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Belgium, Holland, Austria, Hungary, Russia, Japan, China, India, C. E. RICE'S
Canada Life Building, Toronto.

FIFTEENTH YEAR
THIRTEEN THOUSAND

The Sum Asked From the Electric Light Company.

SOME STARTLING REVELATIONS.

Wright's Important Testimony at the Boodle Investigation.

Ald. Stewart Makes an Admission That He Attempted to Mislead the Electric Light Company by Supplying Fictitious Figures.

When did you have this talk with McGowan?—A. After Mr. Keating's report was issued. After we received McGowan's report we determined to ask for tenders both ways. Mr. Keating's estimate was \$100,000. McGowan's was \$100,000. The discrepancy was in the amount of \$100,000.

What became of the report after that?—It is not out to council and sent back to the committee for definite action. Do you remember any discussion about the report?—A. I believe I do.

Where did you get the idea?—A. Out of my own head. Who voted for that?—A. I don't know.

It has been stated that the only company who could possibly tender for the sale of current was the Toronto Electric Company; that statement has been made in the Toronto Electric Company and the Incorporated Electric Company also tendered.

Why the Tenders Were Not Opened. When were the tenders to be opened?—On Sept. 1.

Why were they not opened on that date?—A. Mr. Bertram of Toronto suggested an adjournment, as he had not had time to prepare his tender. I telephoned to Mr. Keating about it, and he requested I then advised Mr. Bertram in figures a little below those mentioned by Ald. Stewart, and by this doing allowed the Street Railway Company to underbid them.

That after the tenders were opened Ald. Stewart approached him with a proposal that, to make the awarding of the contract to the Electric Light Company an absolute certainty, the Street Railway Company should be "squared" with an amount of \$100,000.

Ald. Stewart was called previous to the representative of the Electric Light Company, and he denied in toto all the charges attempted at the hearing.

He had put up the bluff on the Street Railway Company, but he had confessed that the figures he had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

—A. Yes. I thought Mr. Keating's figures were too low; that the work could not be done at his estimates. I had conversations with Mr. McGowan about those figures, and upon an assurance from the committee that I have stated.

Have you a good memory?—A. I have a bad memory for dates, but when any impression is particularly vivid, I have a passably good memory. I cannot tell you how many meetings of the committee were held before the contracts were called for. I don't recall that any meeting of the committee was ever postponed by telephone. It has never occurred that I am aware of. At one meeting of the committee Mr. McGowan, secretary of the Fire Department, was asked to prepare a report. I believe that was at my suggestion. Mr. Keating was an officer of our committee, and in consequence Mr. McGowan was asked.

When did you have this talk with McGowan?—A. After Mr. Keating's report was issued. After we received McGowan's report we determined to ask for tenders both ways. Mr. Keating's estimate was \$100,000. McGowan's was \$100,000. The discrepancy was in the amount of \$100,000.

What became of the report after that?—It is not out to council and sent back to the committee for definite action. Do you remember any discussion about the report?—A. I believe I do.

Where did you get the idea?—A. Out of my own head. Who voted for that?—A. I don't know.

It has been stated that the only company who could possibly tender for the sale of current was the Toronto Electric Company; that statement has been made in the Toronto Electric Company and the Incorporated Electric Company also tendered.

Why the Tenders Were Not Opened. When were the tenders to be opened?—On Sept. 1.

Why were they not opened on that date?—A. Mr. Bertram of Toronto suggested an adjournment, as he had not had time to prepare his tender. I telephoned to Mr. Keating about it, and he requested I then advised Mr. Bertram in figures a little below those mentioned by Ald. Stewart, and by this doing allowed the Street Railway Company to underbid them.

That after the tenders were opened Ald. Stewart approached him with a proposal that, to make the awarding of the contract to the Electric Light Company an absolute certainty, the Street Railway Company should be "squared" with an amount of \$100,000.

Ald. Stewart was called previous to the representative of the Electric Light Company, and he denied in toto all the charges attempted at the hearing.

He had put up the bluff on the Street Railway Company, but he had confessed that the figures he had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

He had given Wright purported to be those of the Street Railway Company, and he denied his previous statement.

AN OVATION FOR MERTER ON HIS FIRST PUBLIC APPEARANCE AS LEADER.

The Candidature of Mayor Essey of London Endorsed by Nearly 3000 People at a Meeting of the Conservative Campaign Opened in London Last Evening—The Candidate States His Views.

London, Ont., Nov. 8.—George F. Merton, M.L.A., made his first public appearance as leader of the Conservative Opposition in the Legislature in the Open House to-night. The building was packed to the doors; 2500 people were jammed within its walls and hundreds were turned away.

From the same platform Mr. Meredith and Sir Oliver Mowat made their inaugural deliveries in the campaign for the opening of the new building. The new leader should make his first appearance in the same edifice. Mr. Merton arrived from Toronto at 7 p.m., looking even more bland than ever, with the glossy silk plug of the politician perched jauntily on his head. His first appearance in his new capacity was an unqualified success, if the verdict of a London audience counts for anything. He was accompanied by Oliver A. Howard, M.L.A. for South Toronto, and the appearance of the two famous provincial war-horses gave the candidature of Mayor Essey a new impetus.

The fight is to be one of the hottest in the political history of Middlesex. It is likely that the result will be as close as it was in the famous "pig" fight and larger bore contest of Hyman against Merton. There is one condition, however, for the enlightened Grits as well as the Conservatives. That is the predicament of the people by John Cameron of the London Advertiser.

While the Chief of the Toronto Grits, Mr. Merton, has been shouting for prohibition before every other issue. He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

He has pointed out that Mr. Cameron is a federal agent against Hon. John Cameron, and he has gone into the Council Chamber and never ceasing his denunciations of the prohibitionists. He has occupied a seat there, asking for the reduction of licenses. Mr. Essey has always opposed the prohibitionists when the prohibitionists were not shouting for prohibition before every other issue.

THEIR ANSWER.

Patron: Sic 'em, Malloy—we don't want 'em round startin' race and creed fires on these premises!

Malloy: It was my wonder that Sunday Field Macdonald's surplus had fallen away? So long as the present Government is in power, it would exist. The present ballot system was also a secret ballot system. It was not a secret ballot system because they had an object in view. They were not interested in the voters who were intimidated for party interest.

As to prohibition. There was just one matter on which he desired to speak. For the past 30 years he had been a consistent prohibitionist. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years. He had not been so for 30 years, but he had been a consistent prohibitionist for 30 years.

RUBBER DOORMATS
THE TORONTO RUBBER CO., LTD.
28 King Street West, Manning Arcade.

ONE CENT.
CITY BOND TROUBLE ENDED.

THE EXECUTIVE WITHDREW THE BONDS FROM THE MARKET.

Heated Debates at the Meeting of the Committee in Which Aldermen Get Angry—How to Solve the Difficult Old-Style Cancellation Question Will Be Considered Afresh.

On a division of 6 to 5 the Executive Committee yesterday afternoon decided to withdraw the new Toronto city bonds from the market and cancel all the offers that have been made for their purchase.

This decision was arrived at after a most lively and heated discussion. In which several of the aldermen lost their tempers and made uncomplimentary remarks about each other. Ald. Shaw stated that his own personal honor had been impugned, whereas Ald. Crawford, the assessor, stated that he thought the honor of the city had been impugned prior to the arrival of Mr. Smith's letter to the council.

The committee met in an evening, but the meeting was adjourned until the afternoon. Ald. Smith, the Mayor, the Mayor's tender, was present at the morning session, but in the afternoon he was not allowed to witness the proceedings.

President the afternoon. Mr. Smith produced the letter from Mr. MacFen on which the charge is based that cabinet members sent to England giving the terms of Mr. Smith's resignation. Ald. Shaw met this charge by proving the letter from Mr. MacFen was dated Oct. 22, nearly two weeks after Mr. Smith's offer had been made public.

Ald. Frankland suggested that the bonds be taken off the market and that the committee commence all over again as to their disposal.

Ald. Shaw did not think such a course would be the best one for the city to pursue.

Ald. Frankland favored the suggestion that the bonds be taken off the market and that the committee commence all over again as to their disposal.

Ald. Crawford also thought the suggestion a good one. He was not at all satisfied with the Old Ontario agreement, and was desirous of the opportunity of a four per cent. He thought it advisable to accept neither of the offers before the committee.

The Mayor criticized Ald. Shaw and Treasurer Condy in a kind and charitable manner. He said that Mr. Smith was entitled to the bonds.

The Mayor's view. The Mayor said that notwithstanding the letter or the manner in which the bonds were written, he thought of the two tenders Mr. Smith should have the preference.

Ald. Frankland submitted a resolution that the bonds be withdrawn from the market. A vote was taken on this with the following result:

For the resolution: Ald. Frankland, Crawford, Hale, Taylor, Ald. Frankland, Crawford, Hale, Taylor, Ald. Frankland, Crawford, Hale, Taylor.

Against the resolution: Ald. Shaw, Allen, Atkinson, Jole, Jole, Ald. Shaw, Allen, Atkinson, Jole, Jole.

The report of the committee reads as follows: "This committee recommend that the action in the council be taken to consider the question as to whether, in the financial condition of the city, it is expedient to issue 4 or 3 1/2 per cent. debentures to take place until the policy is settled by the committee in council."

THE SQUADROONS COULD... Trying to Explain Their Use of Engineer's Clothes—The Honor Roll Again.

The World got hold of a copy of the prospectus of the George Bay Ship Company and published a fac-simile of the prospectus. The prospectus and office pamphlet, several hundred of which had been printed, bound and delivered to the company. It was no longer a copy, as it had been stolen, and they kept up the pamphlet, and they kept up the pamphlet, and they kept up the pamphlet.

These prospectus makers do not answer the charges. They say when they say prospectus has been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.

They say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company, they say that they have been printed in the name of the company.