

**COLLAPSE OF  
TRESTLE BRIDGE****A Grand Trunk Fireman Killed  
at East Toronto.****AND ENGINEER INJURED****Engine Was Hauling Cars Up Coal  
Chute, When Posts Gave Way.**

Toronto, June 30.—S. Warren, a Grand Trunk Railway fireman, was killed, and W. J. Campbell, an engineer, whose home is in Mimico, and James Hewitt, yardmaster, who lives at 109 Malvern avenue, East Toronto, were badly injured this morning as the result of a collapse of a trestle bridge in the Grand Trunk yards at East Toronto. The accident happened shortly before 10 o'clock. An engine was hauling two cars of coal up the chute when the wood of the posts gave way without the slightest warning, and the engine and cars crashed in a heap below. The collapse of the chute was due to the taking away of the coal beneath it when the yards were removed from East Toronto to Mimico.

**SPLENDID STOP  
OF RUNAWAY HORSE****Man on Richmond St. Jumped  
in Back of Wagon and  
Ended the Race.****BICYCLISTS CLOSE CALL****Wheel and Hat Were Smashed, But  
He Managed to Escape Himself  
—Reward for the Hero.**

A ruined Panama hat and a broken bicycle were the most serious results of a runaway on Richmond street last night about 9 o'clock. A delivery horse belonging to Frank G. Moore, the fruit man on the Market Square, was standing outside the Grand Trunk freight sheds, when suddenly took fright and turned down York street, touching only the high spots in its mad career.

The horse turned north on Richmond street, and right in front of the city hall was where the trouble occurred. Taking in the situation, a man jumped in the rear end of the wagon and climbed over, grasping the reins. Just opposite the city hall he managed to turn the horse in on the sidewalk, stopping it.

But between the wagon and the sidewalk was a broken wheel and a badly smashed Panama hat when the wreck was cleared away.

When the horse swerved to the left a young man on a bicycle was directly in its path. The bicyclist managed to escape danger, but not so his bicycle and hat. The spokes in the front wheel of the "bike" were broken. The horse and wagon escaped injury.

A citizen called at The Advertiser later and left \$100 presented to the man who caught the horse.

"I don't know who he is," said the citizen, "but he certainly made a grand stop of that runaway."

**FINAL SCHEDULE OF  
ELECTRICAL PRICES****Engineer Dark Says City Can  
Beat Any Power Prices  
Offered.**

The final schedule of power prices will be issued by the city electric department in a few days, and a thorough canvass of probable customers will be made by Mr. J. Anderson.

Tentative rates were discussed by several large firms in the city, but the revised schedule was not to be made available, and it is expected that many will come in on the proposition. Geo. White & Sons, McCarty and a rubber and shoe company, and when the schedule is printed for these users to peruse contracts will be offered to the city.

The White Company was not impressed with the schedule—the first one presented. It was high for their needs, and was rejected. The final schedule will be much more favorable to them, and it is expected that they will use the city power.

**What May Be Done.**  
"We can sell power at 1.5 cents a kilowatt hour," said Manager Dark. "No firm in this city can develop power by steam or otherwise for less than 2 cents a kilowatt hour. We will have that margin at least over power produced in the city."

"We will sell 4,000-horsepower in a short time, possibly at the end of the year. We have the cheapest power that can be secured. We can sell cheaper than the Detroit Electrical Company, who have probably the finest steam plant in America."

**ST. MARYS BYLAW  
QUASHED BY COURT**

Toronto, June 30.—The divisional court yesterday morning gave judgment at Osgoode Hall allowing the appeal of William Dale, the younger, from the refusal of Chief Justice Munk to quash the debenture bylaw passed by the township of Blanchard, Perth County, authorizing the granting of aid to the extent of \$20,000 to the St. Marys and Western Ontario Railway Company. The court confined itself to whether the voters' list was conclusive as the right of voters; and whether, if not conclusive, Mr. Dale had failed in establishing that a sufficient number of unqualified persons had voted to overcome the majority.

The judgment of the court is that for a money bylaw the list is one prepared from the assessment roll and is therefore not that made conclusive by section 24 of the Voters' List Act. As regards the voting the court finds that five unqualified persons voted and as the majority was only four therefore the bylaw is invalid.

An order is accordingly made quashing the bylaw with costs against the municipality throughout.

**INSURANCE IS  
ALL FOR COMPANY****Policies on City Railway Are  
Made Payable to the Pere  
Marquette.****POINT RAISED BY AUDITOR****It's Bad Business, Says the Mayor, and  
the Matter Will Be Taken Up.**

All the insurance on the London and Port Stanley property is payable to the Pere Marquette Railway Company. In his annual report to the board on the audit of the books of the Pere Marquette, City Auditor Jewell called the attention of the members to this fact, and Mayor Beattie and Mr. Jewell will take the matter up with the company at once, and a change will be suggested.

"The company pays the insurance," said Mr. Jewell. "They get a better rate, perhaps, than we could obtain, and that is the reason, I presume, why they are allowed to hold it in their name."

"There is no question that the property is insured to its value, but whether or not the board is justified in allowing the policies to remain in the name of the lessee is another matter," said Mayor Beattie. "It would cost us little, or the company little, to have the policies made payable to us. I do not like this method of doing business. I do not think a member of the board would allow his tenant to take out the insurance on his building, and have the policies paid to the tenant. Of course, there may be no difficulty, but it is not businesslike."

"I move that Mayor Beattie and City Auditor Jewell take the matter up with the Pere Marquette," said Mr. Stevely. Ald. Eckert seconded the motion and it carried.

**\$2,000 UNDER  
THE ESTIMATES****Contract for the Underground  
Wire System Has Been  
Let by the City.****DUCTS, ETC., COST \$22,184****Engineer Gaultier Recommends That  
the Kelly Pumps at Springbank  
Be Sold.**

At the meeting of the water commissioners held yesterday afternoon, Chairman A. T. McMahon notified the board that owing to illness that he would be unable to be present at the board meetings for some weeks.

Commissioner Pocock was named as chairman pro tem, and will preside at the deliberations of the board until Mr. McMahon's return.

The tenders for the underground work were awarded. The Safety Insulated Wire and Cable Company, of New York, were given the contract for the ducts and installation for the sum of \$22,184.

This tender was over \$2,500 cheaper than the next lower.

The cable contract was awarded to the Wire and Cable Company, of Montreal. The cost of this will amount to about \$12,000 or \$15,000.

Ald. Ashplant and Fire Chief Aitken were instructed to purchase straw hats for the firemen.

Mr. J. Anderson presented a petition asking that the market house be closed on Wednesday afternoon. Mayor Beattie pointed out that the committee had no power unless the petition from the butchers in the pavilion was unanimous. The communication was filed.

**Another Water Cart.**  
City Engineer Graydon recommended the purchase of another water cart. The matter was laid over.

The application of O. J. Bridle for the position of blind inspector was filed, as Inspector McCullum has been named for the place.

The communication notifying the council of the annual convention of fire chiefs in the States was filed.

It was decided to accept the tender of Sutherland Bros., of \$40, for eave-troughing on the market building.

**Those Present.**  
Those present were Ald. Ashplant, Ald. Jeffries, Mayor Beattie, Fire Chief Aitken, Inspector McCullum, Market Clerk Maken, and Miss Toll, secretary.

**TURTLE ATTEMPTED  
TO CROSS THE RAILS****Springbank Car Killed a Fine  
Specimen of Testudinata—  
Eggs Are Flea!**

A dozen turtle eggs are at the city hall awaiting any student of biology who wants to go into the turtle-raising business.

Last night a Springbank car at Wondelton ran over and killed a monster turtle on her way to the sand hills to deposit her eggs. About a quart or more of eggs the size of pigeon's eggs were scattered about the track.

A number of citizens who were on the car took some of them home to have them hatched, while Inspector Aitken, of the company, took a dozen to the city hall for Collegiate students, who might desire to have them.

**THREE STEAMERS AROUND.**  
Sault Ste. Marie, Mich., June 30.—Three steamers, the "Monesta," "Edna," and the "Schiller," grounded on Round Island last night in a heavy cloud of smoke caused by forest fires in this vicinity. "The Tuna" and "Sabin" have gone to free the freighters.

**JUNE HAS BEEN  
A DRY MONTH****Only 1.71 Inches of Rain as  
Compared With 2.49  
in 1909.****WARMER THIS YEAR****91.5 in Shade Against 88.5 a Year Ago  
—Some Weather Figures Which  
Are of Interest.**

Statistics compiled at the local weather bureau show that the month of June ran up to extremes in the matter of temperature, and that on the whole it was much warmer than the same month of a year ago.

The highest temperatures recorded were on June 22 and 23, when the mercury ran up to 91.5 in the shade. In June of 1909, the highest temperature was 88.5.

The lowest reading of the thermometer during the present month was on the 4th, when 34.5 degrees was recorded. A year ago, the lowest reading was 39 degrees on the 15th.

The present has been a very dry month, the rainfall being only 1.71 inches, as compared with 2.49 inches in June of 1909.

The great heat and the lack of rain, as shown by the official figures of the observatory, account for the tremendous shrinkage in the Springbank springs, and also for the great demand in the city for water.

**CHAS. FORRESTER  
IS PROMOTED****Popular London Official Is Made  
Trainmaster of 15th and 20th  
Districts of G. T. R.****IS GOING TO STRATFORD****Other London Men Are Advanced as  
a Result of the Resignation of  
Mr. J. A. McLardy.**

Chief Dispatcher Charles Forrester, of the Grand Trunk, received word from headquarters this morning of his appointment as trainmaster of the 15th and 20th districts, comprising the lines from Toronto to Sarnia Tunnel and the Buffalo and Goderich line.

Mr. Forrester's headquarters will be at Stratford, and he will take over his new position tomorrow.

Night Chief Dispatcher White succeeded Mr. Forrester as chief dispatcher, and Mr. C. Rutherford will succeed Mr. White.

W. S. Wilson, chief dispatcher at Stratford, will be trainmaster of the 22nd and 23rd districts, with headquarters at Palmerston.

George Stokes, dispatcher at Stratford, will be chief dispatcher at Palmerston, and it is the intention to place a staff of operators there.

Mr. Brown, of this city, will succeed Mr. Wilson at Stratford.

**Mr. McLardy Resigns.**  
All the above changes have been made as a result of the resignation of Mr. J. A. McLardy, trainmaster at Stratford, who goes to Winnipeg to accept a more important position on the Grand Trunk Pacific.

Mr. McLardy went to Stratford from St. Thomas about a year ago. In St. Thomas he was trainmaster for the Wabash.

Mr. Forrester came to London from Hamilton twelve years ago. For seven years he was a dispatcher, and was then made night chief. He held this position for two years, and for the last three years has been chief. He is known as one of the best operators on the Grand Trunk, and is one of the best-laid railroad men in the company's employ. He has a host of friends here who while regretting to lose him, will rejoice at his splendid promotion.

**FORBES' NIECE WEDS.**  
New York, June 30.—Immediately on landing today from the Teutonic, Miss Louise Forbes, niece of John Forbes Robertson, the actor, and herself an actress, was met by Swinburne Hale, a lawyer of the Western Province, and the city hall where they procured a marriage license.

Mr. Hale gave his age as 26 and that of his prospective bride as the same. Mr. Hale said they would be married late today.

**THE WEATHER****Tomorrow—Fair.****FORECASTS.**

Toronto, June 30.—3 a.m. Light variable winds; fine and cool; Friday: not much change in temperature.

TEMPERATURES.	Today.	Yesterday.
London	68	53
Victoria	68	46
Calgary	76	48
Winnipeg	68	48
Port Arthur	60	62
Perry Sound	60	56
Toronto	60	56
Ottawa	50	54
Montreal	76	60
Quebec	76	54
Father Point	62	48
Dawson City	46	56
Yancouver	46	56
Edmonton	46	56
Battleford	46	56
On Appleton	46	56
Minneapolis	46	56
St. John	46	56
Halifax	46	56

**WEATHER NOTES.**  
The weather has cleared in the Maritime Provinces, and is now fine from the Great Lakes to the Atlantic.

The temperature continues high in Ontario, but is more moderate further east. The indications now appear more favorable for cooler weather and more general showers in the Western Province.

**WEDNESDAY'S WEATHER.**  
The weather was fine again yesterday in the Western Province, with very high temperatures in Manitoba and more moderate temperatures further west. In Ontario there has been no change since Tuesday, but in Eastern Quebec and the Maritime Provinces the temperature rose, with clearing weather.

**TODAY'S PROBS.**  
The East—Westerly winds; fine and warm.

Lake Superior—Light variable winds; fine, with continued high temperature. Manitoba—High temperature, with a few local thunderstorms. Saskatchewan and Alberta—Westerly winds; fine and warm.

**JUNE HAS BEEN  
A DRY MONTH****Only 1.71 Inches of Rain as  
Compared With 2.49  
in 1909.****WARMER THIS YEAR****91.5 in Shade Against 88.5 a Year Ago  
—Some Weather Figures Which  
Are of Interest.**

Statistics compiled at the local weather bureau show that the month of June ran up to extremes in the matter of temperature, and that on the whole it was much warmer than the same month of a year ago.

The highest temperatures recorded were on June 22 and 23, when the mercury ran up to 91.5 in the shade. In June of 1909, the highest temperature was 88.5.

The lowest reading of the thermometer during the present month was on the 4th, when 34.5 degrees was recorded. A year ago, the lowest reading was 39 degrees on the 15th.

The present has been a very dry month, the rainfall being only 1.71 inches, as compared with 2.49 inches in June of 1909.

The great heat and the lack of rain, as shown by the official figures of the observatory, account for the tremendous shrinkage in the Springbank springs, and also for the great demand in the city for water.

**GUELPH IN TROUBLE  
OVER NIAGARA POWER****Cannot Sell Half the Power and  
Wants a Revision of Its  
Contract.**

Guelph, June 29.—The city light and heat commission is in some difficulty over the hydro-electric contract. The city contracted to take 2,500 horsepower from the hydro-electric commission at \$24 a horsepower, but cannot find a market for more than 1,250, including the municipal demand and 410 horsepower for Government institutions. In the official letter given out, Chairman Carter, of the light and heat commission, says:

"The commission in canvassing for power find that they cannot charge, if they sell any power at all, more than \$24 to small users. If the prices are put any higher to try to meet the loss on fixed charges, which amount to \$17,500 a year, the city cannot sell the power at all, and it is not right they should. The commission is providing motors and saving all cost of installation, so as to get every horsepower from the manufacturers."

"It is now up to the council to make provision to provide the funds necessary to meet the \$17,500 or to go to the hydro-electric commission and have the rates revised. We would draw the council's attention to the Berlin contract. They only contracted for 1,000 horsepower, and Berlin is further away from the main station than Guelph, but the price is \$24, the same as Guelph. We do not understand how the price is the same when Guelph is 2,500 horsepower and Berlin is 1,000 horsepower."

"It is now up to the council to make provision to provide the funds necessary to meet the \$17,500 or to go to the hydro-electric commission and have the rates revised. We would draw the council's attention to the Berlin contract. They only contracted for 1,000 horsepower, and Berlin is further away from the main station than Guelph, but the price is \$24, the same as Guelph. We do not understand how the price is the same when Guelph is 2,500 horsepower and Berlin is 1,000 horsepower."

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are \$16,500, while Berlin's are \$6,540, and Galt's \$6,773. Berlin's charge per horsepower is \$24, but Galt's is only \$22, Guelph's \$24.

"Guelph is responsible for \$347,450 outlay. Berlin is only responsible for \$128,970, and Galt for \$143,920, for the construction of the line, transferred to the city. The difference of \$208,450 in favor of Berlin and \$203,500 in favor of Galt. For maintenance, insurance and running expenses Guelph's yearly liabilities are