

PAGE 5
C 37449

Sec 98. Selection of judges in Quebec to be from bar of Quebec
Sec 99. - hold office during good behaviour, removable by Govt on address of Senate and House.

Removal of judge. See Beavenint p. 173.

power to afft carries with it the power to remove.
In re Squier the validity of a course of inquiry issued by the Govt purporting to be under the Imp. act re removal of colonial officers was in question.
It was held that the Legis assembly of Quebec had no power to abolish the old court of Impeachment established before Confed. by the provt of Quebec for bringing complaints against B.C. judges.
The decision must be taken to be that Legis in reference to removal of judges in sec 98 must come from dominion govt.

Sec 101. Bill of can. may provide for cert of appeal for can. & established many additional courts.

1907. Legis. no power to remove B.C. judges or to issue a commission & require aduan. officer to do so in 1867 for trial of B.C. judges

Sec 98.
Sec 99.
General Office
of Judicial Officers
Courts.

Sec 101
General Office
of Appeal
Court.

The law in no case could apply to Quebec
her judicial system is the customs
of Paris. This clause section has been
construed to apply to three provinces only

Grey of B.C. Columbia taken from member
with Taylor, Wood & Smith of Manitoba
taken from Ontario. Mills - think
this rule should be applicable to
all provinces alike.

Sec 99 2 ways of removing a
judge - (1) guilty of malfeasance, misfeasance
(See Hearne). by writ. (2) by address

from 2 Houses. in case of judge removed
from 2 Houses & judge removed. No time afft a writ begins but

Sec 100 Salaries in case of judge removed
Salaries of all judges from courts
whose judges are afft by Govt. genl

Sec 100 Salaries by govt of
Canada. for all judges from courts
whose judges are afft by Govt. genl
Sec 101 In Canada used in
some sense both times, if it is it
would not be a cert of appeal of provinces
but only of courts of dominion govt.

What are "the laws of Canada"? This
is an important question for we
have assigned to Supreme Court general
jurisdiction which would not be right
if "Canada" meant same in each case