

The rubber stamp misfires again

The highlight of this week's Students Representative Council meeting was presentation of President Roy Neale's summer report, a voluminous 41 page document designed to back up Neale's request for a \$900 summer salary.

The report should have been handed out a week ago, so that the councillors could have had a chance to look it over. (Although most of the councillors wouldn't have.) How the council can be expected to give anyone \$900 on the basis of an unread 41 page report is incomprehensible.

At any rate, the SRC's Administrative Board examined it earlier in the week and gave its unanimous approval to Neale's application. All agreed that Neale's Summer work was worth the \$900. All that remained was for council to give its now-almost-typical rubber stamp.

Some members of council, however, take their jobs more seriously than they've been given credit for. Unfortunately, it is these same people who enjoy the sound of their own voices. They are the self-appointed watch-dogs of the 'evil' SRC executive. They do not always think before they speak.

A rather heated discussion ensued between Neale and most of the

council over what, in fact, he had done. One not-head (who could perhaps be more useful if he shrivelled up into a purple wart) even went so far as to seriously call Neale a liar. This merry-go-round went on for more than an hour.

In a move you had to see to believe, the SRC executive began to back Neale, and for the first time since this council took office, the Executive was solidly united in something. All defended Neale. Some of their speeches were tear-jerking enough to be considered for 'The Edge of Night.' The problem was that very few of the Council members were around this summer and so they don't know what went on. Neale even went so far as to spend out a newsletter to all the members this summer asking for some sort of feedback. One person wrote back.

Neale did a lot of things this summer that he felt should be done. Everything that he has accomplished was for the overall benefit of the students and he believed them all necessary.

If it had not been for Neale there are quite a few things around here that would yet to be done. The fact that he was deeply involved in the student aid hassles

with the Provincial Government is an important one, for the outcome affects a good many students this year.

President Neale was certainly very busy this summer, which is more than can be said for past presidents. A dollar and cents value cannot be placed on the amount of time and effort he expended this summer as the President of our SRC and he certainly deserves a little more compensation than a pat on the back.

To Councillor John Rocca (Law rep) and others, we have this to say:

If, as champions of the student cause, you were to spend more time actually looking into the SRC, you MIGHT find things to complain about. You and most of your fellow councillors didn't even take the time to reply to Neale's summer letter, and it is seriously debatable whether or not you actually could find your own way to the SRC office. Members of the Brunswickan and CHSR visit the SRC office a great deal more than you. And have you taken us up on our invitation to show you where we spend the students' money?

No, you haven't. And we doubt very much that you'll ever bother

to look into the matter. It's time that members of the council realize their job entails more than the two hour meeting Monday night. It requires effort and careful thinking. So far, sir, you and many of your fellow councillors have shown yourselves to be incapable of both.



FEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACKFEEDBACK

Dear Sir:

I would like to strike a blow at campus discrimination through the use of this column. Thursday, September 28 there was a pub in the Sub with Montana Moose.

The signs had been up all week promoting this event. This seemed like a good way to pass the evening so we decided we would attend. We arrived around 9:30, paid our admission and were about to have our hands stamped when we were

suddenly refused admission. By we, I mean my wife and I. The student supervisor at the door explained that since attendance had been so good for the last few such events, it was decided that students only would be admitted.

I attempted to contest this argument. I was given two alternatives. I could go in and leave my wife outside or we could hang around for awhile and when some people started to leave, he would let us in. God bless his generous little soul.

I don't know who organized this event but I have some suggestions for them or anybody who else who attempted to organize any such event. If the function is only for students with an I.D., this should be stated beforehand with the qualifying statement, absolutely 100 percent no exceptions, i.e. without an I.D., one doesn't get in, regardless if one is the president of the SRC, the campus jock or the chick the guy on the door balled last night etc.

Another alternative would be to realize that there are exceptions to every rule and to make allowances accordingly. There are a lot of guys on campus in my situation. What do you people think we do for excitement, sit home and knit, watch television, pick each others noses or spend all our time in bed?

Being married while attending university can be a lot of fun but regulations such as the one as I have described tend to make life frustrating. Think about it, put yourself in a situation such as

mine, what would your reaction be and tell me if I'm wrong to comment to the organizers, UP YOURS WITH A WIRE BRUSH, BABY.

T. Grandy
Bus. Admin. 4

Dear Sir:

In a recent edition of the Brunswickan I note that consideration is being given to student representation on the Board of Governors and the selection of the proper students for the job. On another page there is another article headlined "conformity responsible for our choices" and still another "University requires more student participation".

Putting all three of these together a fourth story should be written about how dons for mens residences are appointed. Most are responsible, understanding and able to cope with young men in a modern university system. But no system is fool-proof and in one particular case a don has been causing all kinds of trouble for his house. His ideas of "conformity" have led to mass exodus voluntarily or otherwise for the most trivial of complaints for at least two years. Any students attempt-

Continued on page 5

BRUNSWICKAN

Staff This Week

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Edison Stewart
MANAGING EDITOR Chris J. Allen
AD DESIGN & LAYOUT Debbie Collum
Sue Woods
ADVERTISING MANAGER Bob Lank

EDITORS news Susan Manzer
sports David Anderson
photo Ken De Freitas
features Jeff Davies
literary Padi McDonough

SECRETARY Jo-Anne Drummond

photo Bob Boyes
Gary Constantine
Danielle Thibeault
Al Denton
Jim Walker
Bob MacLeod

CIRCULATION MANAGER Jayne Bird

Bob Johnson
Forrest Orser
Gary Cameron
Rick Baston
Margot Brewer
Chris Callaghan
Myrna Ruest
Mry-Lee Gallant
Elizabeth Evens
George McAllister Jr.
Dave Campbell
Bob Morrison
Wendy Porter

Kathy Westman
Rick Fisher
Diane Moreland
John Ball
Janet Hogg
Nancy Carr
Terry Downing
Mike Fairweather
Maurice Gauthier
Dave McMillan
Toni Fouse
Sheryl Wright
B.J. Willis

One hundred and seventh year of publication. Canada's Oldest Official Student Publication. A member of Canadian University Press. The Brunswickan, "New Brunswick's largest weekly newspaper", is published weekly at the Fredericton campus of the University of New Brunswick. Opinions expressed in this newspaper are not necessarily those of the Student Representative Council or the Administration of the University. The Brunswickan office is located in the Student Union Building, College Hill, Fredericton, N. B. Printed at L'imprimerie Acadienne Ltée., Moncton, N. B. Subscriptions, \$3 per year. Postage paid in cash at the Third Class Rate, Permit No. 7. National advertising rates available through Youthstream, 307 Davenport Road, Toronto. Local ad rates available at 475-5191.