a laugh obliged

r. Dean, be name le cause u never on to R. ve done Carey. nderer ? gloried e duc."

a poor rt, You (Upon uring in

Dean, form the ness, in a? The C. said 'I have and deny case vas Macharacurt four t, before

gagging having sympaice connt of the ou what the Maprocure Charles om New wedded was Cameron to the interests of his highly respectable acquaintances, the Magraths, that he refused to produce the cheque when called upon to do soll. He wished to visit the pious family, and he fobbed 16s. 10d. of the conterest or is money for his trip of pleasure!

ouring the trial, the defendant was frequently interrupted by the Clerk of the court and Constable Ward, whose impertinence and officiousness were so gross, that a stranger would have thought that these mighty and self-important gentlemen, were the JUDGES and not the SERVANTS of the court !

The judge said he would take time to decide the question; and after a delay of a fortnight, defendant's goods, to the surprise of every one who had witnessed the trial, were seized under execution, for the sum of £4 2s. $3\frac{1}{2}$ d., being 16s. $5\frac{1}{2}$ d. debt, and the remainder costs! And the defendant, by the judges order, had to take from Magrath's shop, IN GOODS, the sum of 16s. $5\frac{1}{2}$ d. being the amount of the verdict had against him with the additional 2d. which Magraths owed the defendant at the time the suit was commenced! Will the inhabitants of this District lament the removal of Judge Powell from the Bench?

During the trial, and upon the close of Charley Magraths testimony my agent stood up to cross-examine him. The Judge told the agent he would not then allow him; he must wait until the whole of the witnesses were examined, and then he might cross-examine Magrath! The agent told him his decision was contrary to the practice of all courts of law; that he had a right to cross-examine him then. The judge, in rather a boisterious tone, told the agent he would not allow him to dictate rules for his court.

When the whole of the witnesses were examined, my agent asked the judge if he were at liberty to cross-examine Magrath. No, said the judge, you should have cross-examined him before; I will not allow you to proceed in a cross-examination now! So by this manceuvre, defendant was deprived of material testimony in support of his case, which would have been wired from Charley on a cross-examination! The reader is aware that the judge ordered that the witnesses in attendance on the first. Therease day, were to attend the next court without subpœna; yet, in order to increase the cost, the Clerk (Thomas Groome, a real Magrath man,) of the court, in violation of the judge's order, issued subpœnas at the request of the four Court his friend Charley.

The matter in dispute originated in an <u>order</u> defendant received from a person in his debt on James Magrath, for £1 8s. 5½d., to be paid in goods at Magrath's shop.

This order was in the hand-writing of Charley, and signed by Thomas Fitzgerald, dated September 5th, 1843. Upon this order Mr. Dean obtained goods to the amount of 16s. $7\frac{1}{2}d$., and endorsed that amount on the order, on the 18th September; and on the 9th day of November,