

speeches, and that the debate should close to-night, I am willing to forego speaking on this question, as I would very much have liked to do, feeling a great interest in it. However, my views have been expressed by gentlemen on this side of the House, and I will content myself with simply voting for the amendment.

Mr. EDGAR. I can understand that it is quite possible, after what we were able to observe on this side of the House, that the hon. Minister of Public Works and his friend, for the last two or three hours, have really been trying to put an end to this discussion and to have a vote. Perhaps it was not their fault altogether. Moreover, I happened to go out of the House a few minutes before the adjournment last night, and I must, of course, accept the statements of my hon. friends on this side of what occurred, and for that reason I will withdraw the motion to adjourn.

House divided on amendment of Mr. Blake (p. 3110).

## YEAS :

## Messieurs

Allen,	Gillmor,	Mulock,
Auger,	Guay,	Paterson (Brant),
Bernier,	Harley,	Platt,
Bourassa,	Holton,	Ray,
Burpee,	Innes,	Rinfret,
Cameron (Huron),	Irvine,	Scriver,
Cameron (Middlesex),	Kirk,	Somerville (Brant),
Campbell (Renfrew),	Langelier,	Somerville (Bruce),
Cartwright,	Laurier,	Springer,
Casey,	Lister,	Sutherland (Oxford),
Casgrain,	Livingston,	Trow,
De St. Georges,	McCrane,	Vail,
Edgar,	McIntyre,	Watson,
Fairbank,	McIsaac,	Weldon,
Fleming,	McMullen,	Wells,
Forbes,	Mills,	Wilson.—49
Geoffrion,		

## NAYS :

## Messieurs

Abbott,	Farrow,	McLelan,
Allison,	Ferguson (Leeds & Gren),	McNeill,
Bain (Soulanges),	Ferguson (Welland),	Massue,
Baker (Missisquoi),	Fortin,	Moffat,
Baker (Victoria),	Gault,	Montplaisir,
Barnard,	Gigault,	Orton,
Beaty,	Girouard,	Paint,
Bell,	Gordon,	Pinsonneault,
Benoit,	Grandbois,	Pruyn,
Bergeron,	Guillet,	Riopel,
Bergin,	Hackett,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Billy,	Haggart,	Ross,
Blondeau,	Hall,	Royal,
Bowell,	Hesson,	Shakespeare,
Bryson,	Hickey,	Shanly,
Cameron (Inverness),	Homer,	Small,
Campbell (Victoria),	Hurteau,	Smyth,
Carling,	Jamieson,	Sproule,
Caron,	Jenkins,	Stairs,
Cimon,	Kaulbach,	Taschereau,
Cochrane,	Kilvert,	Tassé,
Colby,	Kranz,	Taylor,
Costigan,	Labrosse,	Temple,
Coughlin,	Landry (Montmagny),	Thompson,
Curran,	Langevin,	Tupper,
Cuthbert,	Lesage,	Vanasse,
Daly,	Macdonald (King's),	Wallace (Albert),
Dawson,	Macdonald (Sir John),	Wallace (York),
Desaulniers (Mask'ngé),	Mackintosh,	White (Cardwell),
Desaulniers (St. Maurice),	Macmaster,	White (Hastings),
Desjardins,	McMillan (Vaudreuil),	White (Renfrew),
Dickinson,	McCallum,	Wigle,
Dodd,	McDougald (Picton),	Wood (Brockville),
Dugas,	McDougall (O. Breton),	Woodworth,
Dupont,	McGreevy,	Wright.—105

Amendment negatived, and House resolved itself into Committee of Ways and Means.

(In the Committee.)

Mr. BOWELL moved :

When any manufactured article is imported into Canada in separate parts, each part shall be charged the same rate of duty as the finished article, on a proportionate valuation, and when the duty thereon is

specific or specific *ad valorem*, an average rate of *ad valorem* duty, equal to the specific or specific and *ad valorem* duties so chargeable, shall be ascertained and charged upon such part.

Motion agreed to, and Committee rose and reported the resolution.

Sir JOHN A. MACDONALD moved the adjournment of the House.

Motion agreed to; and the House adjourned at 4 a.m., Thursday.

## HOUSE OF COMMONS.

THURSDAY, 9th July, 1885.

The SPEAKER took the Chair at half-past One o'clock.

PRAYERS.

## WAYS AND MEANS—PERSONAL EXPLANATION.

Mr. BOWELL moved that the House resolve itself into Committee of Ways and Means.

Mr. SOMERVILLE (Brant). I wish to detain the House a very few moments to make an explanation I was proceeding to make yesterday when I was ruled out of order. I will just repeat the extract which I then read in the *Ottawa Citizen*. (See *Debates* of July 8th). As I was proceeding to say yesterday, if these statements from the *Hamilton Spectator* were true, they would be sufficient to discredit anything I might say in this House in future; and in order to show that they are not true, I will proceed as briefly as possible to dissect the paragraph. It says:

"Somerville says what he knows to be false. Double prices were not paid for press work."

Now, I find in the accounts which were rendered by the *Hamilton Spectator* Company, that they charged for 20,865 tokens of press work, and they only performed 10,433 tokens, for which they were paid 30 cents per token instead of 10 cents the contractors' rates. Consequently, I think I have established beyond any doubt whatever that the statement the *Spectator* makes with regard to not being paid double prices for press work is not correct. Anyone can look at the accounts which were produced before the Public Accounts Committee, and verify my words. Then, the editor of the *Spectator* says:

"The *Spectator* was paid at prices fixed by the Mackenzie Government."

They were not paid at prices fixed by the Mackenzie Government. This is on a par with the charges made by the gentlemen who support this Administration with regard to the troubles in the North-West. They say the Mackenzie Government is to blame for all the blood spilt and all the treasure spent in putting down that rebellion. Now, everybody knows that the present Government, who have administered the affairs of that country for the last seven years, are wholly responsible for that expenditure and that loss of blood. The *Spectator* goes on to say:

"The *Spectator* was not paid for press work never performed; and Somerville does not believe or think it was."

I do believe and I do think so, and I have proven my statements.

"10 cents is not the price paid for any press work under any Government contract whatever; and Somerville knows it is not."

Now, I wish to read from the Sessional Papers of this House, in which a copy of the contract that now exists is printed, and it is open for the inspection of every member of this House, and also the editor of the *Hamilton Spectator*; and I find here that it is stated: