

plans, a change in policy, a change in attitude toward the Canadian people?

MR. HAIG: Well, it suggests that it was not something that took full cognizance of the dialogue that has taken place here and of the preparatory steps that preceded our visit here.

Q. There will be no similar letter then sent to Ottawa.

MR. HAIG: Oh, I do not anticipate one.

Q. Minister MacGuigan, when you were last in Washington after your meeting with Secretary Haig, you expressed disappointment at the Secretary's position on the Automobile Pact.

If that disappointment has changed, what have the Americans done to change it?

MR. MacGUIGAN: Well, that was, as you may recall, the one point the last time on which I said that the U.S. position had been less than satisfactory but it would not be quite right to call Secretary Haig's position has been the traditional position of the United States in all the dialogues on the Automobile Pact where they favour free trade between the two countries without limitations, that they interpret the Auto Pact that way. We interpret the Auto Pact as requiring free trade on certain conditions which protect our minority position.

So, each of us was reiterating our