

RE HAMILTON—KELLY, J.—APRIL 4.

*Limitation of Actions—Title to Land by Possession—Evidence—Finding of Local Master—Appeal.*—An appeal by Emma E. Hamilton from the report of the Local Master at Ottawa by which he found against her claim to an interest in certain land in the city of Ottawa. The appeal was heard in the Weekly Court, Ottawa. KELLY, J., in a written judgment, said that a part of the history of the title to this land was to be found in the report of Hamilton v. The King (1917), 54 Can. S.C.R. 331. The question was, whether the respondents had acquired a title by length of possession under the Limitations Act to the land. The Local Master reached the conclusion that James J. Hamilton (the father of the respondents) abandoned the property shortly after the death of his wife. The evidence was open to that interpretation and amply supported the Master's view in that respect, and his conclusion was that the respondents had acquired a good title as against the appellant. On the evidence, no other conclusion could reasonably have been reached. The appeal should be dismissed with costs. J. P. Ebbs, for the appellant. A. E. Fripp, K.C., for the respondents.

---

CORRECTION.

In ROBSON v. WILSON, ante 54, at p. 55, at the end of the note, it is said that "the *plaintiffs* should have their costs"—it should be *defendants*.