

Bennett and Reduced Taxation.

(Founded in 1879 by W. J. Herder.)
THE EVENING TELEGRAM

THE EVENING TELEGRAM, LIMITED, PROPRIETORS.
 All communications should be addressed to The Evening Telegram, Ltd., and not to individuals.

St. John's, Newfoundland, Tuesday, April 24, 1923.

DELAYED and DECEPTIVE

An Undignified Manifesto!

It had been rumored that Sir R. A. Squires would not issue a Manifesto. Reading that which he published on Saturday last, it will be agreed that he would have shown wisdom by maintaining silence. A feeling of the Premier's utter lack of a becoming dignity is the first impression the Manifesto gives, and its insincerity is the last taste it leaves in the readers' mouth.

The personal references to his more prominent opponents, and their slighting and untruthful characters, are utterly out of place in a document intended to set forth a Government's policy. They serve to prove, however, that the inspiration of similar attacks in the Advocate and Mail has come directly from the Premier himself. The attempt, for instance, to create sectarian prejudice by representing Sir Michael Cashin as the actual leader of the Opposition, and Mr. Bennett as a "pawn," is made as openly in the Manifesto as it has been in the Government organs, and proves that the Premier is the chief "sectarian firebug" in the Colony.

The callous mendacity of Sir Richard Squires is also strikingly evinced by his Manifesto. It has been shown by the News, in a series of articles called the "Great Betrayal," that of all the numerous promises made by him in 1919, not one has been kept, that the majority, in fact, have been deliberately broken, yet in this latest appeal to the Colony, the Premier claims that his pledges have been kept in the main, though he wisely refrains from mentioning even one fulfilled.

An illustration of the deliberate deception he seeks to profit by is given in the only reference to the financial record of his government Sir Richard makes. He tells the public that the budget framed by Sir Michael Cashin produced a revenue equal to \$43.67 per head in 1919-20, while the budget he submitted for the year 1921-22 will not collect more than \$34.78 per head, and the false impression he thereby seeks to create is that the burden of taxation and the gross expenditure have been less under his administration than under his predecessor's.

The actual truth is, of course, that with a very much lower rate of Customs duties, a greater revenue was received under Sir Michael because the Colony was more prosperous, while with a constantly decreasing prosperity, Sir Richard Squires constantly increased the rate of Customs duties, so that the share of their earnings paid in taxation by the people was much greater under Squires than under Cashin.

It is also true, of course, that while Squires was taking a greater share of the earnings of a poorer people, he was borrowing money abroad, thereby adding to the Public Debt, and so to the everlasting load which the people will have to bear for all time. Cashin, on the other hand, out of his greater revenue, was building up a surplus for a wet day, but, alas and alack, when that day came, he was not there to use it prudently, but in the hands of Squires and Coaker it was wildly squandered.

It is a matter of fact that the expenditure has been greater under Sir Richard Squires than ever before. This has to be paid for by the people, either in the present, by taxes, or in the future, by taxes; the method is the same in both cases, though the times may be different. It is also equally true, that the share of people's earnings taken by the Government has been greater under Squires than ever before; that as the people have grown poorer and poorer, and less able to earn and pay, he has taken from them a constantly increasing share; and in addition to this, he has borrowed and added to their debts, a larger sum each year than any other Premier. It is sadly true, also, that for all the vast sums expended under Squires, not one enduring thing except the debt itself can be pointed to as the result of his conduct of our finances.

If Sir Richard had chosen to explain, to excuse, to justify, even, a degree of sympathy might have been possible, but he has chosen instead to sympathize, to deceive—in short, to lie most abominably about his record, and his reward should be that deserved by all liars, whether the picaune ones who seek to deceive a few, or the monster who attempts to delude a whole people.

THE SURPRISE OF THE DAY!

Coaker's Colleague Nominated—A Vote for Dunfield is a Vote for Coaker.

The nomination of Brian Dunfield, for St. John's East, was the surprise of yesterday's proceedings. The secret had been well kept. Mr. Dunfield's card to the electors, bearing evidence of careful preparation, was circulated widely yesterday. He is what Premier Squires calls a "scion," being the son of a clergyman, the son-in-law of a Judge, and a Director of a fishery-supplying company.

It is a matter of regret that a candidate who claims to be of more than ordinary quality should be guilty of trickery at the very outset, for that is what the secrecy amounts to. Ordinarily, a candidate comes early into the field, addresses the people broadly and frequently, and if he stands for a cause, gives time for its adequate discussion. To do otherwise seems to aim at a snap judgment. Mr. Dunfield seeks to win by surprise.

It is a matter requiring explanation that Mr. Coaker did not find a seat for Mr. Dunfield in the supposedly safe North. It has long been understood that the notorious Fishery Regulations were framed by Mr. Dunfield. It is said that Messrs. Hawes and Brookes conceived the policy, that Mr. Dunfield put it into words, and that Coaker made those words law. Mr. R. B. Job was a member of the Fishery Board, and Job, Brookes and Dunfield were for a long period the chief defenders of the regulations when they came up for discussion in the Board of Trade. Mr. Dunfield was defeated in Trinity as a colleague with Mr. Squires, in 1913, supported the Squires-Coaker party in 1919, and believes, he says, that the same

The Tyrant of the North.



The days of tyranny are rapidly drawing to a close and a happier and more independent people will be thankful for release. Coaker, the Government head and self-styled autocrat of the North, is now shivering in abject fear, for help is at hand and the Bennett Candidates are being proclaimed from all districts.

The shackles of Coakerism have been thrown off, and a happier people are acclaiming BENNETT AND BETTER TIMES!

party will be elected to office. History, therefore, shows that Mr. Dunfield is a Squires-Coaker nominee.

The chief issue in this election is whether the Squires-Coaker gang shall continue in power. Anybody who diverts attention from that issue, or splits up the votes of electors, is playing the game of the gang. Every vote given to Dunfield, is an opponent of Squires or Coaker is a vote half given to them, for it's a vote lost to the cause of their defeat. Mr. Dunfield, then, has to be regarded by intelligent people as a helper of the unworthy twin leaders of the Government. Willingly or unwillingly, he will help them to the extent that he gets votes which they could not get for their own men. It is as though Squires and Coaker were to say, "if you won't vote for us, at least don't vote against us, but waste your vote by giving it to Dunfield."

Opponents of the Government in St. John's East should remember that the question they will have to settle on Election Day is not what man is best qualified to be a member, but "what Government do we want? If we vote for Dunfield, we really vote for Coaker. We shall, of course, not elect Dunfield, but votes which might, if marked for Higgins, Fox and Vincombe, have put Coaker out of power, may leave him there if given for Dunfield." Only those, therefore, who are ready to be made tools for the profit and advantage of Squires and Coaker will mark their votes for Dunfield.

Mr. Dunfield has circulated "Twelve Facts" about himself, as "a strong man", which shows that his vanity, at least, is great. For instance, he has "brains", has "honored the legal profession by his arguments in Court", is "a bit of a fishery expert as well as a lawyer", and has "made his own way and made good", all of which is measurably true, and yet might much better have been left for his supporters to say for him. "He's not the only pebble on the beach".

Mr. Dunfield speaks of himself in two places in his Manifesto as "a Church of England candidate". This is an impertinence. The Church of England, as such, is not in politics. It has not chosen or nominated any candidate. If he merely means to say that he is a member of the Church of England, he has been unfortunate in his choice of words, and even so should not have mooted the subject, for an intelligent man, especially one with his pretensions, should not mention sectarian matters in this connection, or seek to profit by any denominational appeal. That is putting one's faith to a poor use.

But it is in his statement of the political situation, and of his own position towards it, that Mr. Dunfield has made the worst blunder. "Both parties", he says, "are run by 'Old Gangs', and 'whichever gets power will practice graft, corruption and waste'. Then he says "Squires will win", but "Bartlett, Bulley and Burke will lose in St. John's East", and he, if elected, though "he has no policy", will "watch the Squires' Government to see they do no wrong", and at the same time "will get the electors of St. John's East what they want, and give both old parties what they deserve". He winds up with one grand burst, "Put St. John's East in power whichever way the election goes".

Now examine carefully into this turgid appeal, and perceive what it really means. This self-asserted "independent", this "bold critic", is going to be on the winning side whichever it is, for that's the only meaning of the call to put St. John's East in power whichever side wins; that is, he will be on that side. As he says that Squires will win, he means, clearly, that he, if elected, will be on the Squires side. How then can he be an independent critic, or anything else than one who "runs with the hare and hunts with the hounds"? How can he get "what the electors want", from an "old gang of grafters", except by stifling his criticism, or advocating their schemes, in return for a corrupt consideration. In other words, Mr. Dunfield says, "elect me and I shall be for sale, but I'll give the price to you". This is not clean politics, is it?

Magistrate's Court

The sinner's seat in the Magistrate's Court this morning was overwhelmed with drunks arrested by the police since Saturday night last. Ten ordinary drunks were granted their freedom upon payment of the usual

deposit. Two were released, while one was fined \$1.00 or 4 days. Two drunks and disorderlies were each fined \$2.00 or 7 days, while a loose and disorderly person was also fined \$2.00.
 WINARD'S LINIMENT FOR CORNS AND WARTS.

TORBAY AFIRE.

Victory-Straight-Ticket-Trio Score one Hundred per cent Vote—Unparalleled Reception Given Bennett Standard Bearers

Wonderful scenes of enthusiasm were witnessed at a meeting of the East End candidates, Messrs. Higgins, Fox and Vincombe held last night at Torbay Parish Hall. The building was filled to overflowing and as the candidates delivered their addresses they received tremendous ovations. Mr. William Gosse presided and on the platform were many veterans. It was a memorable scene and a memorable occasion, one that has probably never been paralleled, and certainly never exceeded in Torbay. The reception prepared for the candidates was complete in every detail and all the residents participated scarcely a residence but was illuminated or showed signs of welcome. Along the road leading to the city the houses were also illuminated whilst bonfires blazed and volleys of musketry gave unmistakable signs of the popularity of the Bennett Trio. It is unanimously agreed that Torbay will vote the straight ticket and give 100 per cent vote for Higgins, Fox and Vincombe. The meeting opened at 8 o'clock closed at 11 p.m. At the conclusion Mr. Gould proposed a vote of thanks to the chairman, which was unanimously passed and cordially tendered. The candidates will hold a meeting to-night at Outer Cove where a big reception is assured.

Harbor Grace Solid for Opposition.

The largest and most enthusiastic political meeting ever held in Harbor Grace District was held in St. Paul's Hall, Harbor Grace, last night by the Leader of the Opposition Party, Mr. J. R. Bennett and his colleagues Messrs. A. E. Hickman and F. Archibald. Between seven and eight hundred were present and scores were unable to gain admittance. The meeting was presided over by Capt. Jas. Parmenter, and opened shortly after eight o'clock. The chairman gave a short but pointed address, and called on Mr. Bennett to address the gathering. Mr. Bennett in a masterly address, lasting nearly two hours, outlined the policy of his party and tore in shreds the recent manifesto of the leader of the Government. He reviewed the misdoings of the government for the past three years and showed up in unmistakable terms the certain disaster that will overtake the country if given another term of office. He pointed out there was nothing left but to return the party of which he was leader, and dealt, without gloves, with the proposals of the Government in the district, pointing out the underlying object of playing him with regard to the leadership and Sir Michael Cashin. He warned the people to beware between now and polling day against propaganda of the lowest and most contemptible description, tactics that will be used not alone in that district but throughout the entire country. All through his address, as he made point after point against the Government, there was the most enthusiastic applause and at the conclusion of his remarks the building fairly shook with cheers and hurrahs.

Mr. Hickman was the next speaker, and in a most practical speech dealt with the serious problems before us, and convinced the entire audience that the only hope for the country's future progress lay in the return of the party under Mr. Bennett's leadership. Mr. Hickman's address on this occasion exceeded even his former efforts, and after a speech of

nearly an hour during which he was continually applauded, he concluded by endorsing Mr. Bennett's remarks regarding the tactics resorted to by the Government in a strong and forcible manner.

Mr. Archibald followed Mr. Hickman, and stated as he was in his native town he naturally felt at home, and he handled in a splendid manner the matter of local interest and brought home to the people the absolute neglect of the district had been suffering during the past three and half years. He assured them that the candidates who were representing the party under the leadership of Mr. J. R. Bennett were the only ones that could and would do anything for the district, and pointed out the fact that the Government candidates had not yet held a public meeting but were going around telling the people they were waiting for Squires to hold one for them. Mr. Archibald's address, although the hour was getting late, was received with wonderful enthusiasm, and the people of his home town demonstrated in no uncertain manner the popular sentiment for their fellow townsmen.

Nomination Protested.

After the nomination of Mr. Ambrose Heers, the Government candidate for Ferryland district, a protest was entered, claiming that he was a naturalized American citizen, and as such had no right to stand for election. It is claimed that Mr. Heers took out naturalization papers some 15 years ago, and the fact was not disclosed until after nomination.

Coaker and Squires Combined Against St. George's Coal Fields Development.

Voted \$500,000 for Reids South Branch Coal Claims Which Turned out a Fizzle—Turned Down 2600 Local Shareholders and Tried to Block the Sale to an American Syndicate—Bennett will Encourage Local Enterprise and Development.

Newfoundlanders! The time is come when you must be awake to your interests. The scandals of the present Government are many and great, but perhaps one of the most glaring of them all is the manner in which both Mr. Coaker and his serf the Prime Minister dealt with the pleas and petitions of the local company known as The St. George's Coal Fields Company, Ltd., who by reason of the incompetence of Mr. Joseph Downey, now a Coaker-Squires candidate in the district of St. George's and the satellites of Messrs. Coaker and Squires were denied the most meagre consideration of encouragement, in fact they with Mr. Downey, contrived and connived to discourage the project which now, despite their efforts and double cross methods to knock the enterprise, turns out to be a most welcome and prosperous proposition. Our readers will remember the outrageous scandal in relation to Reid's South Branch coal claims when the Government voted five hundred thousand dollars to the company to develop same with the net result a colossal failure. At the same time the newspapers of the day were giving us the splendid prospects of the claims of the St. George's Coal Fields whose directors had asked for a very small sum to build a road of seven miles connecting the claims to the main road. During this time experts were pronouncing very favorably on the St. George's claims which from every indication would cost very little in comparison to the South Branch areas to develop. But the Fields were backed by W. F. Coaker, who is and was the controlling factor of the Government, had to be listened to, and they were so much so that half a million of dollars of the people's money were given them to boost their personal enterprises.

Mr. Coaker and his government were not satisfied with going thus far as will be admitted by the knowledge that they worked unceasingly to prevent the sale of the St. George's Coal Fields claims to outside capitalists which, despite the opposition of the Reids, Coaker, Downey, Squires and the government, appears to have been consummated with the result that within a reasonable period we will be in a position to enjoy the glow of Newfoundland coal at a much cheaper price than the imported commodity which should, according to all sense and reason have been ours to enjoy two or three years ago.

The conduct of Mr. Downey, Mr. Coaker and the present Government in connection with the St. George's Coal Fields enterprise, is of such a character that needs no apology for its vindictiveness and spleen which so manifests itself in all their dealings respecting same—so accordingly we avail of this opportunity to register our denunciation of the outstanding scandal which from every standpoint merits the severest condemnation of all concerned.

Correspondence re Ropewalk Incident.

MR. ALLERDICE REPLIES TO MAIL.

St. John's, April 23, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram:—I am enclosing copy of a letter addressed to the Editor of the "Daily Mail" and should be much obliged if you can find space for it in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours truly,
 F. C. ALLERDICE.

St. John's, April 23, 1923.

Editor "Daily Mail."

Dear Sir—I desire to give unqualified contradiction to two statements contained in your report of the meeting held by the Government West End candidates at the Ropewalk Club Rooms on the evening of the 20th inst. I refer to where you accuse me of attempting to coerce the employees of the Cordage Company into voting against their conscience and inclination at the forthcoming general election. My other exception is where you state I incited men to commit acts of violence at the meeting in question. As a matter of fact my action was the very opposite to what you state. The Government candidates have had the same privileges as were given the Opposition party. When the former notified me they would like to hold a meeting in the Club Rooms on Friday evening I notified the caretaker to have everything in readiness for Sir Richard Squires and his colleagues. Further, I instructed the officers of the club to see that the speakers received an attentive and courteous hearing and to put down any attempt at disorder or interruption. Unfortunately some rum appeared upon the scene resulting in considerable unpleasantness. Whilst very much deploring the disorderliness of the meeting I am relieved to be able to state the two principal offenders are not employees of the Cordage Company. I feel sure your sense of fair play will prompt you to give space in the next issue of your paper to my absolute denial of the unsubstantiated charges made against me.

(Sgd.) F. C. ALLERDICE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

ing's issue of your newspaper.

Yours truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Daily Mail.

Dear Sir—With reference to your article in Saturday's issue concerning the meeting held by the Government candidates at the Ropewalk Club, we, the undersigned members of the office staff and workmen at the Ropewalk, wish to state that on no occasion did the Managing Director, Mr. F. C. Allerdice, ask us or anybody to interrupt the speakers or in any way interfere with the holding of the meeting. On the contrary, Mr. Allerdice expressed the wish that the speakers would receive a perfectly good hearing. It is also incorrect that Mr. Allerdice has made any attempt whatsoever to coerce any of us to vote against the Government candidates.

The statements made in your issue concerning Mr. Allerdice are most unfair to him and to the Company of which he is Managing Director and we ask you, therefore, to publish the letter.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor "Daily Mail."

Dear Sir—I desire to give unqualified contradiction to two statements contained in your report of the meeting held by the Government West End candidates at the Ropewalk Club Rooms on the evening of the 20th inst. I refer to where you accuse me of attempting to coerce the employees of the Cordage Company into voting against their conscience and inclination at the forthcoming general election. My other exception is where you state I incited men to commit acts of violence at the meeting in question. As a matter of fact my action was the very opposite to what you state. The Government candidates have had the same privileges as were given the Opposition party. When the former notified me they would like to hold a meeting in the Club Rooms on Friday evening I notified the caretaker to have everything in readiness for Sir Richard Squires and his colleagues. Further, I instructed the officers of the club to see that the speakers received an attentive and courteous hearing and to put down any attempt at disorder or interruption. Unfortunately some rum appeared upon the scene resulting in considerable unpleasantness. Whilst very much deploring the disorderliness of the meeting I am relieved to be able to state the two principal offenders are not employees of the Cordage Company. I feel sure your sense of fair play will prompt you to give space in the next issue of your paper to my absolute denial of the unsubstantiated charges made against me.

(Sgd.) F. C. ALLERDICE.

St. John's, April 23, 1923.

Editor "Daily Mail."

Dear Sir—I desire to give unqualified contradiction to two statements contained in your report of the meeting held by the Government West End candidates at the Ropewalk Club Rooms on the evening of the 20th inst. I refer to where you accuse me of attempting to coerce the employees of the Cordage Company into voting against their conscience and inclination at the forthcoming general election. My other exception is where you state I incited men to commit acts of violence at the meeting in question. As a matter of fact my action was the very opposite to what you state. The Government candidates have had the same privileges as were given the Opposition party. When the former notified me they would like to hold a meeting in the Club Rooms on Friday evening I notified the caretaker to have everything in readiness for Sir Richard Squires and his colleagues. Further, I instructed the officers of the club to see that the speakers received an attentive and courteous hearing and to put down any attempt at disorder or interruption. Unfortunately some rum appeared upon the scene resulting in considerable unpleasantness. Whilst very much deploring the disorderliness of the meeting I am relieved to be able to state the two principal offenders are not employees of the Cordage Company. I feel sure your sense of fair play will prompt you to give space in the next issue of your paper to my absolute denial of the unsubstantiated charges made against me.

(Sgd.) F. C. ALLERDICE.

St. John's, April 23, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

Yours very truly,
 FRED W. PIKE.

St. John's, April 24, 1923.

Editor Evening Telegram.

Dear Sir—I enclose copy of letter addressed to the Editor of the Daily Mail and should be much obliged if you will publish same in this evening's issue of your newspaper.

HIGGINS
 St. John's
 (By S...
 Victorious
 honourable—E...
 Bennett Men...
 pling the peo...
 seen by the b...
 ed for their...
 people hav...
 FOX and V...
 Country's c...
 secure them...
 prosperity must...
 the people; th...
 undertaken...
 an Governme...
 of saving...
 Party stat...
 ment.
 germ of Squ...
 mia must be...
 ing Trio are...
 John's East...
 ous Team to...
 evil of Co...
 Loyal Old...
 to admitt...
 to the del...
 sending ha...
 HIGGINS's
 erday's
 TIC DANCE
 CESS
 night's dan...
 was attend...
 numbers and...
 most enjoy...
 The Elms...
 Miss Elsie...
 The Grand...
 18th in the...
 GUIDES' I...
 THODIST
 rd St. Joh...
 held a disp...
 ere Hal' la...
 was largel...
 which am...
 go to the...
 manage. La...
 Commission...
 ed a fluent...
 gress. Incl...
 Lady H...
 elener, Mrs...
 Miss Allie...
 urname was...
 camp fire...
 A meet of...
 Ayre, and...
 spreading th...
 while the...
 to Miss G...
 at the pla...
 RANCE LAR...
 ists of 200...
 y and Da...
 ems last n...
 in the G...
 John G...
 The Prin...
 the dance...
 th teas w...
 Auxiliary
 committee...
 congratula...
 success.
 DIES' CA...
 DAN...
 rd party...
 Club room...
 es of the T...
 attended i...
 as the...
 to date...
 tourname...
 and Mr...
 dance, who...
 did not...
 for this m...
 25 PER...
 HOME