

# CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL

PUBLISHED MONTHLY.

NEW SERIES  
VOL. III, No. 11.

BRANTFORD, ONT., MAY, 1896.

WHOLE  
No. 375

Judging from E. E. Hasty's writings in the Review under the heading "A Condensed View of Current Hasty Feeling Bee Writings," he is feeling very sore because our Dominion Parliament are not willing to allow a fraud to be perpetrated upon the public in permitting sugar syrup to be sold as pure honey. We cannot be surprised that the law and those who have endorsed its views upon this question should not be pleased with the Canadian Bee Journal, or that Canadians have secured legislation which will give consumers confidence in the product of Canadian apiaries at home and abroad. Foremost in this work has been Pettit and J. E. Frith, while in the controversy in which W. F. Clarke tried to prove our cause, the first to reply was the editor of the Canadian Bee Journal, S. T. Smith, R. H. Smith, Wm. McEvoy and the Doctor Duncan appeared to have given publicity to such unwholesome facts and because they plead appeared to be so sound the sentiment in favor of the principal members of the Canadian Bee Journal. When we read this paper we were told by the proprietor that the editor of the Review said that there was no necessity for a Canadian Bee Journal, he offered to purchase the subscription list and the Review would be the journal for Canada. Mr. Hasty and the editor of the Review are one in considering that it is unnecessary to secure such legislation as we have secured, Hasty in his covert remarks is

but voicing the opinion of the editor of the Review. Their opinion has never changed, and we think Canadian bee-keepers can congratulate themselves that they have an organ at their beck, which speaks upon this question with no uncertain sound. In justice to Gleanings in Bee Culture and the American Bee Journal, the leading bee journals in the United States, we would say their views are in harmony with the Canadian Bee Journal and 999 out of 1000 bee-keepers. In Canada we do not know of one at all, dependant for a living upon the keeping of bees, who does not rejoice that the House of Commons has passed the act which voices the principle to which Mr. Hasty and the editor of the Review has objected so strongly.

\* \* \*

Every little while the statement crops up that foul brood can be cured by means of some drug, and particularly is this the case in Europe. Drugs for Foul Brood. E. D. Till, Eynsford, Kent, says in the British Bee Journal in a discussion with Dr. Bartrum: "As to Naphthaline an naphthol beta affording proper security against foul brood. Dr. Bartrum knows it does not ensure exemption." We cannot see how it is possible to guarantee or even feel surely certain that a case of foul brood will be cured by the drug treatment. If the drug does not come in contact with every spore of disease it is liable to break out again if fed to the larvae. And who can expect that such contact is certain to take place when these spores