in earlier days were rash enough to adopt it, well aware, not only of the great diffieulties to be encountered in carrying out such a policy, but of the fact that under ordinary circumstances, in spito of the great economic waste involved, its results are by no means so satisfuctory as its advocates would like to have us believe.

Most of us can remember the time when the majority of veterinariuns, many of whom should have known better, believed that if a herd of cattle were tested, the reactors destroyed and the premises disinfected, the disease was stamped out and the owner might thereafter be left to follow his own courses.

Intelligent men have, of course, understood from the beginning that there must be, in the very nature of things, a period of latency or incubation between the time of infection and that when an infected animal would react to tuberculin. This period was fixed in 1899 and 1900 by contemporaneous but entirely independent experiments, carried on by the Tuberculin Committee of the Royal Agricultural Society of England and by Dr. Necard and Rossignel, under the auspices of the Société de Médecine Vétérinaire Printique of France. The results in both cases were practically the same and showed the period of incubation, while depending somewhat upon the mode and degree of infection, to range from eight to fifty days.

This fact, affecting vitally as it does both the original herd and any additions or replacements which may be made, is in itself a very serious obstacle to the satisfactory working out of a policy of compulsory testing and shaughter, even with liberal compensation. Taken in conjunction with the vagaries of tuberculin, especially on second, third and fourth tests in the same herds, and the numerous ingenious methods adopted by owners, especially of pure bred cattle, in order to defeat the test, it is sufficient to exclude from the field of practical action this method of dealing with tuberculosis, except in small and eircunseribed communities, in which all, or at least a majority of the owners are alive to the necessity of stamping out tuberculosis and are willing to co-operate heartily with the nuthorities in bringing about that result.

This conclusion on my part has not been rashly arrived at. Ever since tuberculin was first used as a diagnostic agent in beyine tuberculosis I have been studying its action and during the whole of that period my opportunities for such study have been considerably greater than fall to the lot of the average veterinarian.

Let us go a little more into detail. A herd of, say one hundred cattle, kept under ordinary stable conditions is tested and twenty-tive reactors are found. These twentyfive animals, together with any which, owing to the disease being in an advanced stage, may fail to react but which are detected by clinical examination, are slaughtered and the premises carefully disinfected. It is not so very long, as I have aiready said, since many veterinarians were teaching that such a herd was safe and sound and that provided any animals added were carefully tested before being brought into contact, no further danger need be apprehended. This is, of course, very far from being the case.

In the first place a retest after three months will, depending to some extent on the virulence of the particular infection, a point of great importance, and the sanitary conditions, reveal perhaps from five to ten new reactors. Even after these have been destroyed and the premises again disinfected the herd is by no means safe. The ten reactors, taking that as the number, have been living in close contact with the remaining sixty-five and it is quite likely that three months later, several of the latter will be found to be affected. Here also comes into play the uncertainty of tuberculin in repeated tests, a most serious consideration, especially where doubtful reactions are concerned. In spite of Professor Vullé's important and valuable discovery, which I may say does not by any means apply in all cases, it is quite within the bounds of possibility that a number of animals, affected to a greater or less degree, will fail to react when tested for the third or fourth time. This acquired tolerance to tuberculin is one of its most serious limitations and constitutes another difficulty somewhat hard to overcome. Let us admit, however, that