

Q. In making your statement at Lindsay, you said, Mr. Gordon, "that only a small section of his reference to Mr. Herridge had been correctly used by *The Globe*"?—A. Yes, I will explain that. The whole explanation in the proper setting would have explained it very much differently.

Q. It went on to say:

and a large audience in Hamilton heard every word I said, and Mr. Gordon said he followed his notes very closely in making the Hamilton speech.

That meant, I assume, that you had followed your notes very closely and had prepared what you had said. Is not that what it would mean? I assume you brought those notes with you?—A. They are written on a menu or an envelope.

Q. I think that is all I have to ask. What I had particularly in mind was this, that there is a very great difference apart from the propriety of your using a lady's name, and I agree it is not a proper thing to do, and you say you did not do it—apart from that there is a very great difference in the seriousness of the charge as to whether Mr. Herridge's expenses had been paid from the public treasury when he was occupied on government business.—A. That is what I was chiefly concerned about, and about which the Chairman spoke a few minutes ago. Naturally, I was investigating the fact as to whether I had mentioned the Premier's name on each of these occasions. My idea emphasized the fact that Major Herridge was not paid the expenses on this trip. I was particularly anxious that he was not involved in this matter, and that Major Herridge had not been responsible for what the newspapers had reported me as saying he was. And I considered Premier Bennett's position in the matter of responsibility of being involved in the discussion that took place, as minor to Major and Mrs. Herridge's, and that is the reason I had that in my mind to a greater extent than I had Premier Bennett's responsibility.

Q. That is why I was asking Mr. Gordon why the explanation that Mrs. Herridge was not mentioned, and it was not intended that she should be mentioned, had not been made clear.—A. I cannot tell you what was on the Lindsay report.

Q. That is what has been on my mind.—A. There were no shorthand notes taken, and of course, I can only give you a general outline which I remember taking care in saying that he had taken this trip on those occasions.

The CHAIRMAN: Are there any more questions? Is there anything you want to say further, Mr. Gordon?

The WITNESS: No.

Witness retired.

The CHAIRMAN: Mr. Duff, you called a witness yesterday. Do you want to call any more?

Mr. DUFF: Not at the moment, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN: Mr. Speakman, I believe you wanted to ask some questions either of the Prime Minister or Mr. Herridge.

Mr. SPEAKMAN: I said it might be possible that after Mr. Gordon's statement had been made I might wish to ask a few questions.

The CHAIRMAN: Was there anything you, Mr. Prime Minister, or you, Mr. Herridge, wanted to say?

The PRIME MINISTER: Not unless anything is required of me.

The Committee adjourned to meet at the call of the Chair.