factors as topography, climate, snow conditions, access and accommodation. The comparative values of possible sites for general

recreational activities following completion of the games will also be considered.

The answer to part 3 is that the survey has been undertaken by the Foundation of Canada Engineering Corporation Limited, under the direction of its president, Mr. Per Hall.

The answer to part 4 is that the corporation's Montreal office is in charge of survey arrangements. I understand that its Calgary and Vancouver offices are also involved in the preliminary stages. This firm has carried out engineering studies of various kinds in the past, and its president has had considerable personal experience in the planning of facilities for winter sports.

Finally, the Canadian Olympic association advises that it hopes to have a complete survey report by the end of March or early April.

[Later:]

On the orders of the day:

Mr. J. R. Taylor (Vancouver-Burrard): Mr. Speaker, perhaps I might be permitted to direct a question to the Minister of National Health and Welfare arising out of his report to the house today. Would the minister consider requesting the Canadian Olympic association to ask the survey company to report on the estimated cost of bringing the alternative sites to Olympic standards, and also on the density of the population in the areas being considered?

Hon. J. W. Monteith (Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, the government saw fit to give a grant to the Canadian Olympic association in an endeavour to assist them in arriving at the most suitable site in Canada in order to suggest to the international Olympic committee where the Olympic games might be held in 1968. I do not think we can go beyond that.

## QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY AUTHORITY-SETTLE-MENT OF CONTRACTORS' CLAIMS

Question No. 66-Mr. Argue:

1. Have any contractors' claims against the St. Lawrence seaway authority been settled since February 1, 1958?

2. If so, what is the number, the estimated value, and the amount paid on each contract, the date of completion and the date of settlement, and the contractor's name?

Inquiries of the Ministry

ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY AUTHORITY-OUTSTAND-ING CONTRACTORS' CLAIMS

Question No. 77-Mr. Argue:

1. Have any contractors outstanding clagainst the St. Lawrence seaway authority? claims

2. If so, what are their names, the number of the contract, the estimated value of each, amount paid, if any, and amount claimed, the date of completion of each contract?

WORK ORDERS, SESSIONAL PAPER NO. 170 A

## Question No. 80-Mr. Argue:

1. What was the (a) estimated value; (b) actual cost, of each work order as itemized in sessional paper No. 170 A, dated January 14, 1960?

2. Were any of these work orders cost plus,

and if so, which ones?

3. What is the actual amount of money outstanding on each work order as of January 1, 1961? 4. Were all these work orders included in the value of the main contract price?

5. If not, (a) which ones were so included; (b) which ones were extra work at extra payment?

## MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

FEED MILL PURCHASES OUTSIDE QUOTA SYSTEM

Motion No. 36-Mr. Argue:

For a copy of all correspondence, telegrams, submissions, and other documents, exchanged between farm organizations and the federal government since January 1, 1960, in regard to feed mills being allowed to purchase grain outside the quota system.

Hon. Alvin Hamilton (Minister of Agriculture): This motion is acceptable.

Motion agreed to.

## NORTHERN AFFAIRS

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES-DECISION OF COUNCIL RESPECTING DOCTORS AND DENTISTS

On the orders of the day:

Hon. L. B. Pearson (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask the Prime Minister whether his attention has been called to the decision of the Northwest Territories council, as reported in the press, that it cannot afford the program aimed at recruiting badly needed doctors and dentists for the northland. If so, has he any comment to make on this decision?

Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, I have not seen the item in question, and I would not want to make any comment on the activities of a council with the responsibilities that this council has. However, I will have the question looked into and after communication with the council, if it is appropriate to do so, I will bring their answer to the attention of the house.