

Speaker's Rulings and Statements - (Continued)*Bills, Government; Second Reading Amendments:*

Mr. Macquarrie during debate on motion for second reading of Bill C-116 (Post Office Act amendment), proposed an amendment; to defer until subject-matter has been considered by Transport and Communications Committee, 147. Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order in that it does not oppose the principle of the bill but merely attaches a condition, 148.

Mr. Woolliams during debate on motion for second reading of Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment), proposed an amendment; to instruct Standing Committee to present four separate reports on bill, 616. Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order as contrary to S.O.'s 74, 75 and various citations. Also because similar opportunity exists at report stage proceedings under revised Standing Orders, 616-8.

During debate on motion for second reading of Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Latulippe proposed an amendment to clause 13, 697. Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order, in that it could not be moved at that stage of the bill, 697-8.

Mr. Schreyer during debate on motion for second reading of Bill C-184 (Telesat Canada Act), proposed an amendment; House should consider establishing as crown corporation with provision for participation by provincial governments as well as federal, 895. Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order as not being declaratory of a principle but proposing an alternative scheme, therefore not in conformity with a reasoned amendment, 895.

Bills, Government; Committee of the Whole Amendments:

See **Chairman's Decisions Appealed.**

Bills, Government; Report Stage:

During debate on Bill C-195 (Fisheries Improvement Loans Act amendment), Mr. Crouse raised a point of order regarding changing the title of the bill. Mr. Speaker ruled that he did not see how the proposal would bring the bill inside or outside the scope of the Interpretation Act or make it more acceptable, although the argument might be of interest to the law officers of the Crown, and that he was doubtful if the motion would be in order at this stage of proceedings, but would submit it to the House, 1171-2.

Bills, Government; Report Stage Amendments:

During debate on Bill C-102 (Patent Act, Trade Marks Act and Food and Drugs Act amendment), Mrs. MacInnis proposed an amendment to clause 5 which Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled out of order as being irrelevant and beyond scope of clause under consideration, 862-3. Mrs. MacInnis proposed a further amendment to clause 5 which Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled out of order as purporting to amend another statute, 863. Mr. Saltsman proposed an amendment to clause 5 which Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled out of order as creating a new public charge, 864.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Laprise proposed an amendment to divide Committee Report into four sections which Mr. Speaker ruled out of order as being in the nature of a reasoned amendment which might have been moved at the second reading stage, 906-7. Mr. Laprise proposed a further amendment to defer clause 7 until the words "act of gross indecency" be defined by the Committee which Mr. Speaker ruled out of order on the same grounds as the prior proposed motion, 907.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Gilbert proposed an amendment to clause 7 which Mr. Speaker ruled out of order on the grounds that it was irrelevant and beyond the scope of the bill, 907.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), amendments to clause 18 proposed by Mr. Rodrigue (2), Mr. Laprise, Mr. Valade, were ruled out of order by Mr. Speaker as being reasoned amendments which could be moved at the second reading stage, 946-7.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), amendments to clause 18 proposed by Mrs. MacInnis (2), Mr. Woolliams, Mr. Rodrigue, were ruled out of order by Mr. Speaker on the grounds that they went beyond the scope of the bill, 947.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Burton proposed a subamendment to clause 18; on which a point of order raised by Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton) on admissibility, Mr. Deputy Speaker ruled the subamendment consistent and relevant to the motion and therefore admissible, 959.

During debate on Bill C-150 (Criminal Law Amendment Act), Mr. Laprise proposed a subamendment to clause 18, which Mr. Speaker ruled out of order on the grounds that it was not relevant, in that it proposed to amend the Bill rather than the motion before the House, 968.