

The bibliography of this species really seems like a "Comedy of Errors." Dr. Fitch placed it in a wrong genus; Mr. Walsh removed it to *Thelaxes* and refers to N. Y. Rep., ii., 257, instead of ii., 347. In the "American Entomologist" it is indexed for p. 224, instead of p. 108. Mr. Packard (Guide, p. 525) mentions *Thelaxes ulmicola* Walsh, while on the next page he speaks of *Pemphigus ulmicola* (Fitch), and refers to figure 525, which is from an electrotype of the identical wood-cut first published by Messrs. Walsh and Riley in the "American Entomologist," under the name of *Thelaxes ulmicola* Fitch. Mr. Packard's figure 525 is, therefore, evidently my *C. ulmicola*, and, indeed, I have so far failed to find any other mention of a *Pemphigus ulmicola* Fitch.

---

## NEW PYRALIDES.

### III.

---

BY A. R. GROTE,

*Director of the Museum, Buffalo Society Natural Sciences.*

*Botis vibicalis* Zeller, Beitr. ii., 8, Taf. iii., fig. v.

By error in text "*vibicalis*;" correction pages 9 and 131. One of the smallest forms, looking like a minute Heliothid. Fore wings pale yellow with a purple, oblique inner band and an outer of the same hue running parallel with external margin and connected along internal margin with the first band. Hind wings fuscous. Texas (Belfrage, No. 407), August 20th.

*Botis nasonialis* Zeller, Beitr. ii., 9, Taf. iii., fig. 6.

Texas (Belfrage, No. 406), June 15th.

*Botis coloradensis* G. & R.

Also from Texas, taken by Belfrage April 25 (No. 379). This species may be known by the white-immaculate secondaries. The lines on the primaries are ochreous; in the colored copies of the original plate these lines are incorrectly left black.