## THE

## LEGAL NEWS.

VOL. XVI.

APRIL 15, 1893.

No. 8.

## SUPREME COURT OF CANADA.

OTTAWA, Feb. 20, 1893.

Quebec.]

STEVENSON V. CANADIAN BANK OF COMMERCE.

Insolvency—Knowledge of by creditor—Fraudulent preference— Pledge—Warehouse receipt—Novation—Arts. 1034, 1035, 1036, 1169, C.C.

W.E.E., connected with two business firms in Montreal, viz. the firm of W. E. Elliott & Co., oil merchants, of which he was the sole member, and of Elliott, Finlayson & Co., wine merchants, made a judicial abandonnent, on the 18th August, 1889, of his oil busi-Both firms had kept their accounts with the Bank of ness. The Bank discounted for W. E. Elliott & Co., before Commerce. his departure for England, on the 30th June, a note of \$5,087.50 due 1st October, signed by John Elliott & Co., and endorsed by W. E. Elliott & Co. and Elliott, Finlayson & Co., and on the 5th July took as collateral security from Finlayson, who was also W. E. Elliott's agent during his absence, a warehouse receipt for 292 barrels of oil, and the discount was credited to Elliott, Finlayson & Co. On or about the 9th July 146 barrels were sold and the proceeds, viz. \$3,528.30, were subsequently, on the 9th August, credited to the note of \$5,087.50. On the 13th July, McDougall, Logie & Co. failed and W. E. E. was involved in the failure to the extent of \$17,000, and on the 16th July, Finlayson, as agent for W. E. E., left with the bank as collateral security against W. E. E.'s indebtedness of \$7,559.30 on the paper of McDougall, Logie & Co., customers' notes of the oil business to