

Has it been fulfilled according to that declaration, and the assurance of the old Hudson's Bay Company, and of Governor Sir George Simpson, to the northern and southern councils of the Fur Trade, that a proportion of the grant would accrue to the commissioned officers "conformable to their interests?" No. The Language of the Hudson's Bay Board on the 10th January last is to the effect "that the whole case has been submitted to eminent council, who advised the Board that the officers had no claim on the company!!!" Alas for Mr. Huskisson, alas for the Pellys and the Garrys, and Sir George Simpson! New ministers, new board, and new counsel rule the roast, and cook the Treaty!!!!

Let us now consider what Judge Day and the American Law authorities can furnish of *their* views respecting the Fur Traders as claimants for losses under the Oregon Treaty. The learned Judge in page 18 of his memorial and argument to the Commissioners pleads thus:

7. "The British statement annexed to the protocol of the 16th December, 1826, distinctly and repeatedly affirms the establishment and possession of posts as well to the southward as to the northward of the Columbia, *by British subjects* (necessarily meaning the Northwest and Hudson's Bay Companies); and in strong and pointed terms avows the determination of the Government to protect 'the interests and establishments which British industry and enterprize have created,' *both as regards settlement, freedom of trade, and navigation.*"

8. "The country known as Oregon, extended far to the north of the 49th parallel of North Latitude. It