

INCEMENTS.

ON AND METAL
ANY

Business at the corner of
here they are prepared to
Metals. Highest Cash price

JOSEPH,
Supt.

R BOX COMPANY

ONTO,

WEST, TORONTO.

our Sacks, Twine, &c. Sole
Patent Folding Box.

ED.

ax Makers. Constant

IS DAY!

ERICAN TIES.

he handsomest stock
ity.

ER'S,
REET 127.

BIRD,
ATCHER,

ALT MEATS.
POULTRY JUST IN.

REET. 235

CIGARS

Cigars in Ca-

EST, TORONTO.

MORRISON,

REET WEST.

ew premises with a
Latest Styles of

MANTLES,
adies' Underclothing

Dress-making Dept.

URE.

DESIGNS!

amber Suites,
es not procur-

and perfectly
the city, and
te the lowest

T COMPANY,
EST.

DENTAL

VM. MYERS,
urgeon Dentist.

Office and Residence,
77 King Street West.
Office open day and night.

POINTS ABOUT PLEADING.

THOS. HODGINS, Q.C., OF THE JUDICATURE ACT.

A Lecture to Law Students—Pleading in Olden Times—How the Practice has Changed—The New Order of Things.

Mr. Thos. Hodgins, Q.C., delivered a lecture on some general rules of pleading under the judicature act, before the law students at Osgoode hall this week. The learned gentleman's remarks were very timely, and worthy of reproduction.

The Ontario judicature act of 1881 marks the termination of that ancient conflict between the systems of common law and equity which was commenced in England during the reign of Richard II., when poor suitors appealed to the prerogative jurisdiction of the king's chancellor for that redress in chancery which they could not get in the courts of common law. Under these two systems of jurisprudence, as has been said, a litigant in a court of common law at one side of Westminster hall was often found clearly in the right and was awarded his opponent's estate; while in the court of chancery, on the other side of the hall, the same litigant was or often pronounced in the wrong, and decreed bound to surrender that estate to his opponent on such equitable terms as were just. But instead of troubling ourselves now to find reasons to justify the ancient severance of common law and equity, we have the pleasant task of

CONCILIATING THE LAW REFORMERS

of modern times upon the complete fusion of the courts into one supreme court of law and equity, and the affirmation of a new maxim that "the common law follows equity," and that heretofore "where there is any conflict or variance between the rules of equity and the rules of the common law with reference to the same subject matter, the rules of equity shall prevail." This fusion of the conflicting jurisdictions required the abandonment in great measure of the dual system of pleading and the establishment of a new one. And the new system of pleading will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

"Pleadings" in an action may be said to consist of a succession of alternate statements and counter-statements by the plaintiff and defendant, as controlled by certain rules that all disputed points—technically called issues—are at each stage singled out and set apart as it were from such as are not disputed, in order that they be tried. The nature of the issues being ascertained, they may be referred to the appropriate tribunals—judge or jury.

That the pleadings will be found to be more in harmony with the pleading of the court which in modern days has educated the most able as well as the most venturesome law reformers for parliament.

thought it worth while to devote years to its study.

UNDER THE JUDICATURE ACT, such a document that under a technical system of pleading a client's rights may be pleaded into a maze so that he will be obliged to give them up, will be found impossible. The administration of justice act of 1873, introduced and carried by the present attorney-general of Ontario, whose professional and judicial experience well fitted him for the work of reform, affirmed as a principle of statutory law that it was the duty of all courts for the more speedy, convenient and inexpensive administration of justice in every case to be auxiliary to one another, respectively. This and the later acts brought back to the courts in effect the practice of the old pleadings with respect to amendments, for it directed that "at any time during the progress of any action, the court or a judge may upon the application of any of the parties, or without any such application, make all such amendments as may seem necessary for (1) the advancement of justice; (2) the prevention and redress of fraud; (3) the determining of the rights and interests of the respective parties, and of the real question in controversy between them, and best calculated to secure the giving of judgment according to the very right and justice of the case." (See this act, many rule 47.)

Prior to the judicature act the number of pleadings in an action at law was almost unlimited. There were declaration, plea, replication, rejoinder, surrejoinder, rebuttal, surrebuttal, etc. In equity we had only three, bill, answer and replication. Now in each of the divisions there can rarely be more than three pleadings, and there cannot be more than four without the leave of the court—many rule No. 174 providing that "no pleading subsequent to reply, other than a rejoinder of issue, shall be pleaded without leave of the court or a judge." Practically the chancery system of pleading has been adopted by the act, but not the titles of the pleadings. We have now statements of claim for "declaration" and "bill of complaint;" "statement of defence" with or without counter claim for "plea," with or without set-off and "answer" with or without a prayer for cross relief, and "reply" or "joinder of issues" for "replication."

While, however, the number of pleadings is apparently adapted from the chancery practices.

THESE IS THE SPECIFICITY to be remembered. Under the chancery form of pleading any defence which required a special reply had to be made by an bill setting out the defence, and the contentions of the defendant as a "pretence" on his part and the reply which the plaintiff made to such pretence. Under the common law pleading such reply was embodied in the replication. This latter practice has been adopted by the judicature act, and the case of Hill v. Eve, L. R. 4, Ch. D. 341 affirms this. In that case Vice-Chancellor Bacon set aside a reply in which the plaintiff denied the truth of the defendant's statement of defence and pleaded that even if the defence were true he was entitled to relief on various equitable grounds. In order that the vice-chancellor should have appeared in his statement of claim. The court of appeal reversed this judgment and held that pleadings by way of traverse confession and avoidance of the defence, or both combined, should be pleaded in reply. A new code of rules regulating the form and substance of the modified system of pleading is set out in rule 128-150. But in Evans v. Buck, L. R. 4, Ch. D. p. 434, Mr. G. J. Selous, M. L., held that the same rules of pleading which prevailed under the old law prevail now, unless there is anything in the judicature act or in the new Orders or Rules which prevents it. And it follows from this that the pleader will still not unfrequently have to refer to some code of pleading in a case not provided for in the rules to old precedents or to a standard work on pleading.

This closes the first part of the lecture, and the second part will be published in a later issue.

THE POLICE WARD.

Wilfred Calvert was bound to the peace, having threatened to kill his wife.

The notorious Maud Brown was detained at No. 4 station last night, having been arrested for assaulting her mother.

A woman had her husband summoned for slapping her on the face and then turned round and paid his fine of \$1 and costs.

John Healy of Lombard street was placed under arrest last night for having committed an aggravated assault on Mary Johnson.

Two boys named John Henderson and Henry Shine were arrested for stealing thirty potatoes from J. H. Hargrave of King street.

Andrew O'Brien was arrested by Constable Kearns in connection with the recent case of the stolen goods from King street.

This is the second arrest Kearns has made in this affair.

Miss Macpherson reports the loss of her satchel containing a gold watch and chain, checks and tickets from a Pullman coach, while on her way home from Montreal Wednesday night. Robberies on the sleepers seem to be frequent.

Margaret Dandy accused James McLean of being the owner of a vicious dog which attacked her on Emma street yesterday.

McLean was ordered to take the dog to a police station, where it will be destroyed, or he will have to pay a fine of \$10.

The other night a boy named Knox was assaulted by some roughs on Sherbourne street. At the time it was not known who the parties were, but yesterday a warrant was issued for the arrest of a young man named Thomas Richardson, who is supposed to have been a principal in the affair.

WATERWORKS MATTERS.

The waterworks committee met yesterday afternoon. Three parties applied for discount on their bills, but in the face of the cost iron rule of no discounts after the first month of the quarter was up the committee could not grant them.

The same Mr. Taylor and other members drew attention to the great waste of water at the drinking fountains, while the manager said he would see to it.

Some waste was mentioned, viz., allowing taps to run. Much water was wasted in this way and all water takers who pay according to metre measurement will study their own interests by wasting as little water as possible. It was reported that the works at the island since last week. The receipts for the year so far amount to \$123,000 which is \$7000 over and above the estimated receipts.

A Prospective Bride Elopes.

DUFFALO, Nov. 4.—Forestry, in Chancery county, was started yesterday by the report that Miss Nellie Record, the daughter of a prominent and well known lawyer of that place, John G. Record, had been married to and had eloped with David Ingham, a young man, well known in Forestville.

Inquiry confirmed the truth of the report. Ingham was married to Nellie Record this evening to her father's law partner, Warren Hooks, six years her senior. Investigations had been made and all arrangements for the event completed.

A Generous Gift.

MONTREAL, Nov. 4.—W. E. McDonald, son of a highland family resident in Prince Edward Island, has handed to McGill university a check for \$30,000, being the capital of certain amounts of which for many years he has paid the interest to the college for certain purposes.

Bismarck and the Jews.

BERLIN, Nov. 4.—Bismarck recently informed a prominent Jewish manufacturer that he would never entertain the proposition to curtail the constitutional rights of the Jews, and that he made no distinction between his Christian and Jewish opponents.

The French Ministry.

PARIS, Nov. 4.—In the chamber of deputies today the president announced that he had received notice of three interpellations on the Tunisian question. President Ferry said he wished to state the position of the cabinet in regard to the interpellations. The cabinet always considered the powers would expire with the last chamber and the resolve to retire from office, which would be carried out whatever happened, was only adjourned in order to reply to the charges against it. Previous to retiring he would give what explanations were considered necessary and the speaker debated commenced the better. The discussion was fixed for Saturday.

See to it.—Chronic headache, sick headache, costiveness, wind on the stomach, pain in the side and back, distress after eating—all forms indigestion and liver troubles—remedied at once by Zappa from Brazil. Try a cure!

MONEY AND TRADE.

Toronto Stock Market.

TORONTO, Nov. 4.—The stock market this morning was quiet, but prices generally firm. Bank of Montreal rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with sellers asking 100, but no transactions. Toronto was steady at 100 bid. Ontario was higher, with a sale of 25 shares at 10, and one at 10 1/2 bid, and closing at 11 1/2 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of 1/2 on yesterday. Federal was steady at 100 bid, with one sale at 100, and closing at 100, without transactions. Commercial Union firm, with sales of 100 shares in two lots at 100 bid, and one at 100 bid, and closing at 100 bid, without transactions. Hamilton rose 1/2 per cent, in bid for the fully paid-up stock, but there were no sales. Union Pacific rose 1/2 per cent, in bid, with one sale at 115, and closing at 115 bid, an advance of