Canada's neighbour

Canadian appraisal the state of the Union

namus and Glave problems need new solutions

By W. A. Wilson

ures a e l in the j_{0}

tup, and by the U onal a idi

lian r_{:spg} he glacis nands a o

zequi⊳me that equi t there

ıld pr bal ntiner t w thus alwa ust be do with wh

progress (

sav · ma

odity in a

NOF AD

nd p⊲rhad

he rese

great de

The Ama

t the no

st: ge. W

it, vith s

s t is po

au terit

t v ill hap

re nilitar

en: in 🖺

on⊱ of ₺

wi h jori

is not 1

h imeric

f U.S

n: renche

o€ mitt¤

e to for

ha

v faced will No nation affects so many others as sons, nair pelvas vely, in as many different ways, as the United States - not even the other nuclea: super-power, although the force of its ideology, coupled with its military might, makes it a close second. American influence, direct and indirect, is exercised either consciously or unconsciously through rly-v ami mitare power, economic dominance, polile, a root tick, the force of the social trends and lar; e-sa movements that begin in the United States nce setup and, in the broadest sense, the nation's culture The massive role of the Soviet Union a the world community is felt as stlongly in some of these respects but ircr ift a barely at all in others. Any other nation is other comascious, and with as good reason, of prir cipal Russian military might as of American. ttel rad Contract to popular mythology in the nterceptor West, the Soviet ideology does not spread only though the ways of conspiracy and mitary intervention. Its force and vigour ar as owerful as the American philosorhy. Fut the Soviet Union offers no counterpart to the influence of American social novements because, the U.S.S.R. not being a free society, such movements develop ess easily there and, if they appear cor rary to the interests and prejudies of the regime, they are harassed from bir 1 onward. The freedom of Amerremains one of the powerful influences the orld 200 years after its first pressica in rebellion against an imperial arent. For is there a Soviet counterpart the induence of American culture in ether its best or its worst manifestations. The political, social and economic health of America is of vital importance tolits frie ds and allies, which include most

Western Europe, Canada and diminish-

areas of Asia. The word "America"

itself has been used historically both by

citizens of the United States and outsiders

encom ass not merely a geographical

atea but also a set of ideas, ideals and

social forces. Reliance upon America is decreasing, but the same factors that are of intense interest to its friends are matters for wary concern among the opponents and enemies of the United States.

While President Nixon's fatal domestic difficulties were well under way, the most powerful man in the Soviet Union, Leonid Brezhnev, travelled to the United States to confer with him. A Russian official in Ottawa was asked whether he thought the President's difficulties would make it hard for him to negotiate or would offer temporary advantage to an opponent. He replied thoughtfully to this effect:

"I do not think our leaders are paying very much attention to Mr. Nixon's domestic difficulties. The thing that matters for us is that the United States is a very powerful country. That will go on."

That appraisal from an opponent was probably one of the most realistic of the foreign assessments of the effect of the Watergate affair. Since America is a colossus, the details of its national health are likely always to be complex but unless or until it finally begins to decay as Rome did its underlying strength will remain because it is based on economic and social reality. Concern for the condition of America will always fascinate a wide international circle, from the most popular to the most influential levels.

What follows is an attempt to piece together a picture of the American condition now from public sources of information and conversations with a variety of knowledgeable men and women. As it apBrezhnev's assessment of Watergate

Mr. Wilson is Ottawa Editor of the Montreal Star and contributes a regular column on political affairs to that newspaper. He joined the Star in 1956 and was appointed its Bureau Chief in 1962. The views expressed here are those of the author.