

way hampered by any moral or legal restrictions. By their vote in the plebiscite, the people have expressed their readiness to have the sole remaining restriction upon the government's freedom and decision of action removed.

The removal of Section 3 of the National Resources Mobilization Act is, in other words, the logical consequence of the vote on the plebiscite. Since the people have indicated that they do not desire any restriction on the freedom of action of the government, there is the strongest of reasons why Parliament should be asked to remove any shadow of restriction which remains.

In the plebiscite, the people were asked to give full powers to the government, not to meet ^{a then} ~~an~~ immediate situation, but to provide against a future emergency. The National Resources Mobilization Act is only enabling ^{as is conferred upon the government by its provisions,} legislation. The extent of the use of such power ^{is and} has, from the outset, been a matter of government policy, to be decided in the light of all relevant circumstances, ~~as the Act confers.~~ This will remain true of the unrestricted powers which the amendment, if enacted, would afford.