Committee of the Whole for the consideration of Bill intituled: "An Act respecting the speaker of the Legislative Council."

The House adjourned during pleasure, and was put into Committee of the Whole.

After some time, the House was resumed.

The Honorable Mr. Roy, from the said Committee, reported that they had gone through the said Bill, and directed him to report the same without amendment.

Ordered, That the said report be adopted.

The Honorable Mr. Dionne moved:

That the said Bill be now read for the third time.

And the question arose, whether the Bill could be read a third time this day.

The Honorable Mr. Speaker decided that the said Bill could be read a third time this day.

And the decision of the *Speaker* being appealed from by the Honorable *Mr. de Boucherville*, the question was put on the said appeal, the House divided, and the decision of the *Speaker* was maintained, 11 voting for, and 10 against.

26th April 1882.

A Bill been read a second time, the question is put, "That the Bill be committed," which is a mere formal sequel to the second reading.

On motion of the Honorable Mr. de Boucherville, it was Ordered, That the minutes of proceedings of Monday last be amended, by inserting before the motion of adjournment the following words:

The Honorable Mr. de Boucherville, having objected to the motion of the Honorable Mr. Dionne, requiring that the Bill be referred to the Committee of the whole House, because it required a full days notice, and the Honorable Speaker being required to decide on the objection give his decision in the following terms: