## Sulphaminol in Rotten-Brood of Bees.

L. Weiss, Karlsruhe, (Germany),—one of the foremost European authorities on Apiculture, -has communicated the results of a series of exhaustive and circumstantial experiments on Rotten-brood of Bees to the official organ of the APICULTURAL SOCIETY of the Grand Duchy of Baden. He reports that (having tried all the remedies and therapeutic measures thus far recommended for the treatment of Rotten-broodsuch as: Carbolic Acid. Tar Salicylic Acid, change of abode, change of queens, etc.— mrain) he found Sulphaminol to be the only uliable remedy in this disease.

ρł.

æn

Weiss gives the following details:

"By means of a rubber ball, -such as is used for insect-powder bellows, -the covered as well as the uncovered brood, the walls, the floor,—in short, the entire hive is carefully dusted with Sulphaminol. This proceedure is repeated every 2 or 3 days five or six times,—using [30-45 grains] SULPHAMINOL each time. If then no healthy brood survives, the swarm must be

"The result of the first application made light, clear color; in several parts cells were observed being cleaned. Hereupon the application of Sulphaminol was coninued, as above directed. After a forthight a large number of covered and open
healthy broods were discerned. After 20
days it was necessary to enlarge the hive;
inew combs having been built in the
healthy deposits.

"Supphaminol is prompt and certain of
puccess, and stands unrivaled by any other
healthy or method of treatment in ROTTENprood; its beneficial influence is always inued, as above directed. After a fort-

paood; its beneficial influence is always activable in less than two days. It is to

adoubted that a relapse will ever occur " Besides these valuable results, Weiss's aperiments furnish strong evidence of the Abolute innocuousness of SULPHAMINOL to the higher organisms, inasmuch as even such ligher organisms, inasmuch as even such elicate creatures as bees tolerate the sub-lance well.

## SULPHAMINOL FOR APICULTURE.

Inthe fall of 1889, the Provincial Ontarbe Department of Agriculture, in view of
be wide-spread prevalence of Rotten-brood
hits territory, issued the following ordicompliance with which is enforced
fine and imprisonment, when needed):
"The APICULTURAL SOCIETY OF ONTARIO

ust annually appoint a chief and a depwinspector,—both to serve one year. The inspector shall visit every apiary, as soon as directed by the President of the Society. In case he finds the Apiary diseased, he shall order the affected swarms, together with the hives to be destroyed by fire, or to be treated in the manner considered most suitable by him."

The inspectors proceed in one of two ways; either they destroy, by fire, the bees and everything with which these have come into contact or they follow the "starvation-plan" In the latter the affected swarms are swept from their hives, -- preferably toward vening,-into a clean, empty hive, and locked up for 48 hours, to "starve out" the disease,—in which process necessarily a great many of the bees are al-(The hives of the affected so destroyed. swarms are, of course, likewise burned or melted out.)

All the loss of valuable property necessarily involved in pursuance of the above processes can be completely avoided by the This thorproper use of Sulphaminol. oughly roots out the disease without injuring the bees or their work in the least.

The above is taken from supplement to Merck's Bulletin, April, 1891. We have no desire to comment at present, but our columns are open to anyone wishing to reply to the above.—Ed. ]

## Keeping Combs in the Cellar.

On a recent visit to Mr. S T. Pettit. Belmont, Ont., the question of keeping combs in the cellar came up. Mr Pettit stated that he had tried the plan this summer, and the following questions were asked:

How did you succeed?

I kept the combs in the hives and found that those hives with the combs spaced half-an-inch apart and with but little pollen remained free from moth larvae, those much closer together and with a good deal of pollen were badly attacked and in some cases entirely destroyed.

Did you see any other objection to keeping them in the cellar?

Yes, in every case without the exception of a comb, they were covered with mould,

How was the cellar kept, closed or open? When the combs were first placed in the cellar, both doors whic' leads to the outside and windows wer i left open. After a i. w days the combs were examined and found very badly attacked. Ith a took them out to the light going over every comb separately, freeing them from the small larvae which at that stage had not done much

They were returned to the cellar putting