

*Unemployment Insurance Act, 1971*

the usual recommendation. I somewhat suspect that some hon. members in this House would insist that this kind of legislation, if it were sponsored by the government, should be accompanied by a recommendation.

At the same time, there are precedents, particularly in British parliamentary practice, which tend to indicate that such legislative proposals, because they do not, strictly speaking, impose a tax or an impost as referred to in Standing Order 62, are outside the rule which protects the financial initiative of the Crown.

Because of the difficulty in interpreting the statute which these bills propose to amend, and because these bills, if they had been included in the original group filed at the opening of the session, would now be before the House along with all the others, I feel it would be fair to give the sponsors of these four bills the benefit of the doubt. The conclusion would be that the two bills sponsored by the hon. member for Timiskaming, that of the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre, and that of the hon. member for Skeena would be acceptable for submission to the House at this time.

However, I have an additional reservation regarding the bill standing in the name of the hon. member for Skeena. This does not have to do with his use of Latin in his explanatory note but rather with another aspect of the explanatory note. It has been ruled that such notes ought to be an explanation and not an argument. The mover of a bill cannot introduce in his explanatory note the speech or the arguments he might want to present on second reading. Somehow, I feel that that is what the hon. member for Skeena has attempted in his explanatory note which to some extent is poetry. It sounds lyrical to the Chair. I strongly urge the hon. member to make his explanatory note conform more with existing practice and the form that is usual for such explanatory notes. That is the only reservation I would have in connection with his bill. I hope the hon. member will find it possible to make the necessary correction, perhaps in consultation with learned parliamentary counsel, after which the bill could be introduced. The other three bills standing in the name of the hon. member for Timiskaming and the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre can be introduced at this time.

## AMENDMENT RESPECTING HOLIDAY PAY

**Mr. Arnold Peters (Timiskaming)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-180, to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, 1971 (holiday pay).

**Mr. Speaker:** It is my impression that an explanation was given of this bill when it was first brought to the attention of the House last Friday.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

## AMENDMENT RESPECTING RETIREMENT BENEFIT

**Mr. Arnold Peters (Timiskaming)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-181, to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act, 1971 (retirement benefit).

[Mr. Speaker.]

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

## AMENDMENT RESPECTING BENEFITS AT TIME OF RETIREMENT

**Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-182, to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act (benefits at time of retirement).

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

\* \* \*

• (1420)

## ARCTIC WATERS POLLUTION PREVENTION ACT

On the order: Introduction of Bills.

**Mr. Howard (Skeena)**—Bill intituled: "An Act to amend the Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act".

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Skeena has given notice of a further bill standing in his name, an act to amend the Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act. I have examined this bill and find nothing procedurally wrong with it except, again, the explanatory note which I believe is in many ways argument rather than strictly speaking an explanatory note. I would hope that by tomorrow this might be corrected and both bills standing in the name of the hon. member for Skeena might be introduced at that time.

\* \* \*

## CANADA LABOUR CODE

## AMENDMENTS RESPECTING INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, LABOUR RELATIONS BOARD, INDUSTRIAL INQUIRY COMMISSIONS AND CONCILIATION BOARDS

**Hon. Martin P. O'Connell (Minister of Labour)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-183, to amend the Canada Labour Code.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

\* \* \*

## WEST COAST MARINE TRAFFIC REPORTING ZONE BILL

## PROVISION FOR CERTAIN REPORTS BY VESSELS

**Mr. D. W. Groos (Victoria)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-184, respecting the reporting of marine traffic off the west coast of Canada.

**Some hon. Members:** Explain.

**Mr. Groos:** Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill, as explained in the bill, is to require vessels approaching the west coast of Canada to make certain reports with the eventual view of providing a greater degree of safety on the west coast than there is at present.