

thought that reference was made to the Hawthorn report, but I realize now that it was the ARDA report. Now, as the ARDA report does not come under my department I do not have authority to answer in that connection and I apologize to the hon. member. I had understood that it was the Hawthorn report, the second volume of which will be tabled next month.

[English]

Mr. MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond): I rise on a further question of privilege, Mr. Speaker. It is a struggle for opposition members to make the government realize what they should and must do. It takes a request such as this to make the ministers of this government realize what they are responsible for.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

UNITED NATIONS

CANADIAN POSITION RESPECTING POSSIBLE RESTRUCTURING

On the orders of the day:

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Fundy-Royal): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Secretary of State for External Affairs. In connection with the reassessment of Canada's defence and foreign policy, will the minister tell us whether a position is being formulated for the government of Canada with regard to any restructuring of the United Nations?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Mr. Speaker, it had not been our intention, in the review of our foreign policy, to deal specifically with that question. It seems to me this is a question relating to the United Nations itself. We have, of course, views, as I am sure the hon. gentleman has, about the structure of the United Nations. I do not think that would form part of our foreign policy review.

DEFENCE PRODUCTION

NOVA SCOTIA—NEGOTIATIONS WITH UNION OFFICIALS AT AVIATION FIRM

On the orders of the day:

Mr. J. M. Forrestall (Dartmouth-Halifax East): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of Defence Production. He looks neglected today. I wonder whether he is now in a position, inasmuch as some time has gone by, to bring the house up to date on the results of

Inquiries of the Ministry

negotiations that took place some time ago between himself and union officials of Fairey Aviation.

Hon. Donald C. Jamieson (Minister of Defence Production): Not in a short answer, Mr. Speaker, but if the hon. gentleman—

Mr. Forrestall: Then in a long one.

Mr. Jamieson: If the hon. gentleman would care to check with me later I will give him the latest report. I believe there is one in the mail to him right now.

Mr. Forrestall: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Could the minister say whether it is a favourable one?

Mr. Jamieson: The hon. member can decide that when he gets the answer.

COMMUNICATIONS

REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON PROPOSED CORPORATION STRUCTURE

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Heath Macquarrie (Hillsborough): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the laconic Postmaster General. Will he advise when he plans to table the report of Mr. McIntosh in reference to the financial and administrative structure of the proposed communications satellite corporation?

Hon. Eric W. Kierans (Postmaster General): Mr. Speaker, we have not yet considered the tabling of this report, but I will raise the matter with my colleagues.

Mr. Macquarrie: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker, I ask the minister whether the report has been received, and if so, is it now being studied?

Mr. Kierans: It has been received, Mr. Speaker, and is being studied. But there are many other issues involved before we can decide if it is suitable to table the report.

SPORTS

HOCKEY—ASSISTANCE TO CANADIAN NATIONAL TEAM

On the orders of the day:

Hon. W. G. Dinsdale (Brandon-Souris): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of National Health and Welfare. Has Canada's national hockey team received substantial assistance from the government, and if so, in what amount?