ments will be extended to include the affairs and operations of the Montreal Harbour Commission. It is the desire of the members of the Harbour Commission that this should be done, and such investiga-tion will take place without unnecessary delay.

INQUIRIES INTO CONDUCT OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS.

*Mr. LAPOINTE (Kamouraska):

1. Has Mr. Lawrence Stafford, advocate, of Quebec, been appointed by the government to hold inquiries relating to the conduct of pub-lic officers? If so, what is his emolument?

2. Has Mr. L. Omer Beaulieu, advocate, of 2. Has Mr. E. Omer beauted, advocate, or Quebec, been appointed by the government to hold inquiries relating to the conduct of pub-lic officers? If so, what is his emolument? 3. Has Mr. Emil Gelley, advocate, of Que-

5. Has Mr. Entri Geney, advocate, of que-bec, been appointed by the government to hold inquiries relating to the conduct of public officers, and especially of the Intercolonial? If so, what is his emolument? 4. Has Mr. Maurice Rousseau, advocate, been

charged to represent the government in cer-tain inquiries relating to the conduct of pub-lic officers in the district of Quebec? If so, what is his emolument? 5. Has Mr. Honore Grenier, advocate, of

Quebec, been charged to represent the government in certain inquiries relating to the con-duct of public officers in the district of Que-bec? If so, what is his emolument? 6. Has Mr. William Flynn, advocate been

appointed inquiring commissioner or advocate of the government in certain inquiries relat-ing to the conduct of public officers in the district of Quebec and especially in the county of Gaspé? If so, what is his emolument? 7. Has Mr. Ernest Taschereau, advocate, of

Quebec, been appointed inquiring commis-sioner or police magistrate in reference to the National Transcontinental railway? If so, what is his emolument?

8. Has Mr. L. J. Blondin, advocate, of Trois Eivières, been appointed to hold inquiries or to represent the government in some inquiries relating to the conduct of public officials? If so, what is his emolument? 9. Has Mr. L. P. Crépeau, advocate, of Arthabaska, been appointed to hold inquiries

or to represent the government in some inquiries relating to public officers? what is his emolument? If so,

10. Are there any other advocates in the district of Quebec who have been charged to hold inquiries or to represent the govern-ment in them? If so, what are their names?

Mr. ROCHE:

1. Yes, \$10 per day in addition to actual travelling expenses, and \$3 per day for living expenses.

2. Yes. Remuneration not to exceed \$15 for each full day's attendance, and reason-able moving and living expenses.

Mr. HAZEN.

3. Yes. \$15 per day and all reasonable moving and living expenses.

4. No.

5. No.

6. Yes. Post Office Department. \$10 per day in addition to actual travelling ex-penses, and \$3 per day for living ex-penses. Department of Marine and Fisheries, remuneration not to exceed \$15 for each full day's attendance and reasonable moving and living expenses.

7. Yes. Appointed by order in council 16th December, 1911, a commissioner under Part III. of the Criminal Code, Quebec. There is no information respecting his emoluments.

8. \$15 per day in addition to actual travelling expenses, and \$3 per day for living expenses.

9. No.

10. Yes. Wm. L. Shurtliff, J. G. H. Bergeron, Napoleon U. Lacasse, Napoleon Garceau.

SESSIONAL EMPLOYEES.

* Mr. MURPHY:

Referring to the government's answer as to the dismissal of sessional employees, reported on page 586 of unrevised 'Hansard' of the present session, how many were dismissed by the Speaker and the Sergeant-at-arms respec-tively and what were the dates of each dis tively, and what were the dates of such dismissals?

Mr. BORDEN. One was discharged by order of Mr. Speaker, and twenty-eight were not re-engaged by the Sergeant-at-Arms, and one messenger resigned. The dates are as follows:

One sessional clerk discharged, November 10.

17 messengers, not re-engaged, November 15.

3 servants, not re-engaged, November 15.

7 pages, not re-engaged, November 1.

1 doorkeeper, not re-engaged, November 1.

1 messenger, resigned, November 18.

EXPENDITURE IN DIFFERENT PROVINCES.

Mr. CLEMEN'IS:

1. What has been the total expenditure by the Dominion government for the last ten years on wharfs, and public buildings, respec-tively, in the provinces of Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Ontario and British Columbia, respectively? 2. What is the length of coast line in each

of said provinces?

Mr. ROCHE:

1. Total expenditure on public buildings and wharfs, piers, breakwaters, &c., (including construction, repairs, and maintenance):