York Central rail, which has a narrower base for use with tie plates or steel ties, the height is usually equal to the width of base. The first difference noticeable is the per cent. of metal in the head. Other things equal, the more metal in the head the more wear will be obtained, but rails with relatively heavy heads never cool equally,



causing initial strains in the section, and a deep heavy head will not get well rolled, and being spongy will wear rapidly when the top layer is gone. The endeavor now is to get a rail as hard as possible, chemically, that will stand drop tests, with a wide, moderately deep head, but not so deep as to induce sponginess in the centre of the head. A wide head is necessary with modern heavy engines to prevent undue crushing of the top surface, due to heavy concentrated wheel loads, and this forces a small proportionate depth of head to keep the per cent. of metal in the rail head from being excessive.

Striking differences in rail design occur in the radius of the top of the head, the upper head corners, and in the side slopes of the head. The tendency in America is toward a flat top, sharp corners, and vertical sides, which is the reverse of English practice of round tops, easy corners and sloping sides, while fishing angles are getting flatter and tend to become standard at 13°.

Plate XXV. gives a standard U. S. wheel tread—rails after eleven years' wear on curves, and two drawings which contrast the fit of a wheel on a rail head of sharp corner radii with that on one of larger radii. It will be seen by the dotted lines that normal wear is upward and outward, thereby increasing the arc of contact between wheel and rail, thus also increasing the resistance and wear, so that the longer this can be deferred by starting with a sharp corner radius and vertical sides, the better, as the contact is then a rolling one only, and the wear and resistance small. Note that the radii of worn rail corners is still about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, and investigation has shown that sharp radii of upper corners of rail heads do not cause sharp flanges on wheels, which has been the chief objection raised against them in the past.

Composition of Rails.—When steel began to replace iron as a material for rails it was found necessary to remove the notches in the flanges from the centre to the ends, and even omit them altogether to prevent breakage, the notches being put in the flanges of the angle bars instead, so as to prevent creeping of the track. Rails were made hard to stand wear. Then drop tests were introduced to detect brittleness, and soon forced soft rails to be used, but going to the other extreme the rail heads wore out very quickly, especially as the demand for cheapness produced insufficiently rolled rails. Now there is a gradual tendency to get as hard a rail, chemically, as will just stand the drop tests.

Specifications for Chemical Composition of Rails :

(1) Sandberg (Sweden)—Carbon, if alone, ${}_{1\sigma}^{\sigma}$ p.c., but only ${}_{1\sigma}^{\tau}$ p.c. in presence of ${}_{1\sigma}^{\tau}$ p.c. phosphorus; silicon, at least ${}_{1\sigma}^{\tau}$ p.c. to give sound ingot and make rail wear.

(2) G. T. R. (Canada)—Carbon, $\frac{1}{10}$ to $\frac{5}{10}$ p.c., sulphur, $\frac{7}{100}$ p.c. or less, phosphorus, $\frac{7}{100}$ or less, silicon, $\frac{1}{10}$ p.c., manganese, $\frac{1}{10}$ p.c.

(3) New York Central Railway (Dudley)—60 to 70 lb. rail: Carbon, $\frac{16}{100}$ to $\frac{760}{100}$ p.c., manganese, $\frac{2}{10}$ to 1 p.c., silicon, $\frac{1}{10}$ to $\frac{16}{100}$ p.c., sulphur, $\frac{7}{100}$ p.c. or less, phosphorus $\frac{16}{100}$ p.c. or less; 70 to 80 lb. rail: Carbon, $\frac{4}{10}$ to $\frac{1}{10}$ p.c., manganese, $\frac{2}{10}$ to 1 p.c., silicon, $\frac{1}{10}$ to $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c., sulphur, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less, phosphorus, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less; 100 lb. rail: Carbon, $\frac{1}{100}$ to $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c., sulphur, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less, phosphorus, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less, phosphorus, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less; phosphorus, $\frac{1}{100}$ p.c. or less.

Dudley, also regarding different constituents that affect the quality of rails. says: Manganese takes up the oxide of iron, and prevents red shortness, but over 1 p.c. makes rails not only hard but coarsely crystalline, with a tendency to brittleness, flowing easily under wear and oxidizing rapidly in tunnels. Silicon produces solid ingots, free from blow holes in columnar structure, with small compact crystallization. Sulphur causes red shortness and seamy heads; it also tends to check welding of blow holes and ingot pipes. Phosphorus increases the size of crystals and produces brittleness; it must therefore be very low in high carbon rails, which make prices higher, as most ores have phosphorus in them.

Physical Drop Tests for Rails :

(1) Intercolonial Railway of Canada—Supports 3 ft. 6 inches apart; a rail 12 ft. long is to stand one blow of 2,000 lbs. falling 18 ft., and three blows falling 6 feet for 67 lb. rail, with a deflection of 3 to $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches for first, and $2\frac{1}{4}$ to $3\frac{1}{4}$ inches for second case. (Drop tests for U. S. roads about the same.)

(2) Irish Flange Rails.—(a) Supports 3 ft. 6 inches apart, a rail not to deflect more than $\frac{3}{2}$ inch with permanent set not more than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch for 30,000 lbs. at centre for 30 minutes. (b) Same supports, rail to stand 2 blows without breaking, and not to deflect more than 1 inch for 2,000 lbs. falling 8 feet.

Under wear the top surface of a rail head gets more or less cold-rolled and brittle for about $\frac{1}{3^T}$ inch, which is the cause of heads breaking downwards (e.g.) a broken wheel may hammer and cause the brittle layer at top to crack, and the crack will continue on down until the rail breaks. High stiff rails with a broad head are more needed