

ROBERT HARDIE'S MURDER.

The story that he was killed by Indians is discredited. Toronto and elsewhere—A Strange Chapter of Western Life—Some Startling Facts That Have Recently Been Brrought to Light.

Robert Hardie, of Canada, was murdered in Arizona on Queen's Birthday of this year. He was in company with his brother-in-law, Dr. Hayes of Los Angeles, Cal., at the time. The latter gave a detailed, circumstantial account of how Indians committed the murder. Soldiers and police failed to find any trace of Indians in the vicinity and the matter has not yet been satisfactorily cleared up.

The world yesterday made inquiries as to the families concerned, and coming so soon after the famous Birchall case the details will be of interest. The office at Los Angeles believes that it was a white man and not an Indian that "did for" Dr. Hardie.

Mr. Hardie formerly lived in Stratford, where his sister, Mrs. Sexton, now resides. He was well known in that city and also in Toronto. Dr. Frank Hayes, now of Los Angeles and formerly of Philadelphia, was his brother-in-law. Mr. Hardie was married on May 24 last to a daughter of Mrs. Sexton. Dr. Hayes communicated with Mrs. Sexton recently to the effect that Mr. Hardie had died suddenly on Sunday morning, October 2, at a residence in Los Angeles. He said he did not want to go on the journey to Tombstone unless Dr. Hayes could accompany him.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination. Time passed and no answer came. Dr. Emily Stone of 119 Church-street was an intimate friend of the Hardie family, also wrote but with no better success. Dr. Stone put this plain question to Dr. Goodfellow, who has made the post-mortem. "Was there any other examination made of Mr. Hardie's body after the course of the bullet, and if so, under what conditions?"

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

Dr. Goodfellow for a long while declined to design an answer. The question was repeated in various forms, but he would not give an answer. This was in direct contradiction to the letter of William Hayes, stating that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death. He said that he had seen the body of Mr. Hardie on the morning of his death.

ALLAH COMMANDED HIM.

A Ship's Cook Rites Arrested in the Head of the Vessel.

YOKOHAMA, Oct. 8.—A heavy dose of death administered to their food by Malay sailors and the numerous deaths of all hands aboard the British ship Lizzie Troop on her passage from Philadelphia to Japan. The men were Mohammedans, and though all were made of with the lives of the party, too much poison was given, and this also prevented dissection results. The men were arrested and held to await trial by the English Consul Court, which was ordered to be convened at once.

On being interviewed by a newspaper reporter as to whether he was certain that the crew were guilty of the murder, Mr. Day said: "I saw one of them just as plainly as I saw you, and I know he was an Indian; you cannot make a mistake about an Indian's physiognomy. This fellow was very close to me, and there is not the slightest doubt in my mind that he was an Indian."

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

Every time a man is killed in the world, the question arises as to whether it was a murder or not. In the case of Robert Hardie, the question is a very interesting one. It is a question of fact, and one that will be settled by the result of the post-mortem examination.

THE TIMES ON THE TARIFF.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

It is said, notwithstanding great opposition, the era of legislation in favor of a privileged class was passing away. The power was now in the hands of the masses.

HALFPOUR SAYS THE CRY OF A GENERAL FAMINE IS ABSURD.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

Hicks-Beach Compares Tariff's Vapors to the Tales of a Traveller, but John says Sir Frank's view is a fair one.

ELECTROCUTION A SUCCESS.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS. The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

MR. FRANKLIN WILL GO TO PARIS.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

The Superintendent of the Toronto Street Railway Secures Big Benefit.

ROBE BURROWS SEEN IN JAIL.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

LIFE AND DEATH OF THE CELL-BRAVED ALABAMA OUTLAW.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.

ONE CENT.