ony of Newfoundland, at of duty upon the articles all apply to the United

ention comes into force levied in Newfoundland are to be these stated in on flour and pork, are the le section 22 of that act, t, provides that after the o be at the rates specified

plying only to the United there is nothing in article ntrics the scale of duties

even if it had come into

legislature, and as I have 22 of the Newfoundland the coming into force of

not be advised to assent to not the products of the

ning of the provision is to convention most favoured cles specified in article v, has been put upon it, that lour to 25 cents per barrel, proportioned to the ratio vention. I am aware that ficially by your ministers, minissioner, and I think it to in your telegram under overnment may share the

With regard to the bearte of 1819, the coming into ted States fishing vessels I to them under the treaty, rial parliament, at present ent of a license fee of \$1.50 in would leave the treaty of

ons with regard to the draft able disputes between the isapprehension as to matters leave the way open for a

KNUTSFORD.

## No. 191.

Lord Stanley of Preston to Lord Knutsford.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE, OTTAWA, 26th March, 1892.

The Rt. Hon. the Secretary of State for the Colonies.

My Lord,—I had the honour to send to your lordship to-day, a telegraphic message

in cypher, of which the following is the substance:

When you suggested a conference, we answered on 1st and again on 3rd of March, acquiescing in proposal. We would be glad if you would telegraph to Newfoundland the substance of your suggestion and of our answer. We believe that Harvey was in London on the above dates, and as our telegram was in reply to your suggestion, we did not send a copy to Newfoundland.

I have, &c.,

STANLEY OF PRESTON.

## No. 192.

Lord Knutsford to Lord Stanley of Preston.

Downing Street, 9th April, 1892.

Governor General of Canada, &c., &c.

My LORD,—I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your despatches Nos. 76 and 77 of the 10th March, forwarding minutes of the Dominion privy council respect ing the bait controversy with Newfoundland.

I have carefully considered these papers, and I think that on re-consideration your ministers will agree with Her Majesty's government that they would not be warranted in placing an ex parte statement of this controversy prepared by one side only, before the privy council.

Your Ministers will doubtless consider whether there is any other way by which

the legality of the action of the Newfoundland government can be tested.

I have, &c., KNUTSFORD.

## No. 193.

Lord Stanley of Preston to Lord Knutsford.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE, OTTAWA, 12th April, 1892.

The Rt. Hon. the Secretary of State for the Colonies, &c., &c.

My LORD,—I had the honour to send your lordship to-day a telegraphic message in cypher, of which the following is the substance:—

It is important in view of discussion in Newfoundland that we should at once place on record our proposal embodied in my telegram to you of 1st March.

Have you any objection to my telegraphing in that sense direct to Governor of Newfoundland?

Telegraph answer.

I have, &c., STANLEY OF PRESTON.

23c to 23h-13