QUESTION: Do you think the university administration should ban Lady Godiva? Why or why not?

PHOTOS BY: Kevin Backs
INTERVIEWS BY: Christie Walker

Steve Garland C.C. Staff

No way They should just get a male one for the females.

Kemberly Oaks BEd. III

Yes I do. Why should indecent exposure be allowed on campus if it's not allowed in town.

Gerard Finnan BA III

No, some of us need our thrills.

Laurie Hodd BA I

I think she should be on the back of a horse with long blonde hair.

Cathy Ball BPE II

No, they shouldn't because it's part of the tradition.

Beth Campbell BN III

Yes, because it makes the engineering faculty look bad.

Stefan Sparring BA III

No, I don't think they should ban her, but I don't think anyone should be allowed to watch either.

Kevin Tennant BA III

No, I don't think they have the right.

Randy Paradis BBA IV

No, it's tradition.

Heather Williams BBA III

Yes. I guess so. Because it's an insult to the womens' movement

Sound off continued

Comments invited

Dear Editor:

The term of appointment of Professor Barry Thompson as Dean of Students expires on June 30 1981, and he is eligible for reappointment. In view of the broad responsibilities of the Dean of Students to the University community, the Search Committee wishes to receive written comments on the possible reappointment of Professor Thompson from students, members of the faculty or staff in the University. I should appreciate it if you would publish this letter as a means of inviting comments from any member of the University community who might wish to express an opinion.

According to regulations adopted by the Board of Governors and Senate, it is my stated responsibility as Chairman of the Search Committe to invite written comments which will be treated in strict confidence except as explicitly provided in the regulations, viz:

The information obtained (by the Chairman) will be summarized by the chairman of the Search Committee and the summary will be disclosed to the incumbent prior to determining if the incumbent wishes to reoffer for the post.

Written, signed comments must be received by this office no later than November 30, 1980. The Search Committe will advise the constituency of its deliberations in due course.

Sincerely
Robert Burridge
Vice President (Academic)
and Chairman, Search Committee

continued from p.7

I was fortunate enough to obtain a refund, but only after a bout of protests to and from the CHSC manager. I felt annoyed and disappointed, as I really counted on having a good time as in past events. I have always tried to support university and was dismayed that such an experience would happen to me. The chief of campus police had told me that the CHSC manager was responsible for the pub, yet, when approached denied this. He remained calm but became rude. All he could say was "After the sale of tickets, the pub is out of my hands," and suggested we return after obtaining more identification. By then I felt angry and humiliated especially after he reluctantly gave a refund to me when requested. If the CHSC manager is not to blame for the sale of tickets - which were sold in "his club" along with misadvertising and misinforming fellow-alumni and others who frequent the club who is to blame?

Again, if I had been informed at the sale of the tickets, I am sure this would not have occured. (For I have always complied to regulations). I know that I am not the only person and alumni member to frequent and use university facilities and to see posters advertising future events. Please if there is to be any restrictions on future events, as to student body alone, as well as type of "id" it should be indicated (to prevent misleading people into buying a ticket and then not being permitted to attend.)

I still feel my rejection and refusal of admission to the pub was unjustifiable and remain,

Disappointed Alumni '79

TONIGHT
Pub in the Sub
9 pm - 1 am
Nov 7, 1980
$1.00 Admission
2 I.D.s required
Rock, Pop, Disco, New Wave
WE PLAY REQUESTS
chsc 780
Serving the University of New Brunswick and St. Thomas University