the Gateway, page 5/

Defense for UAB image

Dear Mr. T. Gateway,

As a concerned student, intercollegiate athlete, and in-tramural participant, I find it very reporting and not being able to find it. I am responding to the editorial and articles of Nov. 16 '81 concerning the UAB's protect editorial and articles of Nov. 16 Intercollegiate athletics is (at '81 concerning the UAB's propos-the moment) the only place where ed fee increase of a maximum of 15% per year.

The image I (and anyone else who read the Nov. 16 issue) found was expounded in those articles and editorial, is the image of the increase going to only inter-collegiate athletes (mostly male) for them to "act as heroes and costs, for a majority of idle spectators." As well, I (and numerous others) have been to it. numerous others) have been led to believe that the UAB is out to "promote the role of the average student as a spectator rather than a participant" and "further the not participating in perhaps the idea that men are more active than best run intramural program in women." Why do you, the editors, North America! make such foolish suppositions elite athletics, intramurals, and recreation?

As an intercollegiate athlete, I do not consider myself a "hero" or "idol", nor do I think any intercollegiate athlete thinks that he/she is such an outdated, medieval personification. We are

To whom it may concern, or, r Mr. T. Gateway, "professional teams", we are yet. The budgets for interstudents, like you. But we have an interest in doing much more than just passing courses.

universities across the country can come together and share in a common interest. I am not saying that this is the only place that intercollegiate interests may be shared, music, drama, debating are all areas that could be developed for this purpose.

As for intramurals, it is not the UAB's fault that only 30% of their budget goes to intramurals. It is your fault (the student) for

In the article "UAB has lost without even attempting to properly define the role of the UAB and its philosophy towards strength: Wood" (Nov. 16, 1981), an important fact is stated: In the budget, intramurals are given budget, intramurals are given include faculty, year and phone priority. Anybody, and that means number. No anonymous letters will be anybody can get a few friends published. All letters should be typed, together and (I guarantee you although we will reluctantly accept 100%) participate in various them if they are very neatly written. intramural sports. We reserve the right to edit for libel intramural sports.

intramurals? Because, at the U of A, "Participation" has not exactly

vet. The budgets for intercollegiate teams are being reduced (relatively, through inflation and cutbacks) every year, intramurals will never be reduced in budget.

If you want to see where your money is going, come out and participate, and spend a little of it for your own benefit.

This letter was written for 3 reasons: to open the minds of the editors so they may be more just in their reporting; to open the eyes of the average student who may have been misled a touch; to present some insight into one athletes philosophy as an intercollegiate athlete.

Sincerely, Dale McNeely P. Ed. 3 Golden Bear Gymnastics Golden Bear Soccer

TOTAL STREET, STREET,

Letters to the Editor should be a maximum of 250 words on any subject. Letters must be signed and Why is a larger percentage of the UAB's budget not spent on and length. Letters do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Gateway*.

L'IATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTAT

Abortion undignified

Why is it that some people or her. never learn? Why is it that those Of who search for knowledge seem to lose touch with the basic truths of right and wrong? Julie Wheelright, in her article Holocaust, gives us real insight into an intellectual attempt to smooth a ruffled conscience.

There is no right to have an abortion. An issue of this magnitude cannot be transcended by mere legal or emotional pleasi And it is definitely not an issue that can be dealt with in dollars and cents or statistical data. This is an issue that stems back to the time that Cane (sic) stood above Able (sic) and saw the earth turn rimson beneath him.

The prevalent attitude of today's society can be summed up in one word — ME. This is a time of self-absorption, self-contained (sic), self-defence, selfdetermination, self-preservation and most important selfishness. We preoccupy ourselves with the persute (sic) of fulfillment of our own needs. This doesn't leave much room for too many others in our lives, only those that we want and need. Those that simply don't fit in are brushed aside and this is where part of the issue begins.

What does one do with an unwanted pregnancy? In our society there are several options that are open to us; 1) Abortion, 2) Adoption, 3) Carrying the pregnancy full term and either keeping the child yourself or getting assistance in raising him

Of these options only one is destructive, the others while not all that pleasant do offer hope for both the child and the mother.

"I'm going to try and make sure there aren't back street abortionists. They do two things: they destroy the baby and the mother.'

The solution of course is a legal abortion which only destroys the baby and frees the mother to again follow her own persutes (sic). What we are told therefore is that it is wrong to put the mothers life in danger yet perfect-ly alright to take the child's life.

What Miss Wheelright and the others do not seem to see is that the very needs that cry out for this kind of solution will soon enough propel it into macabre proportions that will in turn make them potential victims of a phenomina (sic) that we will no longer be able to control.

It is at this point in our history that we must be able to distinguish from right and wrong. It is now that we must see that in order to survive and mend our troubled society we must learn to recognize the problems that are within us and to solve them in a constructive manner. If we don't learn to appreciate human dignity in its many and varied forms from that of the crippled to that of the fetus then surely we are all doom-

ed.

James Albers Ed 3

Thursday, November 19, 1981/

Change of Irish views

In reply to Mr. Cohen, (letters, Nov. 10). Apparently you will not accept any guilt for a crime you admit took place, but are quite prepared to enjoy the fruits of that crime. Are you serious?

You appear to condone terrorism as means which justify the end since you do not condemn it. Indeed, you even suggest that terrorism is democratic: "The prominence of the IRA is merely a sign that the more democratic solutions for liberation have failed" (my emphasis). Again, are you serious? I can only conclude that you are not, since you would appear to be an embryo terrorist yourself.

The closest analogy to the Irish question is certainly not Zimbabwe as you suggest, since





the white 5 percent were distributed across the country in the main. But right here in Canada, any province or region voted 2-1 for independence or even Union with the US, would you let it go? Your answers cannot be take seriously Mr. Cohen, but you will find that most people woulddecide that however much it might be distasteful, they couldn't condone the use of force to keep a region in confederation. If they can, let them put a rifle to their shoulder and be prepared to kill. But wonders, I can agree with you Mr. Cohen, if only in part. I

hope you were being serious this inducing guilt feelings," if the guy sitting on top of the dung heap throws muck at me, I feel quite instified in theorem of the quite justified in throwing that muck back if I choose too.

If you feel guilt, by induction or otherwise, then there is hope that you might act on it. Unfortunately some people feel it more comfortable to duck (sic) the responsibility, perhaps because they feel the past isn't to be take all that seriously.

> Sincerely, N. M. McCulloch Grad Studies