

Read the Condensed Ads. on Page 6.

THE EVENING TIMES

Times Want Ads. ... Yield ... Good Returns.

VOL. II, NO. 111.

ST. JOHN, N. B., SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1906.

ONE CENT

THE LAST TRIBUTE TO ALD. CHRISTIE

His Funeral Today Was One of the Largest Ever Held in North End.

THE SERVICE AT ST. LUKE'S CHURCH

Church Crowded to the Doors and Services Most Impressive—City Council, Medical Society and Oddfellows March in Funeral Procession—Hundreds of Citizens Followed the Hearse.

Simple, but impressive ceremonies marked the funeral of the late Alderman Christie, which took place from St. Luke's Episcopal church this afternoon.

During the entire morning and well up to dinner hour all classes of citizens gathered at the home of the late alderman to pay the last time upon the familiar features.

About ten minutes after two this afternoon, Rev. R. P. McKim, rector of St. Luke's, conducted a short family service at the home, immediately after which the body, accompanied by relatives, was removed to the church.

The body was carried in a black broadcloth-covered caulk. On the plate was inscribed: "William Christie, M. D., aged 69 years."

On reaching the church the caulk was placed directly in front of the chancel.

Seats were reserved for the municipal, city officials and other representative bodies.

The services, which began about 2:30 o'clock, were conducted by Rev. C. W. Nichols, the hymns being, "New the Laborer's Task is Ours" and "Peace, Perfect Peace."

As the church was being removed from the church the choir, under the direction of Miss Farmer, chanted the following verses. The cortege formed as follows:

Departure of city police, Fireworks Lodge 1, O. O. F., City officials.

Aldermen, Medical Society, Board of Health and Alms House Commissioners, Rev. R. P. McKim, Rev. C. W. Nichols and Undertaker Kewan.

Flanking the pall-bearers on either side was a body-guard from Court La Tour, L. O. O. F.

The pall-bearers were: Thomas Hilliard, John C. Eagles, Robert Wasley, George Robertson, M. P. P. George Cushing, and County Secretary Vincent.

Following the funeral car were the mourners and general public.

On reaching Fernhill cemetery the short service and committal prayer were read by Rev. R. P. McKim and all that was mortal of Alderman Christie was laid at rest among the floral tributes were the following:

A large pillar from the Common Council, composed of white roses, Easter lilies, carnations, lily-of-the-valley and pansies, prepared by Adam Saund. Across the pillar was laid a pink satin ribbon on which were the words in golden letters, "At Rest."

A large arrangement of similar flowers and also prepared by Mrs. Shand, was sent by County Secretary and Mrs. Vincent.

Besides the above were other beautiful tributes to the memory of the deceased.

The Council's Tribute

The common council met at 11:30 this morning to pass a resolution on the death of their late colleague, Ald. William Christie.

There were present: His Worship the Mayor, Aldermen Lewis, Holder, Macrae, Tilley, McGoldrick, Sprunt, Hamm, Pickett, Vanwert, Baxter, Bullock, Common Clerk Wardrop and Marshal Cogan.

The mayor, in calling the meeting to order, said:

"Since the last occasion on which we met as a common council, death has again come among us and has taken away one whom we can ill afford to lose. On Thursday last, Ald. Wm. Christie, representative of Lansdowne ward, was taken away from us."

"It is unnecessary for me, speaking as I do to his colleagues and friends, to dwell on his worth. He represented not only the people of his own ward, but the best thinking people of the city. He was always ready, even when his declining strength must have made his task a difficult one, to consider the welfare of the city. He was always ready to give the best that was in him."

"I have known the late Ald. Christie for many years, not only as a member of this common council, but as a member of the hospital staff, where I served with him for eleven years, and his labors among the patients will be long remembered. He cannot say much more now, but we must feel our responsibility as one by one the archer lays us low. The ranks must be closed up, the fight must be continued and it is for all of us to remember that our duties must go on as in the past."

He then asked the council to pass a suitable resolution.

Ald. McGoldrick then read the following resolution:

Whereas this Common Council has learned with deep regret of the death of William Christie, Esquire, M. P., Alderman of Lansdowne Ward, who since the union of the cities of St. John and Portland has represented that ward in the council;

Therefore Resolved, That this council desires to place on record their appreciation of the sterling character and faithful services of their late colleague who, in the discharge of his public duties, has always displayed honesty, earnestness and marked ability.

For the past eleven years chairman of the Board of Public Works, he occupied one of the most important positions in the civic government and one which by his thorough grasp of civic affairs combined with practical knowledge, he was most competent to fill. Conservative, but never timid in his conduct of public affairs, he stamped his work with a strong impression of individuality.

With him public office was a public trust to be discharged faithfully and fearlessly. Regardless as he was of the artifices which are supposed to lead to popularity, his long and unbroken tenure of his seat at the council was a real and genuine tribute to his true worth and earnest endeavor. A leader in the council he bore his full share of the burdens and responsibilities of civic life and sought no reward other than to be permitted to share the full share of the public service which he loved so well.

In private life, as a physician in large and general practice, he most conscientiously and most sincerely displayed that sympathy and charity which, through many such as he, reflect the greatest credit upon the medical profession and particularly endeared him to the suffering and to the poor.

And further resolved, That a copy of these resolutions be engrossed and forwarded to his widow and that this council direct the public funeral and interment of the late Alderman Christie as a token of respect.

Ald. McGoldrick said he had been in the council during the past 22 years, most of which time Ald. Christie had been a member. He spoke of his warm personal friendship for the late alderman and regretted that it had to be his duty to move a resolution.

The city of St. John is losing the most independent man in the council, one who was fearless and always ready to do his duty.

Ald. Baxter in seconding the resolution said:

"There is nothing that can be added to what has already been said. The fact that the late alderman entered the council at the time of the union and remained there until his death, is a stronger tribute than this council can pay him. It is the tribute of the citizens. It was particularly fortunate that at the time of the union such a man as Ald. Christie should step to the front. He was a man broad enough to look over sectional lines. There were many citizens in this city who did not always approve of his stand, yet in spite of that they would vote for him year after year."

Ald. Baxter spoke of the great loss to the council and of the burden falling upon those who were left who would have to give their time to the work. Ald. Christie had worked almost day and night for the city and he was a man broad enough to look over sectional lines. There were many citizens in this city who did not always approve of his stand, yet in spite of that they would vote for him year after year."

The resolution was passed unanimously by a standing vote and the meeting adjourned to meet at St. Luke's church this afternoon to attend the funeral. It was decided that City Marshal Coughlin should act as High Constable, preceding the aldermen in the funeral cortege.

The Medical Society

At the call of Dr. C. M. Pratt, secretary of the St. John Medical Society, there was a meeting of the members of the medical profession yesterday afternoon, those attending being Doctors J. M. Barry, W. W. White, J. R. McIntosh, T. D. Walker, Chas. M. Pratt, W. L. Ellis, J. H. Scamwell, T. H. Lomney, S. Skinner, T. Walker, D. E. Berryman and A. F. Emery.

The St. John Medical Society first met on the 10th of February, 1905, and the meeting resolved itself into one of the profession and Dr. W. L. Ellis was appointed secretary. After reference to the subject of the late alderman, the following terms:

Resolved, That the members of the medical profession have a heavy loss in the death of their friend and colleague, William Christie, M. D. Dr. Christie has for very many years occupied a prominent position in this city, both as a practitioner and citizen. His energy, ability, united with an unusual degree of manual dexterity, placed him in the front rank of operative surgeons, while his warm heart and kindly disposition endeared him to his patients of all classes. As a member of the medical society, he rendered valuable services and his cooperation with the board of health and another responsibility which he cheerfully discharged. That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his widow and that the medical profession be requested to attend his funeral.

GREAT BRITAIN HAS LAUNCHED THE WORLD'S GREATEST WARSHIP

The Dreadnought Launched Today Represents the "Last Word" in Naval Construction—Her Armament Heaviest in Any Navy--In Every Sense She is the Most Powerful Fighting Machine Afloat.

DR. W. N. HAND DIED TODAY

Well Known Woodstock Physician Has Succumbed to Blood Poisoning--Prominent in Masonic Order.

WOODSTOCK, N. B., Feb. 10 (Special)—General grief is expressed at the death this morning at 8 o'clock, of Dr. W. N. Hand, who has for a fortnight been dangerously ill with blood poisoning. From the time Dr. Hand himself had little hope of recovery. The best medical attention obtainable had been secured, but it was of no avail. Besides the local doctors, Drs. Abington, of Fredericton, and delphia, have visited him, the latter, who was a school class, being with him until the end.

Dr. Hand was a member of Woodstock Lodge No. 17, F. & M., which he joined in 1891, and of which he is now senior deacon. He was a member of Woodstock Chapter No. 8, Woodstock, and of the Grand Lodge of the Province of New Brunswick. He was one of the board of directors of Carleton County hospital. Dr. Hand was born in Plymouth, N. B., in 1836, and was the son of Mr. and Mrs. Richard Hand. About 18 years ago he graduated from the University of Pennsylvania and for a year was resident physician of the Children's Hospital in that city. Returning to Woodstock he entered into partnership with Dr. N. R. Golder, and at the removal of the latter to St. John he continued the firm's practice until the present time. His widow, a daughter of Dr. and Mrs. Boyd, of Lunenburg, Maine, and four children, Kathleen, Wilfred and Edwin, survive.

The funeral, under the auspices of the Masonic lodge, will be held at 2:30 on Monday afternoon.

BURGLARY IN SUSSEX

I. C. R. Freight Shed Was Broken Into Last Night—Freight Office Also Visited.

SUSSEX, Feb. 10 (Special)—A burglar, broke into the I. C. R. freight shed here last night between the hours of midnight and morning and carried away some barrels of flour and boxes of soap. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police. The burglar was seen by the watchman and he was followed by the police.

JUNK MEN WENT FREE

They Were Charged With Buying Stolen Rope but were Dismissed With a Caution.

LICENSES PAID LARGE REVENUE

Understood That Revenue from Liquor Licenses Last Year Was About \$32,500.

There will be 75 retail liquor licenses granted in St. John next year, and no more. Applications for new licenses must be filed with Inspector Jones before March 25. The commissions will meet that day or very soon after, to fix a day for the hearing of objections to the applications being granted and fourteen days after the date of the open meeting has been announced the commissioners will meet again to consider the applications and grant licenses.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

The case against Ernest Williams, Lorne Williams and Jonas Dixon came up in the police court this morning. E. S. Ritchie representing all three prisoners. The latter were charged with having received a coil of rope, valued at \$40, stolen by S. S. Dunmore Head, knowing the rope to have been stolen property. The first witness was Ernest Williams, who denied having any knowledge of the fact that the rope had been stolen by Samuel Coughley, who admitted he had stolen the rope and implicated Ernest Williams, but who, on the excellent recommendation of Captain McFarlane, was allowed to go free. Coughley was charged with having stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The second witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free. The third witness was Ernest Williams, who admitted he had stolen the rope and was allowed to go free.

REV. G. O. GATES MAY GO TO MONTREAL

Popular Pastor of Germain Street Baptist Has Been Offered a Church There.

MAY ANNOUNCE DECISION TOMORROW.

Delegation from a Westmount Baptist Church, which is the Largest and Richest Baptist Church in Montreal, Heard Him Preach and Call Followed—Will Be Greatly Missed Here If He Goes.

Rev. Dr. G. O. Gates of Germain Street Baptist church will leave for Montreal about April 1st to assume the pastorate of the Westmount Baptist church. This information was imparted to a Times man this morning by a prominent member of the church. He said there had been a delegation of Montreal men here a few weeks ago listening to Dr. Gates and the outcome was a call to the Germain Street pastor to go to their church in Montreal. It was further stated that Dr. Gates had decided to accept the call and would announce his decision to his congregation at tomorrow's services.

Dr. Gates announced the pastorate of the Germain Street church about nineteen years ago, and with the exception of about two years spent in Windsor, about three and a half years back, he has been pastor ever since. Previous to coming to St. John Dr. Gates was in charge of the Moncton church, and before that he was pastor of