

conditions and provisions of the rubric. In case the clergy of the Parish or Mission, on application being made to him to restore the repelled person on profession of repentance, refuse to do so, on the ground that no sufficient evidence of such repentance has been given; or shall not set forth any ground of refusal; or shall state an insufficient ground; the repelled person may again make a complaint in writing to the Bishop, who shall deal with it in the manner hereinbefore directed.

47. And further, if any Layman shall be found to have transgressed under Sections 1, 2, 3, 8, of the nine heads of offences enumerated in the first part of this Canon for which a Clergyman shall be subjected to trial, he shall be presented to the Bishop for trial by the aforesaid parties, or such others as have been specified in this Canon; and the Bishop shall take such action for the erection and constitution of a Court, as has been above named, and the trial shall proceed and terminate under the same rules and limitations. And the said Court shall declare the said Layman, if the offence shall be found to be of sufficient magnitude to have forfeited his right to the Holy Communion. But if the offence shall not be considered sufficient to justify suspension from the Holy Communion for any determinate period, such as to cause scandal and injury to the Church, the Court shall have power to pronounce the offending party guilty, and to declare that he has thereby forfeited, for a longer or shorter period, all right to hold any Church office, *general*, as in Synodical, Diocesan or Provincial, or *local*, as in a Parochial or Church Organization or Society, subject to all the rules and conditions already specified.

The Rev. G. M. Armstrong moved, and the Rev. G. Schell seconded, the following amendment:

Resolved, That the amended Canon of Discipline for the Laity proposed to be adopted by this Synod, be printed in the Appendix to the Journal and brought forward at the annual meeting of the Synod.*

The question being taken on the amendment it passed in the affirmative.

On motion to that effect, the order of the day relative to the subject of education was taken up at 3 o'clock, P. M.,

When Mr. Frith moved, and the Rev. Dr. Jarvis seconded,

* See preceding proposed resolution.