In Court of Chancery,	1,291@	$32.25 \ldots 3$	\$2,904	7 5
In Superior Court,	3,511 @	2.70	9,479	70
In County Court,	4,512@	1.80	8,121	6 0

The Process-serving Attorneys deprived the Sheriffs of their fees......\$20,506 05

We have shown by the receipted and taxed Bills of Costs of the Process-serving Attorneys that they charge and collect from the litigant a sum rather more than two Sheriffs' fees in addition to their own, therefore we must add as taken from the litigants..

20,506 05

 \mathbf{f}

sl

86

sl

p

eı

V

fc

tl

h

a

Process-serving Attorneys pocketed this amount belonging to Sheriffs and litigants... \$41,012 10

Of the \$43,744.94 to which the Sheriffs were entitled they only received \$23,238.90; the Process-serving Attorneys pocketed the balance of \$20,506.05, with, as their own receipted and taxed Bills of Cost prove, \$20,506.05 more from the litigants; a new and novel method, truly, of "keeping down the disbursements of the suit and saving costs to the litigants"!!!

Another Return was obtained last December, showing that from the 1st day of August, 1881, to the 1st of December, 1884, the number of Writs of Summons issued out of the three branches of the Superior Court, viz., Q. B., C. P. and Chancery, was 23,151, and also a Return from the 39 Sheriffs of Ontario, showing that of the 23,151 Writs of Summons issued within the time specified they only served 8,655, being only 938 more than one-third served by the Sheriffs, showing that the Process-serving Attorneys were rather increasing than decreasing the practice. Had only one copy of each of the 23,151 been served by the Sheriffs, their fees would have been, exclusive of mileage, as follows:—

Issued from the Superior Court 23,151 Writs @ \$2.70, \$62,507.70, which would have given each of the 39 Sheriffs in Ontario an average of \$1,602.76, but instead of getting that amount they only averaged \$606.11 each; having served only 8,655 of the 23,151, the Process-serving Attorneys having served 14,396.