could not but fee the impropriety, according to all the rules of war and of common fenfe, of effectuating a defcent, from which no good could be hoped for the fuccefs of the main of the enterprize, the attack upon Rochefort ? Could any thing counterballance the moral affurance of being cut off division by division, which must have been the cafe, unlefs the French could be sufpected of falling off, on such a tempting occasion, from their usual alertness, or have only sufpended its exertion, in order to draw us more compleatly into the fnare?

"It does not appear, (fays the Report), "that there were any fufficient reafons to induce the council of war to believe that Rochefort was fo far changed, in respect of its ftrength or posture of defence, fince the expedition was first resolved on in England, as to prevent all attempts of an attack upon the place, in order to burn and destroy the docks, magazines, arfenals, and shipping, in obedience, & &c."

To all this the commanders might chearfully fubfcribe, fince it does honor both to their fenfe and obedience. They were fent furely on the plan of a furprize, or coup-demain. Nothing can be plainer than their inftructions on this head: but that cafe of fur-

fur tion the mig as to obvi no h a pla affaul As 66 real C C atte " to t. " then " poffi just, on have la to the hour's d ftrength could .tl And the dence of refolution lefs their fibilities, be allowed tion acqu perfevera their ow