

attempt to influence you improperly in any way?

A. I would suggest that he did not only influence me, but I doubt if he had used any influence on any members of Council, because it would have been a known fact.

Q. Right. And, during this period, Mr. Crozier was before the Council on at least one occasion and maybe more often. Is it fair to say that Mr. Crozier was, in effect, telling the Council that the matter was urgent and they should make up their minds?

A. He did.

Now, this is belabouring the point but at page 652 the question is put:

Q. And I may take it then, from your evidence, that Mr. Landreville did nothing improper as far as you are concerned; didn't use any undue pressure or influence on you, and that you saw no evidence of that, as far as any effort on his part with respect to any other member of the Council? Is that correct?

A. This is very true.

On page 655 there is a discussion between the Commissioner and Mr. Fabbro as to why Mr. Fabbro was in favour of a subsidiary company, and the Commissioner disagreed with him that it would bring any benefits whatsoever. I will read the Commissioner's answer on page 655, line 24:

Well, do you think you could attain that by merely creating a subsidiary company?

That point may not be of importance.

At page 658 the Commissioner states:

I must confess that I don't know what you mean when you say you would have an advantage by having a subsidiary company which would act as a parent company directed.

The Witness: Well, perhaps history will prove it.

The Commissioner: Well, perhaps it will; Mr. Landreville was a man of courage and force, as I understand from you?

The Witness: That is correct.

The Commissioner: And a dominating figure as Mayor?

The Witness: I think he was a good leader.

The Commissioner: I asked you if he was a dominating personality?

The Witness: No, I don't think so.

The Commissioner: Oh, you didn't feel the force of his personality when you took a certain stand?

The Witness: No.

The Commissioner: You did not?

The Witness: No.

The Commissioner: Did you always agree with him, or generally disagree?

The Witness: Oh, we generally agreed.

The Commissioner: And there was no suggestion that it would look better if you had some opposition to the Council?

The Witness: Very definitely not.

Controller Waisberg of the City of Sudbury at Page 669, line 11, was questioned by Mr. Morrow.

Q. Do you recall, yourself, any particular urging or pressure being applied by Mr. Landreville?

A. No, none whatsoever.

At page 675 he is referring to when the bylaw was passed and the question by Mr. Morrow was:

Q. You were reassured, then by Mr. Crozier?

A. Apparently I was. As I said before, I came away from that meeting with the feeling that the matters were adequately provided for.

I may point out on page 677, in view of some article in a magazine which referred that I had held a reception at my home especially for the Gas Company there.

There has been such an article, which I have—

• (4.35 p.m.)

**Senator Hnatyshyn:** It was in *Maclean's*—

**Mr. Landreville:** That is so.

**Senator Hnatyshyn:** And the one that you are referring to was in the *Toronto Star*?

**Mr. Landreville:** Yes; and it was filed as an exhibit before the Commissioner and; of course, I did not go into that, I did not consider it of importance, but here there is no evidence of my holding a meeting at my residence at which a substantial or important number of council members attended and Controller Waisberg: at the bottom of page 676:

Q. Were you ever invited to a reception or a party at Mayor Landreville's