

5. Are any leased snowmobiles used by the government at this location and, if so, from whom and at what cost?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,430.

No. 2,431—*Mr. Cossitt*

1. How many government-owned boats are maintained at St. Lawrence Islands National Parks?

2. On what date, from whom and at what price was each purchased?

3. Were tenders called on each purchase and (a) if not, for what reason (b) if so (i) was this by public advertisement or invited tender (ii) what tenders were received in each case?

4. Who looks after maintenance of these boats and what was the total maintenance cost during the latest 12 months for which figures are available?

5. Are any leased boats used by the government at this location and, if so, from whom and at what cost?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,431.

No. 2,432—*Mr. Cossitt*

1. How many government-owned vehicles are maintained at St. Lawrence Islands National Parks?

2. On what date, from whom and at what price was each purchased?

3. Were tenders called on each purchase and (a) if not, for what reason (b) if so (i) was this by public advertisement or invited tender (ii) what tenders were received in each case?

4. Who looks after maintenance of these vehicles and what was the total maintenance cost during the latest 12 months for which figures are available?

5. Are any leased vehicles used by the government at this location and, if so, from whom and at what cost?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,432.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

Mr. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands), from his place in the House, asked leave under Standing Order 26 to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, and stated the subject to be: the steps the Government proposes taking to meet the continuing interruption of oil supplies from foreign countries, and more particularly, what plans it has for

(a) procuring alternative supplies to meet the needs of Eastern Canada,

(b) organizing public support for reducing the consumption of energy supplies this winter,

(c) instituting a system of oil rationing should this become necessary.

And leave having been granted to propose a motion to adjourn the House in order to discuss the matter stated by him, the motion to adjourn was allowed to stand over until 8.00 o'clock p.m. this day.

By unanimous consent, it was ordered,—That when the House adjourns on Friday, November 9, 1973, it stand adjourned until Tuesday, November 13, 1973.

The Order being read for the report stage of Bill C-132, An Act to provide for the review and assessment of acquisitions of control of Canadian business enterprises by certain persons and of the establishment of new businesses in Canada by certain persons, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs;

Motion numbered (1) standing in the name of the honourable Member for Yorkton-Melville (Mr. Nystrom) having been called, as follows:—That Bill C-132, An Act to provide for the review and assessment of acquisitions of control of Canadian business enterprises by certain persons and of the establishment of new businesses in Canada by certain persons, be amended in Sub-clause 2(1) by changing the period at the end of line 9 at page 2 to a comma and by adding immediately thereafter the following words:

“and that it is also expedient to establish a means by which established firms that are foreign owned may have an increase in the value of their assets or sales provided they make available to the Canadian public that portion of their total equity which is proportionate to the said growth, such increases in assets or sales to be submitted to the Agency established under this Act at regular five-year intervals, for the preceding five-year period, the equity ratio to be based on average experience of the firm over the preceding twenty years or its time of incorporation in Canada, whichever is shorter, and the first option to purchase equity to be provided to the Canada Development Corporation.”

#### RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

MR. SPEAKER: I thank honourable Members for their guidance in relation to motion numbered 1 standing in the name of the honourable Member for Yorkton-Melville (Mr. Nystrom).

I am afraid that honourable Members while they have sought to help the Chair have not clearly resolved the difficulty for me. Referring to the first point made by the honourable Member for Saint John-Lancaster (Mr. Bell) I would think, looking at motion numbered 2 and those immediately following, this might allow some latitude in debate. I am sure the Chair would not want to limit honourable Members too closely to the contents of the motion, particularly in view of the fact that the motion—referring to motion numbered 2—would provide an opportunity for some latitude in debate. I would find it very