

when the President raised this subject outside of the agenda that he was anticipating?

When you say that he said that, in effect, we would have to wait and see what the results of the President's action were--that certainly cannot be construed as approving it.

MR. HEAD: No! We were not surprised that it did come up. It was a rather natural slip into this subject, Peter. I put it down as an entire category, because of the amount of time that was attached to it.

It seemed to follow naturally in our discussion about non-proliferation and, then, leading with the anticipation of moving from there to disarmament.

The President did say that he was working in several directions in his discussions with the Soviets. Human Rights was certainly one, and the proposals with respect to SALT were another.

Now, the Prime Minister's response was not intended to be approving or disapproving, but it was definitely of an encouraging rise and that we cannot help but be dedicated to the human conditions in other Countries; and that it is necessary that we constantly seek techniques that will be most effective in relieving their plight.

But I am certain that the President did not seek either approval or disapproval--nor did the Prime Minister choose to give it in that form.