THE AMERICAN ANTHROPOLOGIST.

[Vol. VI.

What are case-endings in the sense of Aryan grammar? Kühner informs us that "all the relations which the Greek denotes by the genitive, dative, and accusative were originally considered relations of *space*."* The relations of time and causality also were regarded as relations of *space*. Whitney remarks that out of the seven cases "three of them distinctly indicated local relations: the ablative denoted the relation expressed by *from*; the locative that expressed by *in*; the instrumental that expressed by *with* or *by*."[†] To these Peile adds the dative, denoting the relation expressed by *to* or *towards.*[‡] Can any one explain away these words of Kühner, Whitney, and Peile?

The learned author of "Polysynthesis and Incorporation" informs us that "a further consequence of the same method" (*i. e.*, his method of polysynthesis) "is the absence of true relative pronouns, of copulative conjunctions, and generally of the machinery of dependent clauses." In Siouan languages there are copulative conjunctions. That there are words which perform the functions of relative pronouns may be seen from the following sentences:

Mazhan dhan ankikandhai te andhia tangatan ebdhegan—I Land the we desire for the we fail we shall I think ourselves (which)

think that we shall fail to obtain the land which we desire for ourselves.

Nuzhinga Boy		ga	hii ve it you	he who that		e t one resaid)	azhi another	ha.	Panka Ponka	azhi another
shange	tan		ihaı	n ta	n.	adhin	aka		e	gdhizai
horse	the standir	ıg	his moth			has	he who (subject		at one oresaid)	took his own
shangetazhinga—The youth who gave it to you is not the one (who										

colt

now has the stray colt). He who has taken it is the Ponka who has the colt's mother.

Unless one has before him one or more series of sentences, such as occur in myths or epistles, he is hardly in the position to speak with authority, at least so far as dependent clauses are concerned.

406

^{*} Op. cit., p. 373.

[†] Op. cit., pp. 271, 272.

¹ Op. cit., pp. 102-106.

[¿]For examples of dependent clauses in the Siouan languages see my Madison address, "The Biloxi Indians of Louisiana," p. 16, and "Contributions to North American Ethnology," vol. 6, pp. 582, 585, et passim.