LONDON, ONTARIO, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1886.

TURNS TO BRITISH HONOR AND IRISH FREEDOM.

He Demolishes the Foe by Unanswerable Argument.

I—HISTORY OF AN IDEA.

We begin this week the reproduction of Mr. Gladstone's last pamphlet, that on the Irish question. Like all the ex-Premier's political utterances, it is lucid, logical and profound, unanswerable by his pigmy opponents, the Churchills, the Hicks Beaches et hoe genus onme.

In the year 1868, I was closely associated with the policy of disestablishing the Irish Church. It was then, not unfairly, attempted to assail the cause in the person of its advocate. To defeat this attempt, an act became necessary which would otherwise have been presumptuous and obtrusive. In order to save the policy from suffering, I laid a personal explanation before the world. The same motive now obliges me to repeat the act, and will I hope form a sufficient excuse for my repeating it.

a sufficient excuse for my repeating it.

The substance of my defence or apology
will, however, on the present occasion be
altogether different. I had then to explain altogether different. I had then to explain the reasons for which, and the mode in which, I changed the opinions and conduct, with respect to the Church of Ireland then established, which I had held half a century ago. I had shown my practical acceptance of the rule that change of opinion should if possible be accompanied with proof of independence and disinterested motive; for I had resigned my place in the Cabinet of Sir Robert Peel in order to make good my title to a new point of

dividual opponent.

The subject of a domestic Government for Ireland, without any distinct specification of its form, has been presented to us from time to time within the last fifteen or sixteen years. I have at no time regarded it as necessarily replete with danger, or as a question which ought to be blocked out by the assertion of some high constitutional doctrine with which it could not be reconciled. But I have considered it to be a question involving such an amount and such a kind of change, and likely to be encountered with so much an amount and such a kind of change, and likely to be encountered with so much of prejudice apart from reason, as to make it a duty to look rigidly to the conditions, upon the fulfilment of which alone it could warrantably be entertained. They

were in my view as follows:—

1. It could not be entertained, except upon a final surrender of the hope that Parliament could so far serve as a legislative instrument for Ireland, as to be able to establish honourable and friendly relations between Great Britain and the received of that country. people of that country.

2. Nor unless the demand for it were made in obedience to the unequivocal and rooted desire of Ireland, expressed through the constitutional medium of the

Irish representatives.

3. Nor unless, being thus made, it were likewise so defined, as to bring it within the limits of safety and prudence, and to obviate all danger to the unity and secur-

ity of the Empire.

4. Nor was it, in my view, allowable to deal with Ireland upon any principle, the benefit of which could not be allowed to d in circumstances of equal and equally clear desire.

5. Upon the fulfilment of these conditions, it appeared to me an evident duty to avoid, as long as possible, all steps which would bring this settlement into

the category of party measures.

6. And, subject to the foregoing considerations, I deemed it to be of great moment to the public weal that the questionally be reported and expeditionally tion should be promptly and expeditiously dealt with; inasmuch as it must otherwise gravely disturb the action of our political system by changes of Ministry by Disso-lutions of Parliament, and by impeding the business, and derogating further from the character of the House of Commons.

These were the principles, which I deemed applicable to the subject; and every step I have taken from first to last, without exception, has been prompted by, and is referable to, one or other of them.

and is referable to, one or other of them.

From the torrent of reproachful criticiems, brought down upon me probably by the necessity of the case, it is not easy to extricate, in an adequate form, the charge or charges intended to be made.

One or two of the statements I must own One or two of the statements I must own surprise me; as for example whea Lord Northbrook, complaining of me for reticence before, and for my action after, the election of 1885, states confidently that nothing had happened "that could not have been foreseen by any man of ordinary political foresight." I do not dwell upon the undeniable truth that many the foreseen, which my with the state of t upon the undeniable truth that many things may be foreseen, which, n)twithstanding, cannot properly become the subject of action until they have been seen as well as foreseen. But I bradly contest the statement. I assert that an traident of the most vital importance had incident of the most vital importancehad happened, which I did not forece; happened, which I did not foreige; which was not foreigen, to my knowledge, by any one else, even if sone might have hoped for it; and which I doubt whether Lord Northbrook himself foresaw; namely, that the Irish demand, put forth on the first night of the Session by the session with the session of the session of the session that the session th doubt whether Lord Northbrook himself foresaw; namely, that the Irish demand, liament. There was then a yet stronger able and learned friend said, that Ireland reason for declining to impart a shock to by Mr. Parnell, with eighty four Irish thome Rulers at his back, would be con the Legislative fabitic by Repeal. Before the Legislative fabitic by Repeal the Legislative fabitic by Repeal the Irish demand, liament (Mr. Brooks). My honour-able and learned friend said, that Ireland has entirely given up the idea of separation from this country."

Thus I again accepted without qualifications and the property of the relief to the property of the relief to the property of the property of the relief to the property of the relief to the property of the relief to the property of th

Right Hon, Mr. Gladstone's
Glorious Plea.

THE GRAND OLD MAN STILL
TURNS TO RRITINH HOND AND

tial, and which had been theretofore unfulfilled.

The more general and more plausible form of the attack I think may be stated as a dilemma. Either I had conceived the intention of Home Rule precipitately, or I had concealed it unduly. Either would, undoubtedly, have been a grave offence; the second as a plot against my friends, the first as an attempt to escape from the sober judgment of the country, and to carry it by surprise. The first aspect of the case was presented by Lord Hartington in the House of Commons, and by Mr. Chamberlain, on the 20th of June, at Birmingham. The second was put forward by Mr. Bright, in addressing his constituents, and, with much point and force, by Lord Hartington at Sheffield. In substance he srgued thus: "Mr. Gladstone has never, during fifteen years, condemned the principal of Home Rule. Either then, he had not considered it, or he had assented to it. But, in his position as Minister, he must have considered it. Therefore the proper conclusion is, that he had assented to it. And yet, though I was Secretary for Ireland, with Lord Spencer as Viceroy, when he was Prime Minister, to neither of us did he convey the smallest idea of such assent."

Telling as this statement evidently was, it abounds in leakages. In the first place, I deny that it is the duty of every Minister to make known, even to his collea-

it abounds in leakages. In the first place, I deny that it is the duty of every Minister to make known, even to his colleagues, every idea which has formed itself in his mind. I should even say that the contradictory proposition would be absurd. So far as my experience of Government has gone, subjects ripe for action supply a Minister with abundant material for companying the work of the supply and the supplemental to the munication with his colleagues, and to make a rule of mixing with them matters

munication with ms consequence, and to make good my title to a new point of departure. On the present occasion, I have no such change to vindicate; but only to point out the mode in which my language and conduct. governed by uniformity of principle, have simply followed the several stages, by which the great question of autonomy for Ireland has been brought to a state of ripeness for practical legislation.

It is a satisfaction to me that, in confuting imputations upon myself, I shall not be obliged to cast imputations on any individual opponent.

The subject of a domestic Government for Ireland, without any distinct specification of tits form, has been presented to us from time to time within the last fifteen. by its promoters, as to prove that it was a safe demand. It may and should be safe demand. It may and should be known to many who are or have been my colleagues, that I made some abortive efforts towards increasing Irish influence over Irish affairs, beyond the mere extension of County G-vernment, but not in a shape to which the term Home Rule could be properly applied. Nor have I been able to trace a single imputation upon me, whether of omission or commission, in respect of which I should not, by acting according to the orders of my censors, have offended against all or some of the rules, which I have pointed out as the guides of my conduct, and by which I seek to stand or fall.

As these disputes of ours, trivial enough

As these disputes of ours, trivial enough from one point of view, are in a certain sense making history, it may be well if, in connection with the thread of these observations, I recall, by means of a very brief vations, I recall, by means of a very brief outline, some particulars relating to the Government of Ireland, and to the demand for a domestic legislature, during the last half century. For that demand, constant in the hearts of Irishmen, has nevertheless been intermittent in its manifestation; sometimes wider, sometimes, as in the fearing part wide by increasing the last half century. For that demand, constant in the hearts of Irishmen, has nevertheless been intermittent in its manifestation; sometimes wider, sometimes, as in the fearing part wide by increasing the constant in the demand was made. But never that the I did not close the door narrower in its form; sometimes, as in the famine, put aside by imperative neces-sity; sometimes yielding the ground to partial and lawless action; sometimes ex-changed for attempts at practical legislation, which for the moment threw it into

The great controversy of Free Trade, the reformation of the Tariff, and the care of finance, provided me, in common with many others, nay, in the main provided the Three Kingdoms, with a serious and usually adsorbing political occupation for a quarter of a century, from the time when the Government of Sir R. Peel was formed in 1841. When that period had passed and when the question of the franchise had been dealt with, the general

condition of Ireland became the main subject of my anxiety.

The question of a home-government for Ireland was at that time in abeyance. for Ireland was at that time in abeyance. The grant of such a government to that eountry had only been known to us, in the past, either as the demand for a repeal of the Legislative Union, or in the still more formidable shape, which it presented when the policy of O'Connell was superseded by the men of action, and when the too just discontent of Ireland assumed the violent and extravagant form of Fenianism. The movement for Repeal appeared to merge into this dangerous conspiracy. to merge into this dangerous conspiracy, which it was obvious could only be met

by measures of repression. In none of these controversies had I n none of these controversies had 1 personally taken any direct share, beyond following the statesmen of 1834 and of 1844 by my vote against Repeal of the Union. Mournfully as I am struck, in retrospect, by the most absolute failure of Parliament, at and long after those periods, to perform its duties to Ireland, periods, to perform its duties to Ireland, I see no reason to repent of any such vote. Unspeakably oriminal, I own, were the means by which the Union was brought about, and utterly insufficient were the reasons for its adoption; still it was a measure vast in itself and in its consequential arrangements, and it could not be made the subject of experiment from year to year, or from Parliament to Parliament. There was then a yet stronger reason for declining to impart a shock to

stumble and almost writhe under its constantly accumulating burdens, or to pronounce that it would eventually prove incapable of meeting the wants of Ireland. Evidently there was a period when Irish patriotism, as represented by O'Connell, looked favorably upon this alternative policy, had no fixed conclusion as to the absolute necessity for Home Government, and seemed to allow that measures founded in "justice to Ireland" might possibly suffice to meet the necessity of the case. But the efforts made in this direction, down to the time of the famine, were, though honest and useful, only partial; and they unhappily had been met by an obstinacy of resistance, which entailed long delays, and frequent mutilations; and which in all cases deprived them of their gracious aspect and made even our remedial plans all cases deprived them of their glacious aspect and made even our remedial plans play the part of corroborative witnesses to an evil state of things.

It will be admitted that the Government of 1868-74 endeavored on a more

adequate scale, principally by what is still called in some quarters sacrilege and concalled in some quarters sacrilege and confiscation, to grapple with an inveterate difficulty. Once more, in acknowledgement of these efforts, the National Party fell into line. But, on the important question of Education, we were defeated in 1873, not by an English but by an Irish resistance. Other measures, to which I had looked with interest, could not be brought to birth. But a happy effect had brought to birth. But a happy effect had been produced upon Irish feeling; and prosperity, both agricultural and general, prosperity, both agricultural and general, singularly, it might be said unduly, favored for some years the operation of the Land Act of 1870. We had taken seriously to the removal of grievance, as the alternative policy to Repeal of the Union. So much had been achieved, with the zealous support of the electorate of England and Scotland, that it was our relaid that to carry through that religion to

At this time it was, that the new formula of Home Rule came forward as matter for discussion, not in Parliament, but in Ireland; before the Irish public, and under the auspices of Mr. Isaac Butt, who was at that time simply an individual of remarkable ability, not yet the representative or leader of a Nationalist party far less of a Nationalist majority. There were, at the time, no inconsiderable presumptions that Parliament could meet the wants of Ireland, from the conspicuous acts it had just accomplished. It was very well known that in some cases where those wants had not been adequately met, such as the case of the Borough Franchise in 1868, it was really due to the defective expression of them by Irish Members of Parliament. It was plain that there was no authoritative voice from Irish Members of Parliament, It was plain that there was no authoritative voice from Ireland, such as was absolutely required to justify a Prime Minister of this country in using any language which could be quoted as an encouragement to the move-ment on behalf of a domestic Legi-lature. Accordingly, I contended at Aberdeen in the summer of 1871, that no case had been established to prove the incompetence of against a recognition of the question in a different state of things. I differed as widely as possible, even at that time, from those with whom I have been in conflict during the present year. For instead of denouncing the idea of Home Rule as one in its assence destructive of the unity of in its essence destructive of the unity of the Empire, in the following words I

"Let me do the promoters of this move ment the fullest justice. Always speak ing under the conviction, as they most emphatically declare, and as I fully believe them, that the union of these kingdoms under Her Majesty is to be maintained, but that Parliament is to be broken up."

accepted the assurance given to the con-

Thus, at the very first inception of the question, I threw aside the main doctrine on which opposition to Irish autonomy is founded. This was the first step, and I think a considerable step, towards placing

the controversy on its true basis.

In the General Election of 1874, a great progress became visible. Mr. Butt was returned to Parliament as the chief of a returned to Parliament as the chief of a party, formed on behalf of Irish self government. It was a considerable party, amounting, as is said, to a small nominal majority, yet rather conventionally agreed on a formula than united by any idea worked into practical form. But a new stage had been reached, and I thus service at the service of the Sessier to

referred at the opening of the Session to the proposal of the Irish leader. "That plan is this—that exclusively Irish affairs are to be judged in Ireland, and that then the Irish members are to come to the Imperial Parliament and to come to the Imperial Parliament and to judge as they may think fit of the general affairs of the Empire, and also of affairs exclusively English and Scotch. (Mr. Butt; No, no.) It is all very well for gentlemen to cry "No" when the blot has been hit by the honourable gentleman oppositie." (Mr. Newdegate).

hit by the honourable generalite" (Mr. Newdegate).

"I cannot quit this subject without recording the satisfaction with which I heard one declaration made by the right honourable gentleman who seconded the amendment (Mr. Brooks). My honourable and learned friend said, that Ireland

with ours.

After the death of Mr. Butt, Mr. Shaw became the leader of this party, and in 1874 delivered an exposition of his views in a spirit so frank and loyal to the Constitution, that I felt it my duty at once to meet such an utterance in a friendly manner. I could not indeed, consistently with the conditions I have laid down,

with the conditions I have laid down, make his opinion my own. But I extract a portion of my reference to his speech, as it is reported.

"I must say that the spirit of thorough manliness in which he approaches this question, and which he unites with a spirit of thorough kindliness to us, and with an evident disposition to respect both the functions of this House, and the spirit of English Constitution, does give spirit of English Constitution, does give hope that if the relations between England and Ireland are to become thoroughly satisfactory, the most important contribution to that essential end will have been made by my hon. friend, and those who speak like him."

speak like him."

In a speech at the Guildhall, on receiving an address, I reverted to the subject of Home Rule. This was the period (October, 1881) when I deemed it my duty more than once to denounce in strong terms the movement against rent in Ireland, and with it the extravagant claims which seemed to me to be made in the name of National Independence. Yet I then spoke as follows:

In we best efforts to impress the public mind, and with the jumportance, and the probable urgency, of the question. And lastly, to lay down the principle on which it should be dealt with. These rules of action applied to the circumstances of the hour those governing principles which I have above enumerated. I proceeded on them as follows:

It was impossible for me, while ignor-

land; it is not even on any point connected with what is popularly known in that country as Home Rule, and which may be understood in any one of a hundred senses, some of them perfectly acceptable, and even desirable, others of them mischievous and revolutionary—it is not upon any of those points that we are at present at issue. With regard to local government in Ireland, after what I have said of local

in Ireland, after what I have said of local government in general, and its immeasurable benefits . . . you will not be surprised if I say that I for one will hail with satisfaction and delight any measure of local government for Ireland, or for any portion of the country, provided only that it conform to this one condition, that it shall not break down or impair the supremacy of the Imperial Parliament."

Once more I entered on the subject, in the House of Commons, on February 9, 1882. I referred to the party, led then as now by Mr. Parnell. The citation is from Hansard:

"Neither they, nor so far as I know Mr.

"Neither they, nor so far as I know Mr. Butt before them, nor so far as I know Mr. O'Connell before him, ever distinctly explained, in an intelligible and practicable form, the manner in which the real knot of this question was to be untied. The principle upon which the hon. members propose to proceed is this—that purely Irish matters should be dealt with by a purely Irish authority, and that purely Imperial matters should be dealt with by an Imperial Chamber in which Ireland is to be represented. But they have not told us by what authority it is to be determined what matters, when taken one by one, are Irish, and what matters are Imperial. Until, Sir, they lay before this House a plan in which they go to the very bottom of that subject, and give us to understand in what matter that division of jurisdiction is to be accomgive us to understand in what matter that division of jurisdiction is to be accomplished, the practical consideration of this subject cannot really be arrived at, and, for my own part, I know not how any effective judgment upon it can be pro-nounced. Whatever may be the outcome of the hon. member's proposal, of this I am well convinced, that neither this am well convinced, that neither this House of Commons, nor any other that may succeed it, will at any time assent to any measure by which the one paramount Central Authority, necessary for holding together in perfect union and compactness this great Empire, can possibly be either in the greatest or the slightest degree impaired. We are not to depart from that principle: and what I put to degree impaired. We are not to depart from that principle; and what I put to the honorable gentleman who has just sat down, and to the hon, member who pre-ceeded him is this—that their first duty to s and their first duty to themselves, their first obligation in the prosecution of the purpose which they have in view— namely, the purpose of securing the manegement of purely Irish affairs by Irish hands—is to point out to us by what authority, and by what instrument, affairs purely Irish are to be divided and distinguished, in order that they may be appropriately and sep-arately dealt with from those Imperia affairs and interests which they have frankly admitted must remain in the

frankly admitted must remain in the hands of the Imperial Parliament.

Mr. Plunkett hereupon stated that he had taken down my words, and that he could only understand them as an invitation to Irish members to re-open the question of Home Rule. Nor did he see how I could after using such words resist a motion for a Committee on the subject. To any and every plan for referring such a subject to a committee of Parliament have at all times been opposed. But Mr. Plunkett's meaning was evident, nor could I dispute the substance of his inter-

could I dispute the substance of his interpretation.

I will not weary my reader by adding to citations by which his patience has already been so severely tried. But I ask him to remember that down to this time no safe guarding definition of Home Rule had been supplied, and no demand, in the constitutional sense, had been made by the Irish nation. I beg him then, after he has read the foregoing declarations, to place himself for a single moment in my rosition, as one who thought conditions Rule had been supplied, and no demand, in the constitutional sense, had been made by the Irish nation. I beg him then, after he has read the foregoing declarations, to place himself for a single moment in my position, as one who thought conditions to be indispensible, but also thought that the question might under conditions be entertained, and then to ask himself whether it was possible more carefully to indicate in outline the limits within which the subject of Irish self-government might, and beyond which it might not, legitimately be considered, and whether it

was there a Tory Government in office, but one which owed much to Mr. Parnell, was there a lory Government in once, but one which owed much to Mr. Parnell, and which was supposed to have given him, through its Lord Lieutenant or otherwise, assurances respecting Irish Government, which he had deemed more or less satisfactory. Under these circumstances, I conceived that my duty was clear, and that it was summed up in certain particulars. They were these. To do nothing to hinder the prosecution of the question by the Tory Government if it should continue in office (of course without prejudice to my making all the efforts in my power to procure a Liberal majority). Entirely to avoid any language which would place the question in the category of party measures. But to use my best efforts to impress the public mind, and especially the Liberal mind, with the supreme importance, and the probable

then spoke as follows:

"It was impossible for me, while ignorant of the nature and limits of the Irish the exercise of local government in Ire demand, to give an opinion upon it; and the important measures, which in my Address I had set out as ripe for action. And the subject is one "which goes down to the very roots and foundations of our whole

what cost? For nine-and-twenty ye what cost? For nine-and twenty years the question was trifled with on one side the Channel, and left festering on the other, and emancipation was at last ac-cepted as an alternative to civil war. Such is not the manner in which I desire to see the business of the Empire carried on. It was not pondering the case; it was paltering with the public interests. I do not deny that promptitude is disagreeable in politics, as it often is to a doctor's or a surgeon's patient. But if the practitioner sees that, by every day's delay, the malady takes hold and the chances of health or life are dwindling away, it is his duty to

press the operation or the drug, and the sufferer will in due time be grateful to him for the courage and fidelity, which at first he mistakenly condemned. I have endeavoured to point out the conditions, under which alone the question of a statutory Parliament for Ireland could be warrantably entertained. The real test may be stated in one word: the ripeness or unripeness of the question ripeness or unripeness of the question.
All men do not perceive, all men do not
appreciate, ripeness, with the same degree
of readiness or aptitude; and the slow
must aver suffer inconvenience. must ever suffer inconvenience in the race of life. But, when the subject once was ripe, the time for action had come.

Just as if it had been a corn field, we were Just as if it had been a corn lief, we well as the healing of inveterate sores would only become more difficult, the growth of budding hopes more liable to be checked and ding hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing of inveterate sores would only become more difficult, the growth of budding hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing of inveterate sores would only become more difficult, the growth of budding hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing of inveterate sores would only become more difficult, the growth of budding hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as the healing hopes more liable to be checked and light as t paralysed by the frosts of politics. For England, in her soft arm-chair, a leisurely, England, in her soft arm: chair, a lessurely, very leisurely consideration, with adjournments interposed, as it had been usual, so also would have been comfortable. But for Ireland, in her leaky cabin, it was of consequence to stop out the weather. To miss the opportunity would have been not less clearly wrong, than to refuse waiting until it came. The first political juncture which made action permissible, also made it obligatory.

So much, then, for precipitancy. consequence to stop out the weather. To

THE IRISH QUESTION
Right Hon, Mr. Gladstone's
Glorious Plea.

fined within the fair and moderate bounds for affairs specifically Irish; of a statutory and subordinate Parliament. But in this incident lay the fulfilment of one of those conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated and almost writhe under its conditions which were in my view essentiated in any manner of autonomy; of an Irish legislature, only policy had not been tried in any manner of no necessary connection with separation; and took my objection simply to a proposal that Irishmen should deal exclusively with their own affairs, and also, jointly, with their own affairs, and also, jointly, whose in my view essentiated in any manner of autonomy; of an Irish legislature, only in the category of themselves to their no necessary connection with separation; and took my objection simply to a proposal that Irishmen should deal exclusively with their own affairs, and also, jointly, with their own affairs, and also, jointly, the proposal that Irishmen should deal exclusively with their own affairs, and also, jointly, the proposal that Irishmen should deal exclusively with their own affairs, and also, jointly, the proposal that Irishmen should deal exclusively with their I next pass to the period preceding the election of 1885. It had now become morally certain that Ireland would, through a vast majority of her representatives, present a demand in the National sense. But no light has been thrown, to my knowledge, upon the question what that demand would be. Further, not only was there a Tory Gayarment in office. platform for dancing afforded much recrea-tion and delight not only to the graceful maidens and active young men who could dance, but also much pleasure to those who could only listen to the lively strains and look on at the dancers. There were, finally, races for men and boys, and horse racing for which prizes were awarded. All will recall with pleasure this penic especi-ally those who are interested in the build-ing of the Catholic church at Billings. ing of the Catholic church at Billings Bridge.—Ottawa Free Press, Sept 4.

THE PILGRIMAGE TO ST. ANNE DE

We have been favored with the following account of the pilgrimage to St. Anne de Beaupre by one who was there: As previously announced, the pilgrimage to St. Anne de Beaupre under the direction of the Rev. J. A. Sloan, Ottawa, took place on the 25th, 26th, and 27th of August. On Monday a contingent from the vacariate of Pontiac went down to August. On Monday a contingent from the vacariate of Pontiac went down to Ottawa to join those of that city, num-bering in all about 900 persons. The train, consisting of 23 carriages, left the Canada Atlantic station at 10 a. m., and after Alexandria was reached about 60 more were added to the number of the passengers. The pilgrims arrived at Montreal at 5 p. m., whence they were conducted to Quebec by the beautiful steamer 'Canada,' Here two boats had been chartered for the occasion to convey ant of the nature and limits of the Irish demand, to give an opinion upon it; and even had it been possible, it would have been in conflict with the condition which I bave numbered (p. 5) as the fifth. But, to give emphasis to the importance of the question, I severed it in my Address from the general subject of Local Government for the three kingdoms. Ireland had arrived, I said, at an important epoch in her history; she had claims to a special interpretation of the principles of Local Government. It would be the solution of a problem, testing the political genius of Government. It would be the solution of these nations. Woe be to the man who should prevent or retard the consummation. It would probably throw into the shade all the important measures, which in my Adport before the statue of St. Anne and returned to their respective places without very roots and foundations of our whole civil and political constitution." And yet it has been said, strangely enough, that I gave no indication to my friends, except of Local Government in the sense of County Government for Ireland.

Lastly I laid down, over and over again, the principle on which we ought to protect the principle of the prin of Local Government in the sense of County Government for Ireland.

Lastly I laid down, over and over again, the principle on which we ought to proceed. It was to give to Ireland everything which was compatible with "the Supremacy of the Crown, the Unity of the Empire, and all the authority of Parliament necessary for the conservation of that Unity."

All the way bear fested their having felt much stronger. Another young lady, Miss Clark of Quyon, suffering from deafness declared on returning that she could hear much better. I am happy to say that our local young friend, Thomas McGuire of Calumet Island, has also somewhat recovered his sight. He feels convinced that after another visit to the venerated sanctuary of the Unity." It appears to me that the whole of the provisions of the Irish Government Bill, lately buried, but perhaps not altogether dead, lies well within these lines, and that only case thus far is complete.

Ste. Anne be will be entirely cured. The pilgrims left Ste. Anne's about 2 p. m. on Wednesday and arrived in Ottawa at 7 o'clock Thursday evening. Rev. Father Sloan is to be highly congratulated on dead, lies well within these lines, and that my case thus far is complete.

What I have in these pages urged has been a defence against a charge of reticence. On the charge of precipitancy I need not bestow many words. What a hatagonists call precipitancy, I call promptitude. Had Mr. Pitt in 1801 carried titude. Had Mr. Pitt in 1801 carried Roman Catholic Emancipation, as we suppose he wished, many an Euglishman would have thought him precipitate. Roman Catholic Emancipation, as well, Precipitancy indeed was avoided, but at Precipitancy indeed was avoided, but at Precipitancy indeed was avoided, but at the suppose he wished in the suppose he wished in the suppose he wished, many an Euglishman would have thought him precipitate. all present. The pilgrimage was in every respect a source of piety and I might add one of pleasure also, so that all who attended it are highly pleased, and eagerly look out for another occasion on which they may wish the found and was and

HOME RULE.

they may visit the famed and venerated shrine of Ste. Anne de Beaupre.—Pontiac

Equity Sept. 2nd.

MR. T. COFFEY,-DEAR SIR-Please insert in your next issue of the Carnolic Record the following list of contributions towards the Irish Parliamentary Fund from Kinkora :

Rev J O'Neil ... \$10 Bern Finnegan .. \$2 Patrick Collins ... 6 James Madder ... Cor Crowley .. Will Haragan. 5 Dan Coushlin. Fim Coughlin....
John Coughlin... Jer Crowley..... Ed Brown..... James Murray .. Robert Fletcher .. Bath Cassidy 5 Will Dempsy... 4 Andrew Kuhry... 3 J McGuinness... Tim Connoll . . Robert Brown John Fletcher. .. 2 James Robb..... 1 2 L McGuinness... 75c William Gaut ... Hugh Sweeney.

2 Total \$103.75 I remain, yours truly, DAVID HARAGAN.

OBITUARY. The funeral of the late Mrs. D. Coughlin, mother of Timothy Coughin, E q, M. P. for North Middlesex, took place on Monday, the 30th ult., from Mount Carmel Church. There was present a vast concourse of friends and acquaintances