Thorning Leader 12/21

5779

cause we sent a lot of stuff to England during the war, and there was a balance to our account, and we learned there was something like three million to our credit in July,

"Here is the point: not one word was said by the Minister of Militia in Parliament about there being a credit of that kind. That credit is the same as money; it represents the taxes of the people that was loaned during the war.

"We have been told there was 2,300 tons of these explosives for artiflery alone. An expert of the department gives the figure that it represents 4,000 tons, or a value of six million. "Do you think any government in this country would allow such expenditures during the time of an election unless the ammunition had something to do with the election itself? When you look at certain posters you begin to wonder where the funds are coming from.

The "Tariff"

"Let me now discuss the tariff for a few moments. Mr. Meighen has said that in this election the question is protection or free trade. Just by the way, you have seen the posters of Mr. Meighen leading Miss Canada out of the rocks. Mr. Crerar had a little to say about it last night. He pointed out that Mr. Meighen is saving Miss Canada and that he is taking Miss Canada and that he is tak-ing her to a rocky and barren shore.

ing her to a rocky and barren snore. He says if you give him the work of government he will lead Miss Canada to the land of promise.

"I think I can go one better. This country does not want to be taken to the rocks and we have had enough of promise. If you endorse the Liberal party, I promise you that I will take Miss Canada to the land of hearts' desire. What Canada wants today is happy and contented homes, and if she will entrust herself to the Liberal party, she will get a home of contentment and happiness, which is what this nation peaks. is what this nation needs.

No Free Trade

"There is no man in Canada advocating free trade, and Mr. Meighen insults Canada when he says that the Liberal party is standing for free trade one way or the other. I chal-lenge any man to take any utterance of mine and see in it where I have variation of my stand on the tariff. Do you think that if I had made any variation that the Tory party would be wasting bill-board advertising on pictures of Mr. Meighen leading Miss Canada to the rocks? They would have taken my

variation and posted in on every bill-board in the country.
"I want to say here and now that the Liberal party does not stand for tree trade, just as I have said it in Ontario and elsewhere.

Tariff for Revenue
"We stand for a tariff for revenue.
The Liberal Party realizes that we have to have a tariff today unless we

are prepared to go in for direct taxation to an amount of 560 millions, which I do not think any will advocate. If we are returned to power we propose to raise some of the revenue of this country by means of a tariff, but our tariff will be one for revenue, not one to protect special interests and give special privileges to those who are associated together in monopolies, combines, mergers and the like

in monopolies, combines, mergers and the like.

"The Liberal Party stands for a revision of the tariff downwards. Mr. Meighen has stated there should be a revision. In the speech from the throne last year the Governor-General was made to say: My advisors are convinced of the necessity for revision of the customs tariff. In revision of the customs tariff. In order to secure the most complete information a committee has secured, after a thorough inquiry, the views of all parties in every province. The conclusions arrived at will be submitted in due course. That was about as strong a statement as could be made—that the tariff would be revised.

revised.

Reason for Inaction

"Why was it not revised? Because it had to be revised one way or the other. If it went up Mr. Meighen would get no support from the people. If it went down he would get no support from the special interests he has been protecting.

"Think of the disclosures that have been made! The Riordon Paper Company was declaring a dividend of 10 per cent, and it's said its manager was getting a salary of between \$50,000 and \$75,000 a year, and it is further said that the company was putting its money into pany was putting its money into large properties in the United States, and was paying taxes to the United

"So far as Canada was concerned. what did the Government do? Instead of requiring the company to pay its taxes, it accepted its prom-isory notes for nearly a million dol-lars, and now the company, having

lars, and now the company, having gone to extremes in its management, seeking to create a monopoly, has suddenly come to the point of bankruptcy, with the result that the Government has these promisory notes accepted for taxes.

"And this is only one of the Big Interests behind the Government. The point is, are we going to protect these special interests and, allow them to make profits anywhere from 100 to 500 per cent. while the people suffer? Or, are we going to have these things done in such a way that the interests of the consumers and producers of this country will be producers of this country will be protected?

Develop Resources "The Liberal party believes the only way we can meet the burdens thrust upon us is to develop the natural resources of our country. Labor is necessary to give us the full benefit of these resources and labor has to have the tools and implementates capital—wherewith to develop these resources. I ask the manufacturer, would he like to have his capital taxed? Therefore, the capital of labor must not be taxed. Tax as lightly as possible the implements of production in our resources, but in addition, our people require certain only way we can meet the burdens addition, our people require certain necessaries, and therefore, taxation should bear as lightly as possible on these necessities of life.

"That is the Liberal policy, and it is one, I submit, that is in the in-terests of both Eastern and Western

Views on Wheat Marketing. "Just a word or two in regard to the grain marketing question, a sub-ject of considerable interest to the people of the West. I have been asked what I will do in regard to this particular phase of our activities. I

find that in the West different views are held among men with expert knowledge. Mr. Partridge, for instance, who has given a great deal of attention to this subject takes the y'ew that there should be a compul-sory wheat board as in 1919. Mr. Crerar, on the other hand, took the view in Parliament, that it should not be a permanent thing; that there should he a voluntaries should be a voluntary system with possibly state ald, as was found necessary. In Saskatchewan you had an investigation and the report by Messrs. Stewart and Riddell—a very valuable report.

"So far as the Liberal party is concerned I do not see how we can do anything that will be of service to this year's marketing, because Mr. Meighen will want to hang on to office as long as he can after his defeat and it will take a few days for the next administration to shape into shape. The problem, therefore, will shape. The problem, therefore, will not come to us until there has been sufficient time to have these different views studied. I would seek, in the event of the Liberal party being re-turned, to have such an investigation and study made in the effort to place on our statute books a measure that will best serve the interests of Western Canada.

ern Canada.

I have spoken about the need for revenue. I have here the platform of the Liberal party. I have also the platform of the National Political Party, also the platform of the Labor Party, and the platform of the Grand Army of United Veterans, and also the women's platform. Take these five platforms and you will find that in regard to the important matters in our country all practically agree and it is in only smaller matters that they differ. they differ.

Against Progress.

Then I have another platform, that of the National Liberal Conservaof the National Liberal Conservatives, which indicates the things that Mr. Meighen and his followers stand for. You have in it a united force against which you have the forces of progress that represent the will of the people divided instead of united. And there is one reason why I differ with the Progressive party at the present time. I have always hoped that the Progressives and Liberals who have so many things in common, would unite together to make these things prevail. Dr. Clark has made it clear and has shown that he had great faith in the Progressive movement as advanced Liberalism, but he has found that it was not the principle for which they stood, but the pewer found that it was not the principle for which they stood, but the power and control.

W.L. Mackenzie King Papers Volume D 10