2 274

Beneficence Among the Baptists of the Maritime Provinces for the Past Twenty Years viz, 1882-1901, as Reported in the Year-Book and

in the N. B. Annual. H. R. HATCH.

The object of this article is to answer two questions I. Is our beneficence increasing ?

2. Is it now what it ought to be ?

I. As to the first.

Of course we recognize the fact that the reports in the Year-Books are far from complete ; and also that much of our beneficence is of a character which renders report impossible. Yet on the other band our gifts to the great enterprises carried on by our denomination, as reported by the treasurers of our denominational funds, ought to be a fair index of the benevolent spirit of our churches. For obvious reasons the figures in the following tables do not include the offerings to the "Centennial Fund," to the "Indian Famine Fund," and to the "Acadia For-ward Movement Fund," nor the recent gifts of Deacon J. W. Barss of \$7000, nor interest on legacies. They do, however, include the amounts collected by the W. M. A. Societies, for surely the money raised by these societies is a part of the regular beneficence of our churches. It may be that in some churches the woman society is doing the larger part of the work of raising the denominational funds; or possibly in some cases the Woman Society is doing the whole work. If that is true in any church, it certainly is not as it should be. Let us p along every line; W. M. A. S., Sunday-school, and church in general; and let us pull together, to give our great denominational enterprises their proper support that God's Kingdom may come in the earth and his will be done.

> TABLE NO I. Membership.

1	lor	for	for	for	rear v.
5- year Groups.	Yearly Average	Yearly Average N. B.	Y arly P. E. I.	Yearl Average Mar. Prov.	Gain Between the Ye Groups, Mar. Prov
1882-'86	24869	13540	1680	400.89	
1887.'91	26242	15069	1799	43110	7.5 p. c.
1892 '96	27219	16760	1981	45960	6.6 pa c.
1897-'01	29569	18988	2321	50878	* 10 7 p.c.

This table shows that our denomination has made steady numerical progress between the 5-year groups, the largest gain being between the last two groups The gain of 10 7 per cent. certainly marks a very high degree of prosperity in our churches. Let us now consid cond table which will show us our denominational offarings for the corresponding years.

TABLE NO. 2

Benevolent Funds. 1882 1901.

(These figures include the offerings for denominational purposes given through the N B. Convention as reported in the Annual. No treasurer's report is given in the Annual for 1900, and that for 1901 I have not seen.)

5-yeår Groups.	fearly Average for N. S.	fearly Average for N. B.	Yearly Average for P. E. I.	j (early Average for Mar. Pro.	Gain per cent. be- tween Year-Groups.
1882 '86	\$10308 45	\$5665 00	1	\$16841 80	
1887-'91	13936 95	5877.17	.057 86	.2:871.98	24 p. c.
1892-'96	16609 04	6813 37	1162 17	24584 58	18 p. c.
1896-'01	16986.99	8311 03	1260 97	26558.99	.8 p. c.

This table shows an increase from group to group, al-

MESSENGER AND VISITOR.

though the rate of increase steadily diminishes. In order to know if this gain in the lump sum represents an actual gain in comparison with the large gain in mem-bers, we need a third table to show us the average gift us the average gift per member for the same years.

TABLE NO. 3 Benevolent Funds. Yearly gift per member. 1882-1001.

N. B. These figures denote cents unless otherwise

marked.

5-Year Groups	Average Mar. Pro.	Gain between Groups.	Gain per cent.	Loss between Groups.	Loss per c.at.
1882-'86	42				
1887-'91	49	7	16 p. c.		
1892.'96	. 54	5	10 p. c.		-
1897-'01	52		-	2	37p.c.

This table shows that for the 'first three groups we nade a somewhat steady progress; but the last group (1897-'01) reveals a falling off of two cents per mem as compared with the previous five years. This has occurred, too, when there has been a large gain (10 7 per cent) in membership. But two cents per mem does not represent the actual falling off for the past five years. As the table shows, up to 1897 (or if we had the mounts for the several years, up to 1898) there was a steady increase; we might say a normal increase-not as aready increase; we might say a normal increase—not as large as it ought to have been perhaps, yet an increase. Other things being equal there, would have been, we be-lieve, a corresponding increase for the last five years. Such an increase would have meant an average of 60 cents per member instead of 52 cents. It seems fair to say, therefore, that we have suffered a real loss of about eight cents per member, or between 12 and 15 per cent. instead of 3.7 per cent. How are we to account for this falling off in the past

five years? There are three possible explanations. (i) We are poorer in material wealth than formerly. I can not believe that this is true for the Provinces. It certainly is not true for Kings Co., N. S. (2) Our members have not been giving as generously during these years as in former years. But we remember "the Indian Famine Fund" and "the Acadia Forward Movement Fund," and we see at once that this explanation is not the correct (3) Our gifts-in part-have been going in othe directions. During these years the chief other direction has been "the Acadia Forward Movement." The The tion has been the Acadia Forward movement. The treasurer of the college reports that \$48438 of have been collected for this fund in the years 1898-or. This represents an average of \$0.24 per member for each year. If we add this to the regular benevolence we have an average of \$0.76 per member. Now we do not mean to infer that, had there been no "Forward Movement," our denominational funds would have been \$0.76 per mem-ber. But we must conclude that, had there been no "Forward Movement," there would have been a fair in-Totward movement, there would have been a fair in-increase in our denominational funds, as we have siready indicated; and that our offerings to the cellege fund have cut into our regular offerings between 10 and 15 per cent. We are in fullest sympathy with "The Acadia Forward Movement." But we think that as a people we need to consider seriously whether this is not the real explanation of the decrease in our regular denominational offenders of the decrease in our regular denominational offerings, and accordingly we need to see to it that this result shall and accordingly we need to see to it that this result shall not be repeated in connection with "The Twentleth Cen-tury Fund for Missions." For to keep up this decrease for the next five years would seriously hamper our mis-sionary enterprises. The "Twentleth Century Fund" is asked for over and above the regular offerings. I pre-sume that the fund for the college was supposedly pledg-ed in the same way. But nature is nature, and if in or-der to meet one's pledge in one direction he must curtafy his expenses in other directions; the gifts to the church and denomination—speaking generally—are the first to suffer. We desire, however, to say this in commendation of our people, the rate of loss has been comparatively small.

The figures for 1897-'or furnish an interesting study. We give them in a fourth table.

TABLE NO 4

(We bring "Acadia" into our calculations in this table because its large and increasing deficit is seriously ham-pering its work.)

With A.B. Offerin per Mamha ž dla W. 1897 50424 \$27894 10 \$0.55 \$19354.72 \$ 2002.28 \$644.24 1808 24476 52 0.48 15782.80 1833.35 3004 05 50551 50846 24484.70 0.48 16072 92 1896 63 1087.03 29432 45 0 57 21269.10 1894 07 1313 69 51750 1901 50821 26507.27 0.52 16748 68 2083.79 2144 19

1899

1900

Total

Several things appear from this table : (1). The falling off began with the first year collection of "Acadia Forward Movement Fund." This tends to confirm what we have already said in explanation of the decrease in our denominational gifts. In two years only was the average per member equal to that for the years 1892-'96

132795 04 0 52 89228 22

(2). The large amount reported for 1900 was due to the special work of Mr. Vining who visited our churches dur-ing the previous convention year. N. W. Missions rewed \$4357.05 (not including Mr. Barss's \$1000) against \$942.95 in 1899; and \$1221.76 in 1991. This means that N. W. Missions received about \$3000 more from our churches in that year than in ordinary years.

(3). Acadia has been having a yearly deficit which, ccording to the treasurer's report for last year, amounts in the aggregate to a little more than \$10,000 From the above table we see that Acadia has received 10 8 per cent. of the total denominational funds (not including the offerings of the W. M. A. S.) and that the deficit amounts to 9.2 per cent. of the same. In other words if our deational offerings, apart from those of the W. M. A. Societies, had been given according to the convention scheme and Acadia had received the full 20 per cent ; instead of deficit for each year of the five, every dollar of deficit would have been paid.

These figures certainly furnish us with matter for serius reflection. Let us take them to heart, brethren ! 4 II. Is our beneficence now what it ought to be ?

ery man must answer for himself, and every church. Rach one of us knows whether he gave the average of \$0.55 last year or not, and each church can soon reckon up whether its offerings equaled the number of its members multiplied by \$0.52. Many of our churches go be-yond this sverage. Surely those that have fallen below it can bring their offerings up to the average.

Comparisons, I know, are sometimes odious, but they nay be profitable, nevertheless, and the following are given simply to stir our thought and ensage on the Let us see what others are doing in the way of benefit en simply to stir our thought and enlarge our vision. For the years 1897 and 1898 the Baptists of On tario and Quebec gave on an average per year \$1 47 per member. Last year the Baptists of manitoba and the North West gave \$1.69 to benevolent work, and that too while they were giving an average of \$12 oo per member for local work. For the four years 1898-1901 the Baptists The tack work. For the four years 1898-1901 the Baptists of Maine gave on an average per years \$117 per member. The Baptists of Mass. for 1899 (this is the only Year-Book that I have at hand) gave \$1.95 per member. But you say these bretheven are situated in different fuancial conditions from ours here in the Maritime Provinces. New good I Let us grant that for the sake of argument. It would still be a question whether the difference in their gifts as compared with ours does not represent more than this difference in fnancial conditions. For my part I believe that it does. But let us come nearer home and take the gifts of other denominations in these provinces and compare them with ours. Thave at hand the minutes of the N. S. Metho-dists for the years 1896-1907. For their missionary and educational purposes they have averaged per year \$1.10 per member. The Presbyteriaus of the Maritime Prov-mose during the past five years have had an average tional schemes of their denomination (not including the offerings to their "Twentieth Century Fund") they have average of \$66, 405 00 per year of \$1.63 per member.

given an average offering of \$66.405 oo per year or \$1.65 per member. Comment is nunecessary. Much one may draw bis own conclusions. Simply let me say this, —we evidently have much to learn in the art of giving and need to "abound in this grace also." Brethren, shall we not ponder these figures and in-ferences, talk them over with our churches, discuss them in our county conferences, pray concerning them, until our hearts are stirred with a larger benevolent spirit, and our gifts more mearly correspond to the blessings which Ged has bestowed upon us and to the needs of the work to which he has set our hands ? May God grant it 1 Wolfville, N. S.

APRIL 30. 1902.

9710.12 8193.20