

if you wish - certainly not through any constitutional forms. Now, all the things that they are doing there, these men and women that are fighting this subjugation and this terror, they are doing that against the government of the day. They could not find any improvement of their conditions through any democratic or parliamentary means; they are not there. There are no avenues of expression other than whatever way you can find to express your opposition and try to defend your interests as best you can.

BY MR. SLAGHT:

Q. You mean illegal as well as legal? A. Yes. I think, however, in a country where there is a democratic form of government and democratic forms of expression any recourse to force and violence is totally unjustified, and as far as I am concerned and as far as my colleagues I have been associated with on the Communist Party are concerned, we always condemn it and always will. Furthermore --

BY MR. MARTIN:

Q. You say you always condemn it. Have you any documentary evidence? A. Yes, we have declared in many documents - for instance, in the paper that was issued by the central committee of the Communist Party - I think it was called the Party Builder.

Q. Because I have some information of an opposite nature. A. Well, I think you will find in this paper as well as in the radio broadcast on the national hook-up made by Stuart Smith on the Radio Forum as well as a statement in the brief presented to the Royal Commission by Mr. Buck in which this question is dealt with there are categorical declarations to the effect that we were opposed to any attempt on the part of any group or any party

to impose its will on the majority of people; that any change of government may only come about through the