

This has the appearance of being an ancient forgery, as described by Codrington, page 100 N 21 : II.

- (M.2) Tanga, undated, Codrington, plate 111, and on page 100 : 22 (a) 1 specimen.
- (M.3) Tanga, undated, Codrington plate 112 & page 100: 22 (b); but counter-stamped on obverse V.G. 1 specimen.
- (M.4) Tanga, undated, Codrington page 103 ; I (1) 6 specimens.
- (M.5) Ditto, but counterstamped on obverse V.G. x 2 specimens
- (M.6) Ditto, but counterstamped on reverse V.G. w 2 specimens.
- (M.7) Ditto, but counterstamped on reverse V.O.C. 1 specimen.
- (M.8) Tanga, obverse crowned arms between A.M. Reverse, monogram between D.M. and date 1631. 2 specimens.
- (M.9) Ditto, but counterstamped on obverse V.G. q 1 specimen.
- (M.10) Ditto, but counterstamped on obverse V.O.C. 1 specimen.
- (M.11) Tanga, dated 1632, Codrington page 103 : 26 : I (1) 3 specimens.
- (M.12) Ditto, but counterstamped on reverse V.G. 1 specimen.
- (M.13) Same as M.11, but dated 1634, Codrington page 103 section 26: I (1). 1 specimen.
- (M.14) Obverse crowned arms between G.A. Reverse monogram and date 1635. 1 specimen.
- (M.15) Obverse crowned arms between M.A. Reverse monogram between D.M. and date 163(?) 1 specimen.
- (M.16) Same as M.9, but final figure of date illegible. 1 specimen.

Various, apparently mostly Malacca.

- (M.17) Ten specimens which require cleaning before identification.
- (M.18) Six ditto, but counterstamped with the V.G. mark.