

further directions and as to costs. The Chief Justice said that the order under which the sum of \$750 was paid into Court did not provide, and it was not the intention of the learned Judge who made the order that that sum should furnish, any criterion or standard by which the question of costs should be adjudged. The defendants were rightly in possession, the mortgagors being in default, and the defendants were entitled to their costs of action and reference, which, under all the circumstances, should be fixed at the sum of \$75. E. V. O'Sullivan, for the plaintiff. Gideon Grant, for the defendants.