"that he does. The Pope's formal decree, issued in 1704, "in the case of Bishop Gordon, leaves no room for doubt "thus far, and it was in accordance with this decree that "Newman and Manning, on their conversion to Rome, "were re-ordained as if they had been previously laymen. "If any one cares to ask the view taken by the Eastern "Church on this point, we have evidence about it from "the case of a Mr. Hatherley who joined that Church, in "which he now figures as an Archimandrite, and who "was re-ordained and re-baptised on admission as if he "had been a mere pagan, * * * . If our Roman "Catholic correspondents are in the right about it, it is "certain that Archbishop Parker was not ordained in the "Roman Catholic sense of the word, but beyond this we "shall not venture to go. But did Archbishop Parker "ever suppose that he was ordained in the Roman Cath-"olic sense of the word, and that he thereby received, "and could pass on to others the supernatural powers "which Cardinal Vaughan claims as attendant on ordina-"tion? Or can a Church which declares in its Articles "that the Sacrifices of Masses were blasphemous fables and "dangerous deceits, be thought to assert for its ministers the "sacrificial functions which it thus expressly repudiates? "The English Church, we must conclude, recognises no "such person as a priest in the sacerdotal sense, nor any "such part of the Church ministry as is involved in the "Sacrifice of the Mass. Hooker is very explicit on this "point. His words are :--Wherefore, to pass by the "name, let them use what dialect they will, whether we "call it a priesthood, a presbytership, or a ministry, it "skilleth not; although, in truth, the word presbyter "doth seem more fit, and in propriety of speech, more "agreeable than priest with the drift of the whole Gospel "of Jesus Christ. For what are they who embrace the "Gospel but sons of God? What are Churches but His "families? Seeing, therefore, we receive the adoption " and state of sons by their ministry whom God has chosen