

General Business. UNPRECEDENTED ATTRACTION! OVER A MILLION DISTRIBUTED. L.S.L. Louisiana State Lottery Company.

Miramichi Advance. CHATHAM N. B. - APRIL 25, 1889. Prohibition vs. License.

Rev. Neil McKay, who is leading the opposition in Northumberland against the repeal of the Scott Act, favors the readers of the ADVANCE with another letter on his favorite theme. He sets out on the present occasion rather fully by addressing himself to the removal of at least one "misapprehension," the existence of which we are quite sure no one but he had thought of even hinting at. There is, therefore, no particular point in his telling us what his "words honestly read" meant, unless someone had attempted to interpret them as hinting at the existence of a "ring" in the council at the time he addressed that body in 1877. We will not accuse the rev. gentleman of setting up this straw man for the purpose of intimating that someone has been guilty of reading him dishonestly, although we have known occupants of even a stronger position than he holds to resort to tricks of that kind for the purpose of inviting sympathy. So much for his first "misapprehension."

High License Justified. (Warner Miller, of New York, in the North American Review.)

The regulation and control of the liquor traffic is one of the most difficult problems with which modern governments have to deal. The uncontrolled or unregulated sale of intoxicating liquors is an evil of such magnitude that it cannot be safely permitted, and all civilized governments have laws controlling the traffic, more or less restrictive in their nature. These laws may be divided into two classes: one of which, under the form of license or taxation, describes rates and regulations under which intoxicants may be sold; the other, which is more or less prohibitory, restricts the sale of such liquors to certain persons or places, through the ordinary means of the law officers of the government, by its prohibiting attorneys in cities, through the police department, or by its prohibiting attorneys in the country, through the police department, or by its prohibiting attorneys in the country, through the police department.

The Scott Act vs. License Question. To the people of Northumberland: GENTLEMEN:—In the friendly criticism made by the editor upon my former letter there are two points in reference to which it is well to guard against misapprehension.

First, I did not intend to refer to the repeal of the Scott Act as a political party, but as a measure of public policy. Second, I did not intend to refer to the repeal of the Scott Act as a political party, but as a measure of public policy. The repeal of the Scott Act is a political party, but it is a measure of public policy. The repeal of the Scott Act is a political party, but it is a measure of public policy.

Salmon Nets in Non-Tidal Waters. In order that our readers may understand the proposed prohibition of salmon nets in non-tidal waters of the Dominion, we publish the following parliamentary discussion of the subject from Hansard.

Mr. Tupper moved third reading of Bill (No. 129) to amend the Fisheries Act. Mr. Weldon (St. John). Before that Bill is passed, I wish to call the attention of the Minister to the question whether this Act is constitutional or not. Since the Bill was before the House last, I had an opportunity of looking into the case of Delaney and McDonald, and I find the question was distinctly raised there as to whether the provision was not ultra vires. The only distinction which I make between section 6 of the old Act and this Bill is that the Provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick were then exempted, and are now included. The law as it stands at that time was: "The use of nets or other apparatus which capture salmon shall, except in the Provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, be confined to tidal waters."

Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria. Don't let that cold of your run on. You think it is a light thing. But it may run into catarrh. Or into pneumonia. Or consumption.

Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria. Don't let that cold of your run on. You think it is a light thing. But it may run into catarrh. Or into pneumonia. Or consumption. Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria. Don't let that cold of your run on. You think it is a light thing. But it may run into catarrh. Or into pneumonia. Or consumption.

IMPORTANT. M. A. DAUPHIN, New Orleans, La. or M. A. DAUPHIN, Washington, D. C.

BEST COUGH MEDICINE. For Consumption. It has permanently cured thousands of cases pronounced by doctors hopeless.

PERCHERON STALLION. NAPAN. BLACK RIVER. SA BA DU VIN. The following week crossing by Ferry at Chatham calling at...

TERMS OF SERVICE, \$30 FOR THE SEASON. R. FLANAGAN. Any further information will be given by the Govern.

CHILDREN CRY FOR PITCHER'S CASTORIA. Don't let that cold of your run on. You think it is a light thing. But it may run into catarrh. Or into pneumonia. Or consumption.

THE LEGISLATIVE REPORTING. During the legislative session just closed there has been some dissatisfaction expressed over the "official" reporting for the press, almost entirely from the quarter where there is really the least cause for it, viz. St. John.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. No greater evil can come to any community than to have its government, either local or State, fall under the control of any one class of its citizens, to the exclusion of all other classes.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. The men who now ask you to do this are not the men who you have to love for the liquor traffic. They do not want their desire to extend it. Not they. They would rather see it reduced.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. The men who now ask you to do this are not the men who you have to love for the liquor traffic. They do not want their desire to extend it.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.

THE SCOTT ACT vs. LICENSE QUESTION. But you are told it would be much better to have a few well equipped places than so many jug taverns. This plausible statement is simply a hollow conceit.