

Policy ideas, and told the jury that although Mr. Doll might have proven the plaintiff company guilty of fraud in his four out of five charges, that the jury, "according to the law they had sworn to carry out," *must* bring in a verdict against Doll, unless he had proven up to the hilt the fifth charge, and that "*four out of five*" was not sufficient.

That piece of Canadian or British justice is on a par with that already referred to, which, to worship, would be no sin. You accuse a man of stealing your hat, coat, vest, pants, and boots. You prove that he stole all but the boots on his own evidence; and because you did not prove he stole the boots, you must pay him for "*defamation of character*." So said Judge Rose to the jury; and they brought in a verdict—not for the \$5,000 asked "by that combination of bogus-watch-case fakirs," but for \$100 as directed by the "learned judge," because Doll had not proven them guilty of taking the boots; and many people marvel at his lordship's "great learning," which he has magnanimously condescended to exchange for \$6,000 per year of the people's ready cash; perhaps another illustration of "the learned pate ducking to the golden fool."

The learned Judge was evidently in "*love*" ("*love is blind*") with those National Policy abortions—the Mohawks, Eagles, Warranted 14-k, Perfection Coin, and Bogus Guarantees—for he generously gave the Company part of the costs, so that they may continue in the "good work" of manufacturing "*counterfeit*" watch-cases, etc.

The learned Judge, Doll remarked, is like unto the Company's guarantees wherewith they guarantee their so-called filled cases to "*be made to wear* for 20 years. To "*be made to wear*," said Doll, is no guarantee that they *will* wear. "Men were *made to be good, unbiassed, etc.*, but that is no guarantee that they *are*, or will be good, etc. Judges were *made to be fair, unbiassed, etc.*, but that is no guarantee that they will be fair, etc. The U. S. Company "*guarantee*" their cases to *wear for 20 years*. Judge Rose told the jury there was no difference between "*made to wear*" and "*guaranteed to wear*," and the Hon. Mr. Tupper has stated that Judge Rose's ability makes him eligible for the vacant seat on the Supreme Court bench.

Considering the Judge, the \$200,000 capital of the Company, the wire-pullers, and legal talent that was pitted against him, and the *twelve Tory jurymen* (on the former trial the jury consisted of only 5 Tories with 7 Grits, and 7 of the jury said Mr. Doll was justified in what he published, and *refused to give any damages*, but the 5 Tories wished to salt him, and by "some accident" the jury were all Tories this time). Bearing in mind the above and the fact that Mr. Doll was alone, a stranger and a Free Trader in