

if the former were not set at Liberty in Consequence of a *Request*.

You then continue to quote “ The Ambassador at *Paris* instead of demanding those Subjects of his Master, as Men unjustly held in Prison, and Reparation for the Wrongs they had received, was ordered by the Ministry to solicit as a Favour from the Court of *France* the Discharge of them, only acknowledging their Offence.”

IN Support of this latter Part only, you can quote the Letters from Lord *Albemarle* to Lord *Holdernesse*, and Part of a Memorial delivered to Mr. *Rouillé*.

Now, Sir, when I said that Lord *Albemarle* had never demanded these Men and their Effects, it could not be understood that I meant to say he had not asked to have them released; because the Men were released. All that could be meant then, was that these Men were not released in Consequence of a Demand, but of a Request. And if this appear to be true, tho’ the strict Expression in Lord *Albemarle*’s Letter puts it in the Light of a Demand; yet the Circumstances of the Case speak against it; and I have not violated the Truth.